GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

TUESDAY

MAY 9, 2006

+ + + + +

The Public Hearing convened in Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 9:58 a.m., Geoffrey H. Griffis, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

GEOFFREY H. GRIFFIS Chairperson RUTHANNE G. MILLER CURTIS ETHERLY, JR.

Vice-Chairperson

Board Member

JOHN MANN, II

Board Member (NCPC)

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBER PRESENT:

JOHN PARSONS

Commissioner (NPS)

COMMISSION STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY

Secretary

BEVERLEY BAILEY

Sr. Zoning Specialist

JOHN NYARKU

Zoning Specialist

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

JACOB RITTING, ESQ.

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

ARTHUR JACKSON MATT JESSICK STEPHEN MORDFIN STEVEN RICE

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on May 9, 2006.

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Call to Order	5
Application No. 17471 of Paul Heavey	10
Jennifer Fowler and Paul Heavey	
Preliminary Matters	
Presentation by Ms. Fowler	11
Steven Rice, Office of Planning	14
Discussion by the Board	16
Vote	18
Application No. 17464 of Sherman Arms LLC	19
Garland Stillwell	
Law Offices of Pillsbury, Winthrop,	
Shaw, Pittman	
Charlton Hamer, Vice President	23
Vesta Corporation	
Jack Kerry, CEO., Kerry Company	27
Dave Goslin, Crosskey Architects	
Christine Laudy, Pillsbury, Winthrop,	10
Shaw, Pittman	
•	70
Absalom Jordan, ANC-8D Commissioner 58,	
O. V. Johnson, ANC-8D Commissioner 60,	
Robin Adams, ANC-8D Commissioner	
Stephen Mordfin, Office of Planning	
Comments from the Public	
Dorothy M. Smith	
Richard Carthone	96
Closing Remarks by Applicant	101
Garland Stillwell	101
Application No. 17466 of 2109 10th Street	111
Associates LLC	
Steven E. Sher, Director of Zoning 112,	114
and Land Use Services	
Law Firm of Holland & Knight	
_	121
	133
	127
	135
Discussion by Board	138
Vote	140
Application No. 17463 of ARCH Training Center .	148
Cynthia Giordano	150
Law Firm of Arnold and Porter	
	167
	151

ARCH Training Center	
Stephen Shaff, Community Vision Consultants . 15	51
Greg Kearley, Inscape Studio 16	50
Latesha Hudson, ANC-8A04 174, 193, 195, 23	32
Arthur Jackson, Office of Planning 164, 182, 22	23
Comments from the Public 19	99
Carolyn Johns Gray, President 19	99
Frederick Douglass Community	
Improvement Council	
,	12
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	16
-551	19
	26
5 111	38
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 0
Vote	54
Application No. 17465 - Appeal of 25	55
Advisory Neighborhood Commission 3D	
Paul Kiernan of Holland & Knight, 25	57
on behalf of the Intervener	
Dorchester Associates	
Morton Bender, Owner	
Alma Gates, Chair of ANC-3D 26	53
Judith Lanius, Chain Bridge Road	
University Terrace Preservation Committee	
Discussion by Board	_
Vote	77
Adjourn	77

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2	9:58 a.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I call to order our
4	public hearing of the 9th of May, 2006.
5	We will be joined by Mr. Mann, who will be
6	representing the National Capital Planning Commission.
7	I will still be Geoff Griffis, Chairperson
8	today of the Board of Zoning Adjustment, and with me,
9	of course, is Ms. Miller, the Vice Chair, and Mr.
10	Etherly, representing the Zoning Commission. With us
11	this morning in our session is Mr. Parsons.
12	Copies of today's hearing agenda are
13	available for you. They are located where you enter
14	into the hearing room. You can pick one up and see
15	where you will fall. I will not be changing the
16	schedule this morning. So we will take this in the
17	order of which they have been published.
18	With that, though, there are several very
19	important things. I am going to ask that everyone
20	please turn off cell phones or beepers at this time as
21	it will disrupt the transmission of our hearings.
22	And our hearings are in the public, of
23	course, and they are recorded and broadcast. They are
24	recorded by the court reporter, sitting on the floor

to my right. They are creating the official

transcript which will be part of the record.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We are also, as many of you probably are aware, being broadcast live on the Office of Zoning's website.

So attendant to that, there are several additional things. I am going to ask that everyone fill out two witness cards prior to coming forward to speak to the Board. Witness cards are available, hopefully, at the table where you entered into the room. If not, the court reporter has some. Pick those up; fill it out. It's your name and address. That goes to the recorder prior to coming forward.

When you sit down in front of the table where you will provide testimony, I would ask that you just state your name and address for the record. You only need to do this once. Obviously, that will set the record on who we have in front of us. Thank you very much for those cards.

order of procedure for special exceptions and variances is as follows: First, we hear from the applicant and the presentation of their Secondly, we will hear from any government case. agencies reporting on an application: the Office of Planning, Department of Transportation. Third, we will from advisory and neighborhood hear the

commission within which the property is located. Fourth will be persons or parties in support of an application. Fifth would be persons or parties in opposition to an application. Finally, sixth, we would hear any rebuttal testimony from the applicant or closing remarks and summations.

Cross examination of witnesses is permitted by the parties in the case. The agency within which the properties located are is automatically a party in the case. Of course, the applicant is also a party in the case. We will establish parties in each case if there is timely request for party status.

The record will be closed at the conclusion of the hearing on a case, meaning when we finish today, our record closes; the book shuts, except for any material that the Board would request. We at times do request additional information, but we will be very specific on what that information is and when it is to be in the record.

As you heard a little bit about this morning, whether you caught it or not, there were things that came into the record that were returned, the Board did not see and did not review, and, therefore, did not deliberate on. So it is very

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

important that you get the information you want the Board to look at into the record, and, of course, it would be germane and jurisdictional for our review.

The Sunshine Act does require that this Board hold all its hearings in the open and before the public. We do from time to time enter into Executive Session. That is for reviewing the facts on each case or deliberating on a case. When this happens, and it does very seldomly, but when it does, this is, of course, in accordance with our rules, regulations, procedure. It is also in accordance with the Sunshine Act.

Again, I would state very strongly that the decision of this Board is based exclusively on the record that is created before us. So attendant to that also, we ask that people present today, if you see a Board member at a recess or at lunch, not engage us in private conversations. This may lend to the idea that we are receiving information outside of the public record.

To that, as we continue on, let me ask all those people present today that are thinking of or will provide testimony to the Board, I would ask you to please stand and give your attention to Ms. Bailey, who is on my very far left. She is going to swear you

1 | in.

MS. BAILEY: Would you please raise your right hand?

(Witnesses sworn.)

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank you all very much.

I was going through it so quickly, trying to make up a little time, that I didn't say a very good morning to Ms. Bailey, who is with the Office of Zoning, and also Mr. Moy, with the Office of Zoning, Mr. Nyarku, who is also with the Office of Zoning and he is in and out assisting the Board in its requirements.

With that, I do believe we are ready to look at any preliminary matters. Preliminary matters are those which relate to whether a case will or should be heard today. Request for postponements, withdrawals, whether proper and adequate notice has been provided, these are elements of preliminary matters.

If you have a preliminary matter for the Board, meaning if you do not believe that the case should go forward that is on the schedule today or you are not prepared to go forward with a case this morning, I would ask you to come forward, have a seat

at the table in front of us as an indication of a 1 2 preliminary matter. With that, then, let me ask Ms. Bailey if 3 4 you are aware of any preliminary matters that the 5 Board needs to be attentive to at this time. MS. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, members of the 6 7 Board, and to everyone, good morning. There are preliminary matters, but they 8 9 are case-specific. So, with the Board's approval, 10 after calling the case, if you would prefer, I can 11 deal with the preliminary matters at that time. 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. I think 13 that is appropriate. 14 MS. BATLEY: That's all that staff has. 15 I don't know if anyone else has anything. 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Doesn't appear to. 17 MS. BAILEY: Okay. First case is 18 Application No. 17471 of Paul Heavey, pursuant to 11 DCMR 3104.1, for special exception to allow a rear 19 addition to an existing single-family row dwelling 20 21 under Section 223, not meeting the lot occupancy 22 requirements, Section 403; the property is zoned R-4 23 and it is located at 309 K Street, Northeast, Square 24 775, Lot 33. 25 The preliminary matter associated with

1	this case is it was not posted until this past Friday,
2	May 5th, and so it's obviously late, Mr. Chairman.
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Indeed. Thank you
4	very much.
5	Why don't you just introduce yourselves
6	for the record?
7	MS. FOWLER: Hi. I'm Jennifer Fowler of
8	1742 D Street, Southeast, in D.C. I'm the architect
9	on the project.
10	MR. HEAVEY: My name is Paul Heavey, 309
11	K Street, Northeast. I'm the owner.
12	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Ms.
13	Fowler, you've heard Ms. Bailey indicate that there is
14	a preliminary matter as this was not posted for the
15	time requirement. Reasoning?
16	MS. FOWLER: This was purely an oversight
17	on my part and it was not intentional. I just missed
18	the deadline, unfortunately.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes, okay. Of
20	course, it has been through the ANC and, obviously,
21	was mailed and corresponded to. I don't see any
22	reasoning, unless other Board members have any
23	contrary view, that we would not hear this today.
24	However, I do want to impress upon very
25	strongly, Ms. Fowler, you're obviously very familiar

now with the applications that have come through, and it serves, I would say, you and your clients well, but it also serves us very well if these, although it oftentimes seems perhaps too dry or boring, but these are very important aspects, too, because the same threshold that we ask of you is the next applicant, the one tomorrow, and next week; it is a very important piece.

It will, in fact, just waste your time, your client's time, and our time if there is any difficulty or complication and we have to re-advertise this, we will set this off; in fact, today, if we did not hear this, we might not hear this until September. Obviously, it doesn't serve anyone to do that.

So, if we can ask you to be very deliberative in getting that done next time, I know we won't run into this again.

Very well. With that, then, we do have, as announced, a Section 223 application. My Board is very well aware of my small comments on the 223, but I'm going to turn it over to you with this small direction: I think the record is very full on this. If you wanted to summarize, even stand on the record, I think we could proceed, but I'll let you address the Board.

1 MS. FOWLER: Okay, yes, I would like to 2 stand on the record and just add that we do have neighbor support from both sides, and we have ANC-6C 3 4 support, as well as the Capitol Hill Restoration 5 Society. So it has been well-received and supported. 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank 8 you very much. I think that's the absolute point to 9 bring up and highlight. I thought it was well-served that the ANC actually asked that. I think, if I read 10 11 the record correctly, that there was some indication 12 that they had requested letters from the neighbors, 13 and I think it is very important. 14 I know other Board members looked at this 15 and found that that would probably have been the only real issue of concern. As it is addressed, I think we 16 17 can move ahead. 18 Are there any other questions from the 19 Board? Complications? Ms. Miller? 20 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Ι iust was 21 looking at the record and would note that the Office 22 of Planning's report was also filed late, I believe. 23 We might want to consider waiving that requirement. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Should I give them 24 25 a hard time?

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: We can ask their
2	reasoning, right?
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. That
4	moves us right into the Office of Planning's untimely
5	report, an excellent one at that, with in fact the
6	photographs.
7	However, before we look at that, why don't
8	you introduce yourself and just give us a little
9	understanding of why it was not timely.
10	MR. RICE: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and
11	Board. My name is Steven Rice.
12	I don't have any excuse for the
13	untimeliness of the application. I think it just got
14	mixed up, the paperwork.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Procedurally, then,
16	is there any opposition to the Board accepting it into
17	the record?
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No opposition.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I can't imagine.
20	Okay, very well. We do appreciate it.
21	Let me just step aside a little bit
22	because I think it is not very often that we don't
23	have timely reports from the Office of Planning, but
24	there's a bigger issue here that I think is a positive
25	one, is that getting Office of Planning reports on 223

1	applications to begin with I think is impressive. I
2	mean the amount of analysis and work that goes into
3	it, and it was not many years ago that that would not
4	have happened. So we absolutely require it to be
5	timely, but it is also good to have them.
6	Mr. Rice, I'll turn it to you.
7	MR. RICE: Well, the Office of Planning
8	does recommend approval for the application. As
9	mentioned, we have received support from both the ANC-
10	6C, the abutting neighbors, and the Capitol Hill
11	Restoration Society's Zoning Committee. We do support
12	their application.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
14	you very much.
15	Questions from the Board?
16	Ms. Fowler, do you have any cross
17	examinations/questions of the Office of Planning?
18	MS. FOWLER: No, thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well, let's
20	move ahead then.
21	I do not see any other government agency
22	reports on this application, unless the Applicant is
23	aware of any.
24	Is the ANC present, ANC-6C? The ANC is
25	not noted as present. They have filed an Exhibit 20,

1	their report, which was, I might say, timely, the ANC
2	report, and it was, of course, recommending approval
3	of the application. We've already touched upon some
4	of the issues that they had brought up, which I
5	thought were good.
6	Lastly, the Capitol Hill Restoration
7	Society, we talked about that also. Is Mr. Peterson
8	or any representative of the Capitol Hill Restoration
9	Society present?
10	(No response.)
11	Not noting any present, we will note
12	Exhibit 21 also in support of the application.
13	Very well. Let me ask, are there any
14	persons present in Application 17471, in support or in
15	opposition, that would like to provide testimony,
16	persons to provide testimony?
17	(No response.)
18	Not noting any persons present to provide
19	testimony in 17471, I would turn it over to you, Ms.
20	Fowler, for any closing remarks you might have.
21	MS. FOWLER: I would just like to thank
22	the Board for your time and Mr. Rice for his report.
23	Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
25	you very much.

I think it's expeditious if we continue our thoughts and comments and deliberation under a motion. I would move approval of 17471 under special exception under Section 223, as this property does not meet the lot occupancy requirements, and it is the premises of 309 K Street, Northeast. I would ask for a second.

MEMBER ETHERLY: Second, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you very much I think it does for the second. I do appreciate it. meet all the outlined, tests as one, in the submissions also the Office Applicant's and Planning's excellent analysis of the special exception requirements under 223.

I would note that there's particular attention paid to the graphics representation of this and also the materials, not going into the specifics, but I think it is appropriately done for the rear addition, but also I think it takes into account the character and the masking of the existing and the surrounding structures, which is, of course, one of the aspects of the 223 special exception requirements.

Noting also that the letters of support or non-opposition of the adjacent neighbors, which would be, of course, the most affected, are very persuasive

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	in the application.
2	I would turn to anyone else for any other
3	additional comments.
4	(No response.)
5	Not noting any additional comments to the
6	Board, I think we can move ahead with our motion. It
7	has been seconded.
8	I would ask for all those in favor of the
9	motion signify by saying aye.
10	(Chorus of ayes.)
11	And opposed?
12	(No response.)
13	Abstaining?
14	(No response.)
15	Very well, why don't we record the vote?
16	MS. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, the vote is
17	being recorded as five, zero, zero to approve the
18	application. Mr. Griffis made the motion. Mr.
19	Etherly seconded. Mr. Mann, Mrs. Miller, and Mr.
20	Parsons are in support.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
22	you very much. Thank you all very much.
23	MS. FOWLER: Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Enjoy. Good luck.
25	MR. HEAVEY: Thank you.

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: We will see you next
2	time, Ms. Fowler.
3	MS. BAILEY: Go through a summary order or
4	a full order, Mr. Chairman?
5	MR. MOY: Mr. Chairman, would you like to
6	waive the regulation for a summary order?
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Might as well. As
8	we are picking up speed here, why don't we waive our
9	rules and regulations and issue a summary order on
10	that. I don't see any reason why we wouldn't unless
11	there is opposition from the Board or the Applicant.
12	Not noting any, let's move ahead then and
13	call the next case for the morning.
14	MS. BAILEY: The next case, Mr. Chairman?
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes, please.
16	MS. BAILEY: Application No. 17464 of
17	Sherman Arms LLC, pursuant to 11 DCMR 3104.1, for a
18	special exception to allow a community service center
19	under Section 334. The property is located in the R-
20	5-A district in a cul-de-sac on 8th Street, Southeast,
21	Square 6209, Lots 28 through 31.
22	Mr. Chairman, just a brief note to
23	indicate that the address was advertised as the 700
24	block of 8th Street, Southeast, but the staff does not
25	believe that is correct. So some clarification may be

1	needed there.
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
3	you very much.
4	Are there any other preliminary matters
5	attendant do this? I don't see any indicated.
6	MS. BAILEY: Yes, I'm not aware of any at
7	this time, sir.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, good. What we
9	are going to do, then, do we have a visual
10	presentation? Is that correct?
11	MR. STILLWELL: That is correct, Mr.
12	Chairman. There is a five-minute segment.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, good. Let me
14	ask if those easels could be moved. We're going to
15	angle them a little bit, if you wouldn't mind just
16	angling them. Right at the edge of the table, we'll
17	angle them this way. Yes, keep turning. That way, I
18	believe they will be able to be picked up by the
19	cameras. That way, they won't get in the way of your
20	screen. Yes, that seems to be good.
21	Okay, why don't we start when you're
22	ready.
23	MR. STILLWELL: Yes, good morning, Mr.
24	Chairman and members of the Board.
25	For the record, my name is Garland

Stillwell with the Law Office of Pillsbury, Winthrop, Shaw, Pittman, representing the Applicant today, Vesta Corporation which is here before you for a special exception review of a community center.

First, before I speak briefly on the case, I would like to introduce the team. To my immediate right is Mr. Charlton Hamer, Vice President of Vesta Corporation. To his right is Mr. Jack Kerry, CEO of the Kerry Company, who will be the operator of the proposed service center. To his immediate right is Mr. Dave Goslin of Crosskey Architects, who is the project architect. Also assisting me today is an associate from my office, Ms. Christine Laudy, also of Pillsbury, Winthrop, Shaw, Pittman.

Mr. Chairman, if I could speak to the address issue that was brought to my attention this morning, I have conferred both with your staff and with the Office of Planning. To be perfectly honest, we are not sure where that reference of 700 block came from. It is not in our application. It is referenced in the Office of Planning report, and Mr. Mordfin has indicated that he believed he saw it in one of the affidavits.

But, just for the record, the property is vacant and does not have a designated address and has

1 never had a designated address, to our knowledge. So 2 at no point during our presentation will we be referencing the 700 block of 8th Street. 3 So I'm not 4 sure how you would like to proceed with that, but we 5 concur that that is not the accurate property address. We could pick an 6 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: 7 address. (Laughter.) 8 I think that's fine. How are we actually 9 10 legally designating this? By lot and square, is that 11 correct? 12 MR. STILLWELL: That is correct. 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, so we will 14 proceed in that fashion then, and I think, obviously, 15 at the appropriate time in your process, if this was approved and moves forward, you would obviously set an 16 17 address on this. For our purposes, unless I 18 mistaken, I think the lot and square would 19 sufficient for our proceedings. 20 MR. STILLWELL: Yes, and just for the 21 record, the property is located in Square 6209 and 22 comprised of Lots 28 through 31, and is referenced by 23 the D.C. Office of Surveyor Platt that is in your Exhibit Book No. 4. 24 25 A quick question, CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS:

1 then, to clear it up: The cul-de-sac that is shown on 2 that is 8th Street. Does 8th Street go all the way 3 into the cul-de-sac or --4 MR. STILLWELL: Eighth Street does go all 5 the way into the cul-de-sac. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. So there is 6 7 no time where the public right-of-way ends and it 8 becomes private? 9 MR. STILLWELL: That is correct. 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, good enough. 11 MR. STILLWELL: Again, just real briefly 12 before I introduce my first witness, I would just like to state for the record that this is a special 13 14 exception for a community center. However, we will 15 indicate during our presentation that this is much more than a community center. 16 This is actually a 17 learning center. As the Applicant will describe to 18 you, it is very much more integrated than community 19 centers that may have been before you before, and we 20 believe that after the testimony presented that it 21 will meet the requirements under Section 3104.1 and 22 352.1 of the zoning regulations. With that, I would like to call my first 23 24 witness, Mr. Charlton Hamer. 25 MR. HAMER: Good morning. Again, my name is Charlton Hamer. I'm Vice President of Development with Vesta Corporation. Vesta Corporation is located in Weatogue, Connecticut, which is a suburb of Hartford.

Vesta's primary responsibility or focus is on the development of affordable housing for its own portfolio. We have approximately 2900 units in Connecticut, Ohio, Texas, and New Jersey. We also provide development services on a case-by-case basis. That includes arranging financing, whether it be debt or equity, and/or property management.

The principals of Vesta Corporation have been in business for over 25 years. They have been involved in closings totaling over \$500 million. That equates to about 15,000 units in 11 different states.

In the upcoming weeks, Vesta Corporation will be closing on 180 units located on the corner of Chesapeake Street and Southern Avenue in Southeast D.C. We will invest approximately \$51,000 a unit in the upgrading of this facility. The development is right now commonly known as Avalon and Southern Court Apartments.

Again, we will be investing about \$51,000 a unit in upgrading those apartments. That consists of new roofing, perimeter fencing, security upgrades,

1 new flooring, new cabinetry, and so forth. 2 The total site area is approximately a 3 little over five acres. Within those five acres is 4 the site that we have in front of you today, which are Lots 28 through 31, Square 6209. 5 On that site we will be constructing our 6 7 new learning center. Vesta's mission is not just the bricks and mortar of development. It also deals with 8 9 the enhancement of the quality of life of 10 individuals and families at our site. 11 For you to get a clear grasp of our 12 learning centers, of which we have six currently open, four in Connecticut, one in Cleveland, and another one 13 14 in Columbus, Ohio, I would like to show you a brief 15 video that deals with the learning center at our Rainbow Terrace property in Cleveland, Ohio. 16 17 MR. STILLWELL: Mr. Chairman, for whatever 18 reason, the sound component just went out. So what I 19 would like to do is have Mr. Hamer, while your tech 20 person comes up to take a look at it, just describe 21 some of the functions, activities of the learning 22 centers. 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's fine.

However, why don't we see if we can get that rolling

and, if so, we can do it.

24

1	You have an extensive amount in the record
2	also, just written, which I know we have all read. So
3	we're very briefed on it. I like visuals. So if we
4	can get it rolling, that's fine.
5	MR. STILLWELL: Okay.
6	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: But let's move ahead
7	and then we can always play it at the end as kind of
8	a celebration.
9	MR. STILLWELL: Okay. If we can just take
10	a brief moment, your tech person is here.
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
12	MR. STILLWELL: And while they are looking
13	at it, it is a five-minute clip. We do have copies in
14	a DVD format to pass out to each member.
15	If you could rewind it and drop the main
16	screen, please? That's fine. And if you could drop
17	the main screen? If I had my daughter here, she is
18	very good with remotes, she would have fixed this in
19	a second.
20	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I think you have to
21	be under a certain age to do that.
22	(Laughter.)
23	(Video shown.)
24	MR. STILLWELL: If I can ask the staff to
25	set the monitor for PC for the next presentation?

1 This would conclude Mr. Hamer's part of 2 the presentation. He will be available for questions. 3 Laudy will be passing out, 4 addition, too, copies of the video you just saw. 5 (Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the record at 10:30 a.m. and went back on the record 6 7 at 10:32 a.m.) MR. STILLWELL: I think we're back on. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. 10 MR. STILLWELL: What I was indicating 11 earlier is that concludes Mr. Hamer's part of the 12 presentation, but he will be available for questions. 13 We are ready to go to our next witness, Mr. Jack 14 Kerry. 15 MR. KERRY: Good morning, and thank you for inviting me here or allowing me to speak. My name 16 17 I'm the President of the Kerry is Jack Kerry. 18 We are a Washington, D.C. firm. 19 I think what I am going to do, instead of 20 trying to use this technology -- I will give that a 21 shot in a second here -- we also prepared for you a 22 set of screen shots of what we were going to show you 23 for a backup. One quick word about the video that you 24 25 That place is my pride and joy, as you can iust saw:

imagine. The young woman who wrote that poem was a student, is a student at the center. She is now 17 years old. She started out three years ago when she was 14.

The genesis of that poem was that, as all kids do, one day about two years ago they were giving the teachers a lot of trouble, and so the teachers said, "We quit. We're going on strike," and they walked out.

And the kids were so concerned that they came after the teachers and said, "What can we do?" They said, "Show us that you really want us to be here." And they sat down and wrote that poem. Every time I hear it, and I've heard it many, many times, it reminds me of why we do this.

In any event, the video that you saw is a good introduction to basically what we're proposing to do at the center. The center is part of something that we call an e-village. The e-village has four parts to it.

One is high-speed internet access in all of the units, and those residents who go on to college or get a GED, and you saw one of the people in the video, or get a job, receive a free computer and receive free high-speed internet access in their unit.

The second element of the e-village is the learning center, which you saw an example of it there. By the way, if you saw the layout of the learning center at Rainbow, that's going to be similar to what we're going to be doing here.

The learning center, really the best way to view that is that it is the hub of the wheel. We have a set of partners who will come in with us and actually run the programs in the center, educational partners who will come in and run the programs in the center.

In the case of Cleveland, it is Cuyohoga County Community College. Up in Connecticut, it is the University of Connecticut; it is Quinebaug Valley Community College.

We, with the residents and other people from the community, will be using the period of time between now and the time that we hope that the center opens, which will be in January, to have discussions with several of the educational institutions here in the District of Columbia -- and as all of you are aware, there are many of them -- to find the right partner or partners. It doesn't necessarily have to be one partner.

But one of the things that we want to do

with the learning center -- and, again, I hope you saw that in the video -- is part of what we think makes this unique is that we establish a college campus onsite. So it is not just a question of people taking courses online, and so forth. There is actually staff from the universities onsite.

The next part of the e-village is a web application. The web application serves two purposes.

One is it's a public website, so it lets people know what programs are available at the center.

It also is an administrative site, has an administrative side to it, where we track all of the children, keep track of the programs and of the teachers, and so forth, so that we are able to see if what we are trying to achieve we are achieving and, if we are not, why we are not. So that is part of what the web application does.

The web application also serves as a way, because we have something on there called the suggestion box which is on the public side and every place else -- that's our version of "contact us." Because my experience with most "contact us" on a website is that when you bring it up, it says, "to the webmaster," and that's one problem.

The second problem is, if you talk about

the programs or anything, and you do get an answer from somebody, the person who is answering you says, if you are concerned about the colors of the website or the font size, and so forth, that's my job, but you've been asking me about the program and that's not my job.

What the suggestion box does is it gives residents a chance to voice their opinions about the learning center. For example, in Cleveland the center is open on Saturdays, and the reason it is open on Saturdays is because the residents, through the suggestion box, said it was inconvenient for them to be there during the first set of hours that we had.

The final part of the e-village is partnerships. The basic idea behind partnerships is that partnerships work best when all of the people that are involved with them do what they do best. So what Vesta does best is they build housing and they manage housing. They are very, very good at that.

They are not educators. That's why we want to get the educational partners. That's why we get the educational partners in that we get in.

On the lefthand side, on the "visit our evillages," you can see some of the centers that Charlton referred to. If you'll turn to the back, if

you'll go to pages 11 and 12, this is the best summary of -- or a summary, anyway -- of what we want to have happen at the learning centers.

So the overall notion behind the learning center is that the people who come into this -- what we want the people who come into the center to leave with, whenever they leave -- and some of them may use the center for six months; some of them may use it for a year; some of them may use it for two years. We have people that have used them for three and four and five years. There's no time limit.

But when they leave, our objective is for them to have choice, choice about wherever they are in their life. So if, for example, when these young people reach 18, the way I have expressed it, just as you would like for your own children when they are 18 to have the choice, to have the choice about where do they go to school and which school do they go to, do they go into the military, do they decide to not do anything for a while, but that they have the choice. The only way that they are going to have that choice is by the time they are 18 they have achieved an educational level that allows them to perform in today's economy.

I am not as familiar, because I have just

spent so much of my time working in Cleveland, I am not familiar with the statistics for the District of Columbia, but in Cleveland less than one-third of the entering high school students graduate. Think about that. It is astounding.

When you test the students who do graduate, many of them score out at an eighth- and ninth-grade level as far as math and reading are concerned. Unfortunately for those people, they are not going to be able to compete in today's society.

Our objective is to give the children and the adults a chance to achieve the academic success that they need, so that they do have choice. That's basically what it amounts to.

I am always asked the question, I am always asked that first question, the macro one, which is, well, what do you expect to achieve? How did you measure success? The way I have put it here is that we will measure success on the basis that the children who attend the center score on an SAT test at the same level as the average student in the best high school in that region.

Now we are not unrealistic and we realize that for some people that is going to take longer than for others, and we realize that many people will not

achieve that. I wouldn't; I didn't, for example.

But having that as an objective we think is extremely important. The one thing we have learned is you never have to worry about the children. The children want to learn. You could see that in the video; I don't need to tell you that. Everyone knows it.

What they need is they need loving, caring, competent teachers, and they need a first-class and secure environment. That's what we try to make the learning centers.

What is laid out here are the quarterly and annual goals, how we measure things. If you look down No. 2, the program is really at The first thing that happens when straightforward. children come in is that they are helped with their homework. The second thing that happens is, because there's been an assessment done for each one of them, they receive group mentoring in math, reading, and writing.

They then use our web application for academic training and research. If you will turn back to page 9, when we first started the learning centers, we used to use disks. As a matter of fact, the first centers we ever opened -- this is how long ago it was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

-- they were Apple computers where the operating system was on a disk, and you had to put the operating system in on a disk.

So as we moved along, and our company is also a web development company, there are lots and lots of education sites on the web. The problem is not that there aren't sites on the web. The problem is there are so many of them. There are literally hundreds of them being developed each day.

So we built the portal that had the best education sites online. The criteria we used for determining which were the best sites is we went out to the Department of Education's gateway website. We went to the Encyclopedia Britannica. We went to The New York Times website. If we identified, if a math site appeared on all three of those sites -- for example, Dr. Math -- that became one of our best practice sites.

The reason that having a number of these sites is important is because different children learn differently. What this allows the teachers to be able to do is to decide which ones they want to use.

If you are looking at this in the upper righthand corner, in the navigation bar you will see something called "My Bookbag." This is something

1 that, if you are at home and you are working on a 2 particular site, or at your office, and you say, "I 3 really like that site," you can save it down in your 4 favorites. The problem is, if you're in somebody 5 else's office, you no longer have access to that site. So this is an online favorites. 6 So the children can save down the courses 7 that they are working on online or the teacher can 8 9 say, as in my case there would be lots of math courses 10 in there, "These are the ones that we want you to 11 study." That allows the student to use that either at 12 home, in the library, or at school. Finally, we go back to page 11, at the 13 14 bottom. After they go through the academic tutoring 15 and then use the web on No. 3, on No. 4 they do what they like the most. They go onto ESPN. So they may 16 have 10 or 15 minutes of recreation on the web, MTV. 17 We use CyberPatrol and other kinds of 18 19 blocking software to make sure that pornography sites 20 and that kind of thing don't get through. 21 This page that you are looking at here is 22 something that we give to the teachers to orient them. 23 The last two paragraphs are important. 24 We ask that an agreement be established

between the students, the parent, and the teacher that

lays out the mutual responsibilities. In some cases the parents don't cooperate, for whatever reasons. We have taken the position that, as long as the child is interested in cooperating and as long as the child maintains a sense of responsibility to him or herself and the other people, we proceed with the child.

The entire program is voluntary and it is free. If any of you have had to go out to price what this would cost, for academic tutoring of this quality it could easily cost you per child \$75 to \$100 a week. This is free. This is four hours a day of free tutoring.

Therefore, it is voluntary. Therefore, if someone, for whatever reason -- it's their choice -- if they don't want to participate and they don't want to respect themselves and they don't share our goals about academic performance, then they are not allowed in the center. That doesn't mean we don't try to work with people, but we don't allow a few people to disrupt what many, many people want to do.

The final paragraph is also important. Quality is much more important to us than quantity, but in the end you don't have to worry about quantity. What we mean by that is, if it turns out that in the beginning only five or six children are interested in

2.0

1	pursuing this, that's okay with us because it will
2	grow. It will grow over time.
3	The problem we have in Rainbow right now
4	is, as Yogi Berra said to Joe Garagiola about a
5	restaurant that they both used to go to in St. Louis,
6	"Nobody goes there anymore because it's so popular."
7	As with most things that Yogi said, there is a lot of
8	truth on both sides.
9	So Rainbow is now so popular that we've
10	actually run into some problems with some parents
11	saying it used to be nice when there were only 10 or
12	15 kids here. We like having those problems.
13	So that's the end of my presentation, and
14	I'll be happy to answer any questions that you have.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
16	you very much, Mr. Kerry.
17	MR. STILLWELL: If there are no questions
18	of Mr. Kerry, we will now call the project architect,
19	Mr. Dave Goslin.
20	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Maybe a couple of
21	quick questions. Ms. Miller?
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I just want to
23	say, first of all, that sounds like a wonderful
24	program.
25	MR. KERRY: Yes.

1 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I have a few 2 specific questions. You made reference to college 3 campuses coming on the site. What did you mean by 4 that? 5 MR. KERRY: Well, what we mean by that, I'll describe what we have in Cleveland. 6 I think it will be a little different here. 7 8 We have an agreement with Cuyohoga County Community College, and similarly, if we work out an 9 10 agreement with Georgetown or with Howard or with 11 Southeastern University, UDC -- they're making sure I 12 cover all of the bases here, but there are a lot of 13 them -- we will have an agreement signed with them. 14 The agreement lays out what. our 15 responsibilities are, and our responsibilities are to provide the center, to provide the security, and so 16 17 forth. Their responsibilities are to provide both the 18 instructors and also the curriculum and also to come 19 out on the site to talk especially to the adults who 20 have been thinking about going to college but are not 21 sure, have not taken that step to go, no matter how 22 convenient it is for them to get on the Metro and go 23 to one of these places. So we literally mean, if you walked into 24

UDC -- I'm sorry, if you walked into CCC and you

40 1 walked into a classroom, you would see the same level 2 of teachers that you see at the learning center. 3 That's what we want to accomplish here. 4 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: But do you mean 5 that they would offer enough courses so that someone could get a degree by just going to your learning 6 7 center? 8 MR. KERRY: No. 9 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No? Okay. 10 MR. KERRY: No. What happens 11 remember, for the children we are talking about people 12 getting educated in reading, writing, and science. want absolutely first-class teachers either from the 13 14 colleges or from the schools. But for the adults, 15 yes, I mean they actually offer college courses there 16 Everyone now has online courses. 17 also sign them up for courses at the particular 18 institution. 19

But let me give you one more example. Every one of these works out differently. In Connecticut every day during the school year there are about 20 students that show up from the University of Connecticut at the Windham Heights Learning Center, which is one of the centers that you see on your list. It is the last one listed.

20

21

22

23

24

1 These are young people from all over the 2 University of Connecticut campus who come in and mentor the children at the campus. 3 On Fridays all 4 those kids get in a bus provided by the University of 5 Connecticut and go to the University of Connecticut. Each Friday they go to a different part of the 6 7 University. So at one point they will go to biology; another time they will sit in on reading and writing. 8 So each one of them is different. 9 10 But the idea is to take those tremendous 11 resources and aim them here, where they are very much 12 needed, and connect them up. 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank 14 you very much. I think your presentation, as Ms. 15 Miller said, was excellent in terms of understanding 16 what the program is. Obviously, it is a very good 17 program. 18 Part of our requirement, of course, in 334 19 is to show that this would somehow impact, positively 20 impact, the social and economic well-being of those participants. I think that is fairly persuasive. 21 22 Let me just ask you a quick clarification. In 334.4, I believe it is, it talks about reasonably 23 24 convenient to the neighborhood of which it is going to

Is this -- and you have talked about the

serve.

1 number of units that you're now acquiring, closing on 2 shortly -- is this to serve just those units --3 MR. KERRY: No. 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: -- or is it opened 5 up to the larger community? You talked about it being free except for 6 7 those that are rabble-rousers and they're ejected promptly. But what is the program for participation? 8 9 Well, let me answer your MR. KERRY: 10 question directly. The program is open to everybody 11 in the community. It's not just the people at the 12 particular development. 13 The way we let people know about it, we do 14 that in a couple of ways. First of all, once we have 15 the education partner in hand, we and the residents -and we have asked the ANC to participate in this with 16 17 us as well -- will hold a series of meetings in the 18 neighborhood to let people know about it. That's one 19 thing we will do. We hold open houses where we invite 20 people to come in and sign up. There's also a piece on the District's 21 22 It's called the "City of Access," in which 23 you can go up and search for centers like this 24 throughout the city. So we will make sure we will get

listed on that site as well.

25

So if somebody was

1 interested, they could --2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. So the 3 starting area can come in -- and is there 4 enrollment? Do you have to show up at certain times? 5 Is it a drop-in? How is this programmed? The priority as far 6 MR. KERRY: 7 enrollment is concerned is for the residents, the 180 units that I talked about. After that, it will be 8 9 open to the rest of the community. 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So if a child in the 11 neighborhood or even at the development decides just 12 to show up one day, is that possible or is that not 13 what this is set up to do? 14 MR. KERRY: Well, part of the hours that 15 we set up normally, and we will do that here as well, is we set up a time -- and it is usually on Saturday 16 17 -- where we have what we call open session, where 18 anybody can drop in, ask questions, so we get both adults and children. 19 If a child dropped in for one day, the 20 21 thing the teachers would try to do would be to recruit 22 It would be to try to get them to join the them. 23 program. But they can't just drop in intermittently 24 25 because there's an agreement, because there's an

1	assessment that's done of the child, and we are
2	measuring ourselves on the basis of how well kids are
3	improving.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, that makes
5	sense.
6	MR. KERRY: But our main objective is not
7	to turn people away. Our main objective is to
8	convince them that they want to participate in the
9	program.
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. Understood.
11	Understood.
12	Does that seem to coincide, then in
13	terms of students that are in school, how are they
14	using the facility between 10:00 and 7:00?
15	MR. KERRY: They are not using the
16	well, between 10:00 and 7:00, from ten o'clock until
17	about three o'clock during the week, adults are using
18	the program.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So from 3:00 on?
20	MR. KERRY: That varies from place to
21	place. Some places end at three o'clock, some at
22	3:15, some at 3:45, but whatever it is, for the next
23	three or four hours, the children can use it.
24	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, anything else?
25	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Just to follow
ļ	I control of the cont

up, I was just wondering if you have an idea of how many people it can accommodate, how many students at a time. I mean it sounds like in your history you haven't had to turn anybody away, but is there a certain amount of computers and teachers?

MR. KERRY: Yes. Well, yes. Roughly, it goes like this: This space, the learning center portion of this space is -- let me get my notes out here -- 1,222 square feet. That's the learning center portion of the space. Then the meeting room/community room is 1,016 square feet.

In the learning center itself there are a couple of different constraints on how many people you can handle. One constraint is the physical size, obviously. In that size space, which is similar to Rainbow and our other places, we can handle from 20 to 25 children at the same time. The closer to 20 you are, the better because 25 it starts getting pretty raucous. That is one constraint.

The second constraint is the number of staff you have because the ratio we like to keep is somewhere like one teacher to seven pupils. So you need about three people. So if you viewed it as -- in a particular session going on at any time, you could have 20 pupils and you would have three teachers.

have learned about that. When you look, for example,
at the drawing here, you will see that there are a
number of offices that are in there. One of the
reasons those offices are in there there are none
at Rainbow; we wish there were, but that was built
four years ago, so we have learned is that those
places are used for mentoring. So to the extent we
get more staff, we could accommodate more children.
But for the basic program, 20 is about the limit that
you can handle at any one time.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Anything
else?
(No response.)
Very well, thank you very much.
MR. STILLWELL: At this time we would like
MR. STILLWELL: At this time we would like to call our next witness, Mr. Dave Goslin, the project
to call our next witness, Mr. Dave Goslin, the project
to call our next witness, Mr. Dave Goslin, the project architect.
to call our next witness, Mr. Dave Goslin, the project architect. MR. GOSLIN: Thank you, Garland.
to call our next witness, Mr. Dave Goslin, the project architect. MR. GOSLIN: Thank you, Garland. As Garland said, my name is David Goslin.
to call our next witness, Mr. Dave Goslin, the project architect. MR. GOSLIN: Thank you, Garland. As Garland said, my name is David Goslin. I am the project architect with Crosskey Architects.
to call our next witness, Mr. Dave Goslin, the project architect. MR. GOSLIN: Thank you, Garland. As Garland said, my name is David Goslin. I am the project architect with Crosskey Architects. Crosskey is located at 100 Allyn Street in Hartford,

They have done an excellent job explaining what goes on here. My portion of the presentation is to actually go over what the building is and how it was designed and why it was designed the way it was.

So if you will bear with me while I get this thing situated?

as you are getting ready, our jurisdiction over the building is going to be fairly limited, I suppose, but depending on how you read the regulations, it could be extremely broad. So the direct question is: Are you aware of any or is it your opinion that the development of this and its siting or any of its aspects would potentially create an objectionable condition to the surrounding area?

MR. GOSLIN: No. Actually, to answer your question, looking at the site plan here, to get yourself oriented, north is to the top of the board. The apartments, the 180 units, are located to this side of the property. E Street comes in off of Chesapeake and the cul-de-sac is right here. Then off the cul-de-sac there's a 16-foot wide public alley which extends and connects over to Barnaby Street off to the west. There's also another 16-foot wide public alley which comes off of 8th, wraps around our site,

and connects into the public alley. So we are bordered by public alleys on three-quarters of the site.

So with that, we have a site that is about 18,000 square feet. Our building itself is 4,006 square feet. We've kind of juxtaposed the shape and the location of the building to fit within the 8-foot, 20-foot, and the 10-foot building line setbacks. So the entire building is within the parameter of the site. We are not encroaching upon or over any of the setbacks or into the public alleys.

The way the building was sited is we wanted to skew it slightly for two reasons: one, to get it to fit on the site; the other one, to turn it so it addresses and it faces the apartments, so there is a frontal approach that the residents can see, and they can see clearly where the entries are.

That also allowed us to tuck the parking spaces off to the west side of the site, from which we got six parking spaces. I think four are required. So we have more parking than what is required. Of that, one of those spaces is handicapped accessible.

We don't really anticipate there being a large parking. Most of the parking here is for the staffing. There will be some parent dropoff and

1 pickup, but most of the residents coming here, it's 2 foot-dropping. So most of the traffic coming here is 3 anticipated to be foot traffic. 4 Also, back in the corner, we are proposing 5 a dumpster. That dumpster will have a full enclosure, a chainlink fence with privacy slats, and it would 6 7 also be buffered by evergreen landscaping to kind of conceal it from view. 8 So that concludes the site. We will have 9 10 sidewalks that will connect the main entries from the 11 public alleys and the cul-de-sac. 12 As I said, the building is 4,006 square 13 It's a slab on grade. It's fully handicapped 14 accessible. 15 The construction of the building is 2x6 framing, 9-feet high, on top of which would be roof 16 17 trusses, just to go over the exterior of the building 18 components. 19 One of the concerns that you may have 20 noticed in the presentation is that the computers for 21 the learning center have to go to the perimeter of the 22 learning center. So really we need low wall space to 23 accommodate. 24 that reason, we have high clear 25 windows here, which allows natural light, but it also

1 provides some sense of security. So we have these 2 high windows that wrap around the building. 3 building is well-lit, the space. 4 These are photos of one of the properties 5 up in Connecticut -- this is Mossup Gardens -- just to give you a sense of what it actually looks like when 6 7 it is completed. This building was completed about a year 8 ago. The materials we used on this, the roof shingles 9 are 30-year architectural asphalt shingles. 10 11 siding of the building, it's not vinyl siding and it's 12 not wood siding; it's kind of a newer material, new to 13 the market. It's called Hearty Plank, is the trade 14 name. It is a cementitious fiber. 15 It is a wonderful material in that it is cement-based product. It doesn't rot. Insects can't 16 get into it and it is fire-resistant. 17 The windows themselves are insulated glass 18 19 vinyl windows, non-operable, just for control of the 2.0 space. 21 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Is this small deck 22 area between the pitched roofs, is that occupiable or is that decorative? 23 24 MR. GOSLIN: That actually is a platform 25 for mechanical equipment.

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. So part of it
2	is screened and then part of it just has the railing,
3	French railing?
4	MR. GOSLIN: Yes. Basically, what we need
5	to do here, because we are slab on grade, we do have
6	mechanical equipment; we don't want to put it on the
7	ground where kids can access it.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
9	MR. GOSLIN: So one of the things we do is
10	we carve a little pocket out of the roof and set it on
11	the platform.
12	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure. Excellent.
13	MR. GOSLIN: That is actually accessed
14	from within a locked room of the building.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Okay.
16	It's an impressive building for its function and its
17	siting.
18	Any questions you want? Mr. Etherly?
19	MEMBER ETHERLY: Thank you very much, Mr.
20	Chair.
21	Just very quickly, Mr. Goslin, an
22	excellent presentation. I think I have a very
23	adequate sense of what the building looks like, but I
24	wanted to just address a little bit the issue of the
25	trash enclosure. Could you speak again to how you are

1 planning to enclose the dumpster at the rear of the 2 You're just talking chainlink fencing or are you looking at any type of enclosure? 3 4 Because if I am not mistaken, do you have 5 some site lines from residential properties at the rear of the building as well that would be looking in 6 7 that direction? I see you're going to have some 8 evergreens shading, but I just want to kind of get a 9 sense for that fencing again. 10 MR. GOSLIN: What we have done at our 11 other sites up in Connecticut is we have the dumpster; 12 the dumpster is a front-loaded access so the truck can drive up and pick it up, lift it and load it. 13 14 So three sides of the dumpster have a 6-15 foot high chainlink fence with the inserts so you 16 can't see through. There's plastic inserts, so you 17 basket-weave through the chainlink. So you really can't see the dumpster. Then around the perimeter of 18 19 that we will have evergreen landscaping. 20 MEMBER ETHERLY: Okay, excellent. That 21 answers my question. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Anything 23 else then? Any other questions? 24 It is an intriguing roof pitch that you 25 have on this. There it is. It looks like it could

1 handle a Connecticut snow load. 2 All right. STILLWELL: 3 MR. Mr. Chairman, 4 concludes our presentation of our case-in-chief. 5 would like to just note for the record we actually have three exhibits. We might as well go over them 6 7 I would just like to have them moved into the 8 record. The first exhibit is the video clip that 9 10 was played during Mr. Hamer's testimony. That is 11 presented to you in a DVD format and includes the clip 12 in its entirety. exhibit 13 The second is the website 14 printouts that are in hard-copy format. That will be 15 our second exhibit for submission. Our third exhibit would be the affidavit 16 17 of posting that we have ready to file that was sworn 18 by our paralegal yesterday when he did his last check 19 of the site. 20 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, excellent. Anything else at this time? 21 Okay. 22 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: This may be for 23 Mr. Kerry or Mr. Stillwell. I just was glancing over 24 the written application at the same time as I was 25 listening everything. few to There are а

discrepancies between the testimony that's been presented this morning and what's in the written record. I just want to make sure I have the right information. Or maybe I am misreading it.

But one goes to the number of individuals that the learning center can serve at one time. Mr. Kerry said 20 ideally with three staff, and page 5 of the pre-hearing statement indicates 30 to 40.

MR. STILLWELL: I believe the numbers that Mr. Kerry presented were a minimum and his ideal number of students, but the full capacity is the capacity that's listed in the application.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And what's that based on, the 30 to 40?

MR. KERRY: I was going to get into this when I was answering my question, but if you look at the space, you'll see that you have the learning center portion and then to the right you have the meeting center portion. So what happens at Rainbow, and what we're anticipating happening here, if we do get that big a crowd, that some of the functions will move off into the meeting space area. You remember when I talked about the constraints. As long as we have the staff to handle that, we have certainly got plenty of space to do it.

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, because
2	that is what my next question is, how to interpret.
3	In the written application it said the meeting room is
4	something like 50 to 60. Does that include the people
5	in the learning center or is that on top of the people
6	in the learning center?
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Is that in a program
8	or an occupancy level?
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes, what is
LO	that?
L1	MR. HAMER: No, that doesn't include the
L2	people in the learning center. That's the capacity of
L3	the meeting room.
L4	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
L5	MR. STILLWELL: An auditorium, I should
L6	say, and auditorium-style seating.
L7	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
L8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So it is a building
L9	code calculation based on no fixed tables or chairs?
20	MR. STILLWELL: Actually, I believe the
21	building code calculation actually is higher, and Mr.
22	Goslin can speak to that.
23	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: What the number is
24	is all we need. So it is a program number, not a
25	code

1	MR. STILLWELL: That is correct.
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: It's a program
3	number, the 50 to 60?
4	MR. STILLWELL: Yes.
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
6	MR. GOSLIN: Yes. The actual total
7	occupant load I think is 146. That's assuming it's
8	individual chairs and people are sitting kind of in an
9	auditorium format.
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Also, I just
12	want to make sure I understand right about who the
13	neighborhoods are that this will be serving. I think
14	in the letter of understanding it referred to the
15	village and the apartment units, and it didn't go
16	further than that, but you said here that you would
17	make the program available to people outside of that
18	community, is that right, within the general
19	community?
20	MR. HAMER: Yes. I think we have in there
21	the Village at the Chesapeake, which will be the name
22	of the development once we acquire it. It is commonly
23	known as Avalon and Southern Court Apartments.
24	Again, I think I stated that first and
25	foremost the learning center will be for the

1 residents. Based upon capacity and enrollment, then we will open it up to the broader community. 2 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: 3 Okay, and the 4 age of the children is kindergarten through 12, is 5 that right? Kindergarten through 12th 6 MR. HAMER: 7 grade, yes. 8 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, let's move 10 ahead then. If there is nothing further from the 11 Board, let me ask, first of all, is ANC present with 12 us today, the ANC representative? AUDIENCE MEMBER: 13 Yes. 14 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. It would 15 be appropriate at this time if you had any cross 16 examination questions of the witnesses, if you wanted 17 to come up. Do you have any cross? No cross? That's fine. 18 19 MR. STILLWELL: I may be able to clarify, 20 Mr. Chairman. We have three representatives from the 21 We have the Single Member District ANC today. 22 Commissioner, Ms. Robin Adams. We have the Chair of 23 the ANC, Mr. O. V. Johnson, and we also have one of 24 the Board members of the ANC who at the meeting where

we received approval voted in dissent of the project.

1 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent, and who's here in official capacity to represent the ANC agency? 2 3 MR. STILLWELL: Mr. O. V. Johnson. 4 (Off-the-record discussion.) 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Let's get into this I just need to know who the very quickly then. 6 7 representative of the ANC is today to represent the 8D, and will, therefore, be able to ask and direct 8 cross examination questions. Why don't we have you 9 10 introduce yourself, Mr. Johnson, for the record. 11 MR. JORDAN: Mr. Chairman, my name is 12 Absalom Jordan. I am Commissioner from 8D. 13 You have a letter before you. The 14 Commission held a meeting on April the 17th. You have 15 a copy of the agenda that was attached for that meeting. It was a continuation of a special meeting. 16 17 The agenda for that meeting was several 18 things. One is to remove Mr. O. V. Johnson as Chair, 19 and second to rescind the previous was 20 Commission's approval of the motion to support this 21 development. 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Let's step back two 23 First of all, I'm not sure we have what seconds. 24 you're talking about because I don't have it right in 25 front of me.

1	MR. JORDAN: I just gave it to Ms. Bailey.
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Well, that's
3	fine. Then we wouldn't have it in front of us. She
4	needs to put it into an exhibit and then distribute
5	it.
6	So let me step back further, though,
7	because all I am trying to establish right now is who
8	is representing the ANC in order to conduct cross
9	examination questions, if there are any.
10	Mr. Jordan, did you want to address that?
11	MR. JORDAN: Yes. I'm saying that Mr. O.
12	V. Johnson has been removed as Chair. He was at a
13	meeting on April the 17th at which four members were
14	present. That was a majority of the Commission
15	members. The meeting was called specifically to
16	remove Mr. Johnson, and the second item was to rescind
17	the motion to support this project.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Who is here, with
19	all of that, if that is what happened, who is here to
20	represent the ANC then?
21	MR. JORDAN: Me.
22	MR. JOHNSON: I am.
23	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And so where do I
24	find that, Mr. Jordan?
25	MR. JORDAN: Pardon? I just submitted it

1	to you.
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Oh, I see, that's
3	going to be on that?
4	MR. JORDAN: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, we'll take a
6	moment and take a look at that.
7	Let me have the next gentleman introduce
8	himself for the record, if you would, please.
9	MR. JOHNSON: My name is Commissioner O.
10	V. Johnson. Despite Mr. Jordan's provision for this
11	particular project, the particular meeting he has
12	referred to I think is incorrectly stated. It really
13	wasn't that particular date. And, also, the provision
14	that he is speaking of is yet to be resolved. That
15	has been sent to the Director of the Office of ANC,
16	Mr. Gottlieb Simon, for his ruling.
17	Because our prevailing bylaws require five
18	Commissioners to change the bylaws in order to convert
19	the bylaws to remove me, so that has not been
20	established yet.
21	MR. JORDAN: Can I address that, Mr.
22	Chairman?
23	MR. JOHNSON: Until that proof has been
24	until that has been met, I'm still the Chair, and I
25	also stipulated, when I submitted the approval of this

1 project on March the 1st, which you have before you, 2 the division of the vote was three to two in the ANC 3 proceeding. That was the subject matter that caused the division in ANC. There was concern about a proxy 4 vote. 6 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. 7 MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Jordan wanted to vote 8 general proxies, and the bylaw says a specified proxy, 9 which you said for or against, and this is internal 10 division which is --11 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Understood, and I 12 think that's exactly right; it is internal. First of 13 all, as interested as we may be with all these issues, 14 jurisdictionally we have no control over it. 15 So what I really want to do is very 16 quickly take another case --17 MR. JOHNSON: But I did put in the letter of support that the Chairperson and Ms. Lumpkin, who 18 19 was the Chairperson of the Housing Committee which Mr. 20 Jordan is a member of, have the opportunity to state 21 a minority opinion. 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. So, 23 obviously, we have some differing opinions on the ANC. So for our clarification and for our procedure --24 25 Mr. Chairman, could I just MR. JORDAN:

1	clarify one point? Mr. Gottlieb Simon can't resolve
2	the problem. He has no authority at all to and let
3	me make another
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Let me say, if Mr.
5	Simon has none, we have less.
6	MR. JORDAN: You're absolutely correct,
7	and that is why I'm saying you have been supplied a
8	copy of the agenda at our last meeting for April the
9	17th. Now, if you want, I have a copy of the letter
10	that Mr. Johnson sent to Mr. Gottlieb Simon. Within
11	that letter he indicates that there was a majority;
12	otherwise, he couldn't have commenced the meeting.
13	MR. JOHNSON: There was a quorum of four.
14	MR. JORDAN: There was a quorum.
15	MR. JOHNSON: For our ordinary minutes.
16	MR. JORDAN: Well, I'm not going to get
17	into that.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Correct, nor are we.
19	MR. JORDAN: I'm just saying, sir, if he
20	says there was a quorum, the quorum was for this
21	agenda. By the way, whatever bylaws we had allowed us
22	to remove the Chair; then it requires to amend the
23	bylaws in order to remove him.
24	But the reality is you have before you
25	today a letter from the Commission, a majority of the

1 Commissioners at that meeting, that said we were 2 rescinding this motion. 3 Now let me make one other point while we 4 are here. Mr. Johnson makes the point about the vote 5 on February the 23rd. We also raised a question about Commissioner Robin Adams' status at that meeting. 6 7 That's why I want to talk to the people from Vesta, because I want to find out whether there was a 8 9 relationship between them and Fleetwood Management, 10 and then we can establish the relationship between 11 Fleetwood Management and Mrs. Adams. 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. 13 MR. JORDAN: But that's the nature of the 14 questions that I want to pose. 15 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I'm glad you bring that up because that gets right to the point of why we 16 17 all came up here. I'm not sure why that would be 18 jurisdictional for us in terms of a special exception 19 or --2.0 MR. JORDAN: It's not. 21 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: 22 MR. JORDAN: But I'm just saying, from my 23 perspective --24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: No, I understand 25 that.

1	MR. JORDAN: we are making a record
2	today.
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And I think there's
4	a lot that needs to be resolved, and I think you're
5	pursuing it in the correct means and process.
6	However, for our purposes and for all those here, I'm
7	not sure that cross examination questioning would be
8	appropriate
9	MR. JORDAN: Okay.
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: or
11	jurisdictional.
12	Did you have anything else to ask the
13	witnesses?
14	MR. JORDAN: No. It's just to say, to put
15	on the record
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, I think it is
17	somewhat resolved
18	MR. JORDAN: that the Commission's
19	latest position is and let me say we are not
20	opposed to the project per se
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's good, but
22	MR. JORDAN: but we are not supporting
23	it.
24	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes, but now is not
25	the time, Mr. Jordan.

1 MR. JORDAN: Okay. 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Now is the time just 3 for cross examination of the witnesses. MR. JORDAN: 4 Okay. 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And I understand that we don't have any cross at this point. So what 6 I would like to do is move ahead to the Office of 7 Planning and the governmental reports, right after 8 which -- which they have submitted an excellent 9 10 analysis -- we will go into the ANC. 11 I'm going to ask all of your patience that 12 we would have you, sir, Mr. Johnson, that would 13 present the ANC; I'm also going to have Mr. Jordan 14 speak as an ANC member, and we'll get it all into the 15 record and we'll figure out how we deal with it, but as succinctly and directly as we can, that would be 16 17 great. 18 If that is amenable to you, I think let's move ahead and get into the Office of Planning. 19 for all those other people that are here, after the 20 21 ANC, we'll have persons in support or opposition come 22 up for three minutes, and we'll get you out by 23 lunchtime, I assure you. That being said, let's go to the Office of 24 25 Planning.

1 MR. MORDFIN: Good morning, Chair, members 2 of the Board. I'm Stephen Mordfin with the Office of 3 Planning. 4 The subject application conforms to the provisions of Sections 352.1 and 334 of the zoning 5 regulations for the establishment of a community 6 7 service center and that it will provide after-school programming for children and job and computer training 8 for adults for the residents of the two adjacent 9 apartment complexes, Avalon and Southern Court. 10 11 will not become objectionable 12 neighboring properties because all activities will 13 take place within the building. The hours 14 operation will be from 10:00 a.m. to 7:00 o'clock p.m. 15 Monday through Friday and 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 o'clock 16 p.m. Saturday. 17 parking spaces are provided as 18 required for a 3,600-square-foot building. Construction of the building will conform 19 20 to all applicable municipal laws. The community 21 center will be located within walking distance to the 22 two adjacent apartment complexes it is primarily 23 intended to serve, and it will be operated by a non-24 profit organization. Therefore, the subject application is in

conformance with the 1 provisions of the zoning 2 ordinance for the establishment of a community service 3 center, and the Office of Planning recommends approval 4 of the application as submitted by the Applicant. 5 Also, as a point of clarification, the Office of Planning report does not reference the 6 subject properties being located in the 700 block of 7 8th Street Southeast. 8 9 Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank 11 you very much. 12 No one is taking responsibility for the 700 block; let me tell you that right now. 13 14 (Laughter.) 15 Okay, questions from the Board on the Office of Planning's report? Clarifications? 16 17 (No response.) 18 do appreciate that, and also parking calculation. Of course, we did hear from the 19 Applicant there were four, obviously, and how you have 20 21 done it in terms of that, the square footage is appropriate, six provided, and I think it is 22 23 excellent analysis. If there is nothing further from the 24 25 Board, I would ask if the Applicant has any cross

1	examination questions of the Office of Planning.
2	MR. STILLWELL: No, Mr. Chairman. We
3	concur with the Office of Planning's report and we
4	have no questions.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Just to be
6	inclusive, does the ANC on my right and my left either
7	have cross examination questions of the Office of
8	Planning? No cross?
9	MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner Johnson, 8D02
10	is in favor.
11	MS. ADAMS: Commissioner Robin Adams,
12	8D01, favor.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, excellent, in
14	which case I don't have any other governmental reports
15	sent to this application. We can move right into the
16	ANC's report. We can start with Exhibit 24.
17	Mrs. Miller, did you have a comment?
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I just want to
19	make a comment. We just got this May 8th letter, so
20	maybe I'm missing it.
21	But our regulations require that, if
22	someone is going to speak on behalf of the ANC, as
23	opposed to just as a person, that it be set forth in
24	the resolution that's voted upon that that person is
25	authorized to represent the ANC.

1	So, Mr. Jordan, I don't see that in the
2	new ANC letter submitted May 8th.
3	MR. JOHNSON: No, the resolution he has
4	provided, made in that resolution, as a member, Ms.
5	Soisette Lumpkin, Housing Committee, can speak; I made
6	that provision in it, as the Chair at that point.
7	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I'm sorry, do
8	you want to bring our attention
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: In the resolution
10	Mr. Jordan would be able to speak as the Chair of the
11	Housing Committee
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Which one are we
13	talking about?
14	MR. JOHNSON: February the 23rd.
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: February the
16	23rd, that resolution, right, okay.
17	MR. JOHNSON: Yes. Wait a minute. The
18	resolution is dated March the 1st.
19	MR. STILLWELL: I believe you are
20	referring to Exhibit No. 24 in your record.
21	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I saw that. I
22	guess my question is, with this latest ANC letter,
23	there is no one authorized to speak as far as I can
24	see.
25	MR. JORDAN: I don't have a problem with

1 not being able to speak as it relates to it. I'm just 2 saying we have submitted that, and you have that now 3 before you to deal with it. VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I didn't 4 5 mean speak; I mean speak as a representative of the 6 ANC. 7 MR. JORDAN: Well, let me just do this Our bylaws say that, if the Chairman 8 this way: 9 refuses to sign a letter or the Vice Chair, then it 10 works its way on down. So that's why I was here 11 today, because the Acting Chair, which would be Maria 12 Powell, refused to or declined to come and testify 13 today on behalf of this. 14 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okav. What I 15 want to say is, and I can see if my Board concurs with me, our regulations say, if you're going to represent 16 17 the ANC, you have to have that kind of a resolution. 18 Not that you can't speak as a person; it's just not 19 going to carry the great weight as representing the 20 ANC. 21 MR. JORDAN: Well, can I suggest another 22 thing then, too? About the resolution, the resolution 23 that was submitted by the ANC doesn't contain that 24 statement either. 25 And the other part about it is that you can look at the resolution itself and it says that, whereas Commissioner Maria Powell made a motion -this resolution was never offered by any Commissioner nor adopted. I don't know how this resolution came into existence, but there was a motion made to support this but there was never a resolution. So in that respect, we don't have anybody who can speak for us because that letter, that resolution, doesn't say who can speak for us either. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Well, at the risk of making some grave error, I would like to hear from both, and then we will proceed with how and what we give great weight to in terms of whether this actual issue and element is resolved prior our decisionmaking on it. think there are some informative provisions in the ANC regulations involved that we could look at as needed in terms of acceptance of the May 8th, but it is not timely. However, as always, we will get down to the base idea of why we are here, and that is to hear factual information. So, with that, I think let's proceed. I will start on my right and --MEMBER ETHERLY: If I could, Mr. Chair --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.

2.0

MEMBER ETHERLY: -- just I agree with you wholeheartedly in terms of the direction and in terms of hearing both, and that the question for the Board will be how we deal with the issue of great weight. But I will definitely emphasize, as you suggested at the outset of this, that the Board definitely has no authority or power to, shall we say, adjudicate or sort out what clearly is a division of some sort within the ANC. But I think it would be helpful to get the perspectives on the table, and then we will sort out the issue of great weight as we move forward.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I just want to say I'm not in disagreement with that. I just wanted to let them know that we're not necessarily interpreting that they are representing the ANC. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. All good points.

Let's proceed.

MR. JOHNSON: I guess it's still "good morning." I'm Commissioner O. V. Johnson, and I am presenting this on behalf of ANC-8D. As of February the 23rd, I was the Chair, even if there has been some development subsequently to that date.

The division of the Commission arose when there was a division of the vote on this particular learning center. There are three of us in favor of it and two voted to oppose the center. The reason was not given at that particular meeting because the residents that we held a meeting for asked a question, and the dissenting Commissioners failed to answer the reason why they were voting to oppose the center.

However, as you will note in the March the 1st resolution, I included, which would have been a part of the minutes, as required by you when we answer the Zoning Board, that the provision of the resolution provide an opportunity for myself and the Housing Committee and all members of that particular Housing Committee, which is Mr. Jordan, if they so like, because I had anticipated a dissenting opponent of this position of this particular case.

I ask that they be also allowed to present their part from a minority viewpoint, and I will present the majority viewpoint, which was passed on February the 23rd at a townhall meeting held for the affected area in 8D02, which is -- 8D01 rather; the meeting was held in 8D02 -- 8D01, which is Commissioner Robins' ANC.

All of the persons who were in attendance,

I did not find one other person at the meeting that opposed this learning center, and I'm elated that Vesta has stepped up and offered to place a learning center in our community ahead of any other projects, or whatever, they may later on undertake.

Oftentimes, developers promise you that they are going to do something in the community. They do what they're going to do, and then at the end they bail out, saying, for lack of funds, they could not go any further or they couldn't give back to the community.

So I am wholeheartedly endorsing this learning center, and I hope that you will think favorably on this particular learning center in your ruling. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank you very much. It is taken out of one of the sentences in your report that was submitted that the project would be "a valuable addition to the immediate community and bring substantial...ultimately, transform property to use that contributes to the surroundings in a substantial way...." That was the position, as you understand it, that the ANC took, okay.

Yes?

1 MS. ADAMS: Good morning. My name is 2 Robin Adams. 3 The ANC business, I want to come to you; 4 I lived in those apartments for four years. 5 those years I did work for the company and I was a community person, because there's 168 families, each 6 7 one having three to four children. There was nothing 8 them to do or anything surrounding without 9 crossing that busy Southern Avenue. You see how many 10 kids we would have lost up there by getting hit by 11 cars. 12 So the best next thing they do, breaking 13 The people that owned it at the time the windows. 14 didn't care, so it just looked rundown. 15 So I found several ways: get them a playground. I held parties. I had the Job Corps come 16 17 in and hold one to get some of those boys off the 18 corner, and they were able to help some. 19 So, finally, I decided to run for the ANC, 20 where I thought maybe I could get some funding for the 21 area or maybe push the people that own it to do more. 22 That didn't really work. 23 So Vesta did when come along 24 approached and told us about the learning center, 25 because before they came, they used to come in my two-

1 bedroom house. It started off with five kids in my 2 house for a sleepover every weekend just to keep them 3 off the street until I had fifty. 4 Out of that fifty, in my two bedrooms, 5 some of them have died; some of them are in jail; all of them couldn't read. 6 7 So when they came along and they approached us saying that they wanted to bring this 8 program, that's really, really going to help. Because 9 10 some of the parents are either on drugs -- you know, 11 the same scenario just like you saw in those pictures 12 over there. This would be the best thing because the 13 14 closest things to Southern Avenue and Chesapeake is 15 Mississippi. That's all the way up the highway. Then the next center is Hawk. 16 17 across on another highway. So these kids haven't had 18 anything for a long, long time. 19 So, like I said, the size of the agency mess going on right there, please let's think about 20 21 these children because they have been lost in this 22 system for the longest time. They didn't 23 anything, and I brought their attention to Mayor 24 Barry, had them come out there and look. We had

nothing.

1 So to turn this away from these kids that really, really need it, it would be wrong. 2 3 some kind of way we could figure it out and work this 4 out, because it's for the children at the end. If you go to any D.C. public school, the reading level is not high at all, and it's terrible. 6 7 If the parents can't read, can't nobody help. At least we know they're safe and not 8 9 throwing rocks. They're in the center and they're 10 going to learn, and then they are going to be proud of 11 where they live. 12 This is a true story. I lived there for 13 So please don't turn this down, and I 14 thank you very much. 15 MR. JOHNSON: I only have one addition to the substance to my -- I would like to say, because of 16 17 my strong support for this center and the principle of 18 abiding by the prevailing bylaws, there are some 19 Commissioners that wants to remove me. If that is to 20 be, so be it. But whatever we do, I'll be for this 21 learning center because it affects my single member 22 district. I live next to -- my area is next to hers. 23 So I am for this 100 percent. 24 The Committee came up, because I strongly 25 support this, and ruled that a certain procedure was

1 out of order, and that led to the pettiness and internal fights. So thank you very much. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you. 4 MS. ADAMS: May I say one more thing? 5 Also, I'm representing the other tenants that wanted to come and have been going to the Vesta meetings ever 6 7 since they came. A lot of them are teachers and they 8 couldn't come. But I am also representing them. They 9 are much in support of it. They met with them on Saturday and sat 10 11 down with Mr. Kerry. He answered all their questions. 12 But the ANC, when we had a meeting, none of the ANC members that are against it could answer 13 14 the question of why you don't want us to have a 15 learning center. That question was never asked. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, thank you very 16 17 much. We do appreciate that. Let's move to you, Mr. Jordan. I'm sorry 18 19 -- no, Mr. Jordan. 20 One just quick announcement and reminder: 21 that I would ask if you have a Blackberry or a Nextel 22 phone, if you would turn them off. It seems like it 23 is getting some feedback with our transmissions today. 24 MR. JORDAN: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: 25 Sure.

MR. JORDAN: The question keeps coming up about why are we opposed to it. I want to state from the start we are not opposed to it. The question is, and I think some of you have raised some issues about, how do we ensure that this will do the things that they are saying it is going to do?

Nobody is more concerned about the kids than I am. While Ms. Adams is raising questions about the fate of the D.C. public schools, I am working every day with the superintendent and others to bring parenting centers to our ward and trying, working with the local school restructuring teams, and what have you, to try to make the D.C. public school systems able to accommodate our children.

So I think we need something like this, but the question is, is it going to do it or is it a hollow promise? Now let's deal with a couple of things.

One is that when we talk about the people who are in support of it, there are homeowners contiguous to this site who were never involved in the process. Mr. John Evans, who goes to my church, who lives in a home right next to this site, was never involved. So what I am saying is we wanted a process that brought all the community together, so that they

could have a voice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second thing, when we looked at this, because we keep talking about all the kids, all the kids, if you will look at the apartments now, you see many of the people have been put out of those apartments. Many of those units are vacant.

We raised the question with Mr. Hamer at that meeting in February, have they met the requirement in terms of residents, because they say, in the process of buying" or at supposedly they own them. So we said, well, did you meet the right-of-first-refusal requirement? "Yes, it was waived, " he said.

We have talked to the Department, I mean the D.C. Housing Finance Agency staff there, because pending before them is an application for funding. What they told us was that they have held up that application because they have not received from DCRA assurances that the right-of-first-refusal was offered to all the residents.

So what we are saying is we don't want to do the zoning and then at some point the financing falls through for the housing, and then there is no assurance that this is going to be built. Because if Vesta doesn't get the housing loan, and if they can't

rehab those units, then there's no incentive for them to build.

The second thing that we were concerned with -- and Mr. Hamer knows that we have raised this question, and he was vague about it today, but then he answers it. We want a firm commitment so that it's open to the community, not exclusively for just the 180 folks in that unit. There has to be some provision. If, in fact, it's going to benefit the community, as he says, then we were trying to get some kind of formula, some commitment, because the children who are going to be moving back into that site may not be the kids who need to be in there.

The children who are in areas contiguous with that site may need it more than the children who will be living there. So we were trying to get some kind of commitment from them to say, "Look, we will assure that we're going to give you `X' number of spaces in here." Then we could really feel that it benefits the community, because now it only benefits a few people.

But the first questions is: Where are they in terms of the housing financing? Can they assure us, because they've stated earlier today that they're in the process of settling on it. Well, we

1 want some assurances that the housing is going to be 2 rehabbed and that, if it is going to be rehabbed, 3 then, yes, this is a good idea to have a center. 4 I am tired of people trying to say that 5 just because you raise some questions in a meeting No, you want to 6 that you are opposed to something. 7 clarify. And let me make one last thing because our 8 Commission has been dubbed before. You know, we were 9 here a couple of years ago with Danbury Street. 10 11 were told initially that housing there was going to be 12 \$165,000 to \$185,000. Housing there on Danbury Street 13 now is \$350,000. We were lied to. 14 memorandum of understanding. It was eviscerated. 15 So we don't want to go through the same 16 thing again. We are trying to get at the front end 17 some commitments from Vesta: one, to let people from the larger community in there and, two, to make 18 19 certain that they're going to get the dollars to rehab 20 this place, to ensure that it will be, in fact, 21 affordable housing. 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank I think those are 23 you very much, Mr. Jordan. 24 excellent points.

MR. JORDAN:

25

Can I just make one last

1	point, though?
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
3	MR. JORDAN: The meeting of April 17th was
4	an official meeting.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
6	MR. JORDAN: It was duly called. Mr.
7	Johnson sent out the notice of the meeting. It was
8	not a regular meeting. It was a continuation of a
9	special meeting, because the law says that when enough
10	Commissioners file, he had a responsibility to call a
11	special meeting within 30 days. So I am just saying
12	the D.C. Code required a meeting to be held for
13	removal of the Chair.
14	There was a quorum at that meeting, and
15	the action was taken. So you can't resolve that, but
16	I'm just saying in terms of looking at our viewpoints.
17	I wish all the Commissioners were here.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
19	MR. JORDAN: But the first argument is,
20	why are you opposed to it? If they would listen
21	sometimes, they would understand people are not
22	necessarily opposed, but they want certain protections
23	and certain commitments assured.
24	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Well, and I think
25	your position here today is very clear, Mr. Jordan.

I appreciate your bringing it down. 1 2 Two things: First, I don't want to raise 3 your expectations too high of how much jurisdiction or 4 control or power we have. I think you bring up an 5 excellent point of, how much assurance do you have to make sure this happens, and happens correctly? Our 6 7 jurisdiction will begin and end in the zoning 8 regulation. 9 what they can show So us or not, 10 obviously, is what we will be ruling on, meaning the 11 housing financing or any of their financing, I'm not 12 sure that I can find anything in the regulations right 13 now that would stop us from not processing this 14 application, but I think it is an excellent point to 15 bring up. 16 just bring some clarification 17 because you're throwing around community a 18 Aren't the 180 units going to be part 19 community? 20 MR. JORDAN: Sure, but there are also some 21 people who live right next door to it. 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. And their children will be 23 MR. JORDAN: 24 excluded. See, how can they say --25 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And I think that's

1	an excellent point.
2	MR. JORDAN: that it benefits the
3	larger community? It's going to be a part of the
4	community. Then you isolate yourself as if you
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Well, if it's full,
6	if the classroom is full, but if the classroom is full
7	and the center is being used, isn't it serving the
8	community?
9	MR. JORDAN: Sure, but there's an
10	assumption that if it's full
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: No, I understand
12	that. And I think you made an excellent point: So
13	all these folks that move back into the apartments
14	maybe they all have Ph.D.s and they don't need this.
15	When does it open up? And I think we can get some
16	clarification for that, because I think I haven't
17	heard anything today that would lend me to believe
18	that this is just to be a quiet, maybe underutilized
19	is really the goal. No, it seems to me that the goal
20	of this is to make it very utilized, so they're going
21	to find the people that need it.
22	MR. JORDAN: Can I make one other point?
23	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
24	MR. JORDAN: There's a question about
25	staffing: Where's the funds coming from for the

1 center? Nobody has talked about that. Those are the 2 kind of things we wanted to vent out at the ANC 3 meeting. When we tried to raise these questions, they 4 weren't always answered. So I'm just saying to you, they could say that 10 percent of the slots will go to the community. 6 7 They could say 15 percent. Then there wouldn't be a 8 problem of ensuring -- rather than saying, "if we have 9 some space," "if we don't use up all the space," do 10 you understand? 11 I'm saying to you right now that the 12 homeowners who live contiguous to that site are going to be impacted by that center. Why should their 13 14 children be denied access to it if it is supposed to 15 benefit, quote, "the community," unquote? CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I understand. Okay. 16 17 Any other questions from the Board? 18 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I have a comment 19 and a question. 20 I just want to say, first of all, I 21 certainly understand your point about the community 22 that's there now, the children that are there now, and 23 whether that will serve them. That was one of the 24 areas that I was trying to resolve myself. 25 I just want to make a constructive

1 suggestion to your ANC, so that you don't run into 2 problems in the future where we are questioning who's representing the ANC. We have it in our regulations, 3 4 the specific requirement, but two that seem to be 5 lacking here. One was, who is authorized, not to dwell 6 7 on this? Then the other one I didn't see in this 8 letter was the notice to the community, because that's also important. 9 If there's a meeting held but we 10 don't know that the community had notice, how much 11 weight can we give that? 12 So I just wanted to bring it to your attention for the future, and I appreciate the remarks 13 14 you made. Regardless of whether they represent the 15 ANC or not, I think they were good points for us to hear and consider. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Anything 18 else? Mr. Etherly? 19 MEMBER ETHERLY: Not necessarily as a 20 followup for the ANC, I appreciate the presentations 21 on the part of all three Commissioners, and I think I 22 have a fairly decent sense of kind of where we are 23 there. This question is perhaps addressed back to Mr. 24 Stillwell because, as you probably are aware, attached

to Exhibit 24 there is a letter of understanding that

is referenced, and Commissioner Jordan did reference it as well. So I just wanted to get a sense from the Applicant as to where the Applicant is presently with the issue of a letter of understanding of some type with the ANC. Is the document that we have attached to Exhibit -- that's your letter of understanding? MR. STILLWELL: Am I on mic? I believe

I'm on mic.

But that is the letter of understanding. In that same exhibit, if you look at the "whereas" clause, it states the sequence of meetings. At the meeting of January 12th -- I should say a work session -- we met with the ANC, and it was specifically requested that we draft and submit a letter of understanding. That was done subsequently and was included in your exhibit attached with the letter of support and was discussed at the ANC meeting of February 23rd, 2006.

So at that meeting of February 23rd, 2006, each of the ANC Commissioners had received handdelivered, at least for the Chair and the Single Member District Commissioner, and at least mailed individually others, letter to the that understanding. So it was available for discussion at that meeting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, thank you. 2 MEMBER ETHERLY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 MR. JOHNSON: May I add one thing, sir? 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes, sir. 5 MR. JOHNSON: This first came to the agency on December the 15th to introduce Mr. Jordan, 6 7 as it said in the working session, which was on April 8 the 17th. We'd only had the meeting April 23rd (sic), 9 but on December the 15th at the working session they 10 introduced the project. On January the 12th we called 11 them for a working session for the Commission only, 12 where we sat down and we would go over the issues. Mr. Jordan had said early on the principle 13 14 of development. He had from December 15th to January 15 the 12th, from January the 12th to February the 23rd, to develop whatever he thought was the principle of 16 It never occurred and the issue was 17 development. never raised until after the vote on February the 18 19 I think it was raised on March the 23rd. 20 If he had those issues early on, he had 21 over 60 days to deal with that. So I guess the vote 22 surprised him to the point, but he should have raised 23 those issues. There was plenty of time. Once we went 24 to the community and after a little understanding had

arisen, after the January the 12th working session,

1	that's when we asked all the questions that we had on
2	tap that particular evening.
3	Now if we had any additional questions, we
4	could have raised them after the letter of
5	understanding, which was introduced on February the
6	17th, at the February the 23rd meeting.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. I
8	appreciate that clarification and chronology, but,
9	obviously, we have all the testimony that is in. I
10	don't want to get back into the chronology of this.
11	MR. JORDAN: I just want to clarify one
12	point, though. I never mentioned anything about the
13	principles of development. The second thing is all of
14	us did not receive the letter of understanding.
15	We have a Committee of Housing. The Chair
16	is Soisette Lumpkin. The developer, Mr. Stillwell and
17	others, should have been working through her.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
19	MR. JORDAN: And they didn't do that.
20	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: You have the letter
21	of understanding now, though, correct?
22	MR. JORDAN: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, good.
24	Excellent.
25	All right, thank you all very much. We do

1	appreciate it.
2	I am going to ask if the Applicant
3	representative has any cross examination questions of
4	any of your testimony.
5	MEMBER ETHERLY: No, sir.
6	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
7	you very much. Then thank you. I do appreciate
8	everyone's being out here.
9	At this time, then, let's move ahead to
10	persons that are present that would like to provide
11	the Board testimony. Anyone that would like to speak
12	to this application 17464, if you would come forward
13	at this time, persons in support or in opposition.
14	Let's fill up all the chairs. We have five available.
15	For the added cost, we can add another chair in.
16	(Laughter.)
17	Okay, two. Excellent. A very good
18	morning to you.
19	MS. SMITH: Good morning. My name is
20	Dorothy M. Smith, and I live at 821 Chesapeake Street
21	in Southeast Washington, D.C.
22	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
23	you. Welcome, Ms. Smith.
24	MS. SMITH: Well, thank you.
25	I'm here representing the Chesapeake

Street block along with my neighbor, Mr. Carthone Richard Carthone.

My concern is I do not, I have no problems with the center itself. I have problems with the location.

Where they are going to put the center is right in the back of my house. I'm concerned with the neighborhood being overcrowded because most of the people in my block don't have children. I love children. I have children. I have grandchildren. I have grandchildren. I have great grandchildren. But what I am saying is, if they're going to have all these people coming in from throughout the area, it's going to be overcrowded. There's going to be no place to park. All these things are going to be our problem right there on Chesapeake Street.

Now Mr. Johnson, who is adamant about the center, lives six blocks away. It's not going to affect him.

Then I don't know where the other people live ANC, concerned about at but we're our The only letter environment. We were not notified. I got was this letter, I guess from your Department, stating that you would be having this. I have not received a letter, not a telephone call. ANC has not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 sent us anything. 2 So we don't need people to speak for us. We can speak. We have a right to vote for the things 3 4 that we want in our neighborhood because we pay tax 5 just like they do. I do not understand how ANC can come in 6 7 here and say they have decided that they're going to 8 do what they want to do with my area. 9 understand that. 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure. 11 MS. SMITH: Αt least give the me 12 opportunity to say yes or no whether I want something. Now the center is a good idea. 13 14 someplace else. We're already congested where we are. 15 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. 16 MS. SMITH: Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you very much. Just to be clear, this is your opportunity, so we're 18 19 so glad that you took it and came down and spoke to 20 the Board because we'll be making a decision. 21 course, ANC plays an important role in filling the 22 record, but it is just one of those aspects that the Board will deliberate on. 23 24 So I understand that you are perhaps a 25 little disappointed that you weren't involved in the

ANC's --

2		MS.	SMITH:	Right.
---	--	-----	--------	--------

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: But here you are able to address the Board, and we have obviously heard your concerns with the placement of this center actually being in proximity to your residence and how it might, in fact, increase the parking or the lack thereof --

MS. SMITH: Right.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: -- in the area.

What is your understanding of if the majority of the people that utilize this center came from the adjacent development or redevelopment of the apartments? Would that change your understanding of the density or use of that area? Would it lessen the impact for you?

MS. SMITH: I'm not sure of that. How can you have a center come into a neighborhood and limit the children that are going to attend it? How can you do that?

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I'm not sure it would be limit, but, rather, where the kids would be coming from and whether that would have an impact. In your understanding, what impact would that be if they were walking across from the apartment buildings?

1	MS. SMITH: That would be an impact.
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, and what is
3	the impact, just for my understanding?
4	MS. SMITH: I'm not sure because I'm not
5	sure of the age limit. Then, there again, we don't
6	know who's going to move back into those apartments
7	after they have refurbished. We don't know that. It
8	might be families with no kids. So why is that
9	building going to be sitting there?
10	Now another thing I don't like, I don't
11	like the material they're putting in that building.
12	Those houses are brick houses. What is that stuff
13	that he's talking about that he's going to build it
14	with. Do you know what I'm saying?
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Uh-hum.
16	MS. SMITH: That's going to take away from
17	our area.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Understood. Okay.
19	Something of that nature, then if you looked at the
20	diagram that was actually just put back up and you see
21	the trees, do you think that the trees at all, as
22	they're proposed, add any sort of screening or buffer
23	to your residence?
24	MS. SMITH: You know another thing, too,
25	is we have been told that we are going to do certain

1	things. Then once it's approved, the contractors come
2	and do what they want to do or either they don't do
3	anything.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure, sure.
5	MS. SMITH: So I'm not sure.
6	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I can tell you that,
7	if we approve for a plan, that they'll build it, if
8	they build it. I'm not saying that we'll force them
9	to build it, but they won't vary if there are
10	specifics that we look at, as it would tend to
11	decrease an objectionable condition.
12	MS. SMITH: Okay.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Well,
14	excellent, Ms. Smith. I do appreciate your taking the
15	opportunity to come here. As I said, I think this is
16	the point that is of most critical value as it
17	pertains to the zoning issues relating to this center.
18	So we appreciate your being here.
19	MS. SMITH: And thank you so much for
20	listening.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Certainly.
22	Yes, sir?
23	MR. CARTHONE: Yes, my name is Richard
24	Carthone, Sr. I live at 827 Chesapeake Street,
25	Southeast.

1	All of the concerns that Ms. Smith has
2	spoken definitely are mine as well. I, too, I'd say
3	I've been a long-time resident of Chesapeake. As a
4	matter of fact, come June, 44 years. I've heard
5	people say they've been in the area for five, six,
6	whatever. Forty-four years.
7	I, too, was not contacted by the ANC.
8	People are just overlooking the long-time residents
9	altogether.
10	As she said, this building, I definitely
11	have no problem with education, definite. I have
12	children. On a heart, there's no problem whatsoever
13	with that, but the location again is my concern
14	because it would be, the proposal would be right
15	somewhat in the back porch. So that's my concern
16	there again, too.
17	But everything that Ms. Smith said I think
18	I would agree with that to be my major concern.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent,
20	excellent. Thank you very much. Again, we also
21	appreciate your taking the time to be down here to
22	give us your testimony in person.
23	May I ask if there are any questions from
24	the Board at this time? Yes, Mr. Parsons?
25	MR. PARSONS: I really appreciate

1 testimony. It is very helpful. 2 other alternative use of this What 3 property would be compatible to your use? It is just 4 now an open lot, I guess, that is used in some fashion 5 by the community. Maybe you just look at it; I don't know, but I'm trying to get a better understanding as 6 to your view of the existing condition and your view 7 as to what else should be built here instead of this. 8 9 MR. CARTHONE: I'm not certain exactly 10 what, but I'm just thinking. There would be a massive 11 building back here that we would have to look at. 12 I just don't know what could be placed there. I don't know what would be an alternative. 13 14 But I was thinking in terms of alternative 15 for a building around the corner on Barnaby Street. I know it would have to be cleaned off some, or 16 17 whatever, but rather than right close to somebody's 18 house. if 19 PARSONS: So somebody was 2.0 propose houses here similar to yours backing up to 21 yours, would that be objectionable? 22 MR. CARTHONE: I'm sorry? 23 MR. PARSONS: If somebody was to propose 24 to build houses here similar to yours backing up to 25 your rear yard, would that be a better use?

1	MR. CARTHONE: I would have to see it.
2	MR. PARSONS: So you really haven't
3	thought about it?
4	MR. CARTHONE: I really don't know as of
5	now.
6	MR. PARSONS: Okay.
7	MR. CARTHONE: I just don't have an answer
8	for that.
9	MR. PARSONS: So in your view, I guess,
10	leaving it the way it is is probably preferable?
11	MR. CARTHONE: Again
12	MR. PARSONS: After 44 years, pretty good,
13	huh?
14	MR. CARTHONE: Well, not that bad, but
15	when I first moved there, all the population were not
16	there. I'm saying we're being completely enclosed as
17	time goes on.
18	MR. PARSONS: I understand. All right.
19	MR. CARTHONE: So I'm not certain.
20	MR. PARSONS: Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Thank you.
22	Do you want Ms. Smith to respond to that?
23	MR. PARSONS: Could you respond to that or
24	haven't you if you haven't thought about it
25	MS. SMITH: Well, when we had children

1	my children are all gone when we had children,
2	they would use that place to play softball or
3	whatever, activities they had. It was ideal for that.
4	It's not a large place. I cannot
5	understand why you want to crowd it out like that in
6	the first place, but, anyway, you asked about, what
7	would I think? I would think it would be ideal for
8	anyone that wanted to have their children play.
9	On the opposite side from where I am they
10	have a little playground like, but a lot of the kids
11	ride their bikes back there and do little things, you
12	know. It's ideal for that, but not for a building.
13	MR. PARSONS: Thank you.
14	MS. SMITH: You're welcome.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
16	you very much.
17	Good question, Mr. Parsons.
18	Are there any other questions from the
19	Board?
20	(No response.)
21	Does the representative have any cross
22	examination of the witness?
23	MEMBER ETHERLY: No, Mr. Chairman.
24	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well, thank you

1 MS. SMITH: Thank you. 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: We do appreciate 3 your coming down. It's important to hear testimony on 4 all sides and to bring to the Board's attention any 5 potential or actual objectionable conditions that might arise. 6 7 That being said, let me ask if there's anyone else here either in support or in opposition to 8 Application 17464, and if they would come forward at 9 10 this time. 11 (No response.) 12 Not noting any other individuals present, we'll turn it over to Mr. Stillwell for closing 13 14 remarks. 15 MR. STILLWELL: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I can do this a couple of different ways. 16 I was going to 17 bring Mr. Hamer back up just to clarify a couple of 18 points that were raised. 19 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Do you have a 20 follow-up question? Why don't you launch the follow-21 up questions, too, so we can address them? 22 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Maybe he was 23 planning on addressing them anyway, but one is -- and I addressed this before, and I just want to revisit it 24 25 the question about access of children in the

1 community who are there now to the center. Because 2 the way our regulations read is that it is supposed to 3 serve the neighborhood which it is in, and how big 4 that is. I'm not reading that exactly, but I think I think that the 5 that's a very legitimate concern. interest of your group is very laudable. 6 7 So we have a community and you're coming into the community and you're doing great stuff, but 8 then the kids that are there maybe now won't be able 9 10 to use it; maybe they will. I mean it sounded like it 11 depends on space availability. 12 But I'm wondering if you can really give assurances that this will be accessible to 13 14 children who are in the neighborhood now. 15 MR. HAMER: Well, let me say this: Ιt will definitely be for the children of the community, 16 17 of which Avalon and Southern Court are a part of that 18 community. 19 acquiring Avalon Again, we are 20 Southern Court. First and foremost, this building 21 will be for the residents of Avalon and Southern 22 Court. Again, we do have capacity issues. 23 Ιf 24 those issues are not a problem, then it would be

opened up to the children of the broader community.

1 But, yes, to answer your question, the community 2 center will be for the community. 3 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And there was 4 question -- we don't have any authority over the 5 housing aspect of this, but if the public housing fell through for some reason, would the learning center 6 7 still go forward, or there's no question that the housing is going to fall through? 8 Well, we have in many cases 9 MR. HAMER: 10 with affordable houses, which this is, affordable 11 rental houses, we have layered financing. conventional lenders for construction loans. 12 13 been working with D.C. Housing Finance Agency, 14 which we submitted an application last August. 15 received an eligibility resolution in late February, and we're scheduled to close with the D.C. Housing 16 17 Finance Authority in June. 18 Again, with the Housing Finance Authority, 19 we're utilizing tax-exempt bonds, 4 percent low-income 2.0 housing tax credits. Again, we are scheduled to 21 close. 22 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. 23 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Anything else? 24 (No response.) 25 Okay, let's get a guick rebuttal.

1 MR. STILLWELL: Yes. Mr. Chairman, Mr. 2 Hamer was going to address exactly -- I was going to 3 have him address the very issues that Commissioner 4 Miller presented to him. With that, I would just like to respond to a couple of references in the record. 6 Mr. Jordan 7 indicates, for example, that Mr. John Evans never I would just like to put into the 8 received notice. 9 record that in your Exhibit No. 7 is the 200 property 10 owners list. On the third page Mr. John B. Evans is 11 listed. 12 In addition, it is my understanding that it was announced during, and I would say it was 13 14 announced during the ANC meeting of February 23rd, 15 that notice for that meeting was specifically handdelivered to the adjacent property owners, which I 16 believe would also include Mr. Evans. 17 Now with regard to the adjacent property 18 19 owners, they have received due notice, as required by 20 the zoning regulations, and I believe additional notice beyond the posting based upon some of the 21 22 activities as announced by the ANC. So with that, I don't know what more we 23 24 could have done with regard to addressing concerns

that may have existed with the residents if they were

not brought to us, or at least to the ANC and, in turn, brought to us.

I would also like to point out that Vesta, on the issue of the learning center -- and, again, we first went to the ANC regarding our special exception application for the learning center. We have met extensively with the learning center, and even the minutes which reflect the meetings from December through present do not pick up all of the meetings that Vesta and Vesta representatives have had out in the community, but to emphasize for the learning center or the community center application, which is before you now, there has been extensive consultation with the Advisory Neighborhood Commission, the Commissioners, and the residents.

Even in the record presented today, everyone has indicated that they have no opposition to the learning center, but there are external issues that I believe this Board doesn't have the jurisdiction to deal with that they have questioned. Those questions will be resolved in other forums.

But I would like the record to reflect that even Mr. Jordan indicated he does not oppose the learning center, and no one at any of the ANC meetings has stood up and opposed the learning centers.

With regard to how you determine where to apply the great weight, again, I'm not going to get into the internal grievances of the ANC, but the Applicant's position is we have done what is required of us by law. That is to confer, consult, and to seek advice from the ANC, which we have done extensively and which is well-documented by letters of support in Exhibit No. -- bear with me a second -- Exhibit No. 19 in your record, which would be a letter of support from Single Member District Commissioner Robin Adams, and then in your record No. 24 which is the letter of support with the resolution of the vote by Chairman O. V. Johnson.

That also includes a letter of understanding that we were required to present to the ANC, pursuant to the work session I had mentioned earlier.

With regard to the Code requirements, I believe the record has indicated that there has been no evidence indicating a detrimental effect to the community. In fact, I think just the opposite has been presented. We hear very frequently we need programs to bridge the digital divide. This is exactly the type of program we believe addresses that need, and we believe that it is consistent and is not

1 inconsistent with any of the regulations, of the 2 zoning regulations specifically for special exception approval for a community center. 3 4 Having said that, unless this Board has 5 any specific questions, I request approval of this application and I daresay, based upon the fact that 6 the record indicates by all parties that they have no 7 8 opposition to the center, to this application, I 9 request a bench decision. 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well. Thank 11 you very much. We do appreciate that. 12 I do believe the record is full. I don't 13 think we need to keep the record open for 14 additional information. 15 However, with the time constraint, it is 16 noon and we have one more case to go -- we have taken a lot of time on this one -- with good reason to do 17 so, let me ask first if there's any followup. 18 19 (No response.) 20 If there are no last comments or follow-up 21 clarifications from the Board, I am afraid that we 22 would set this for a decision, just for the time constraints that we have. I would like to do that as 23 24 quickly as possible. Let me hear from others, but I

think that would be on the 6th of June at

Τ	regularly scheduled public meeting.
2	MEMBER ETHERLY: I would agree with that
3	course of action, Mr. Chair.
4	I think the ANC piece is something that
5	perhaps the Board is going to have to talk through,
6	not necessarily in great detail, but in some detail,
7	just to at least sort it out clearly in the course of
8	deliberation. Rather than kind of trundle through
9	that now, I think it would be most appropriate to set
10	it all for a decisionmaking, do it expeditiously, as
11	you noted, with a June decision date, rather than
12	working it out today.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
14	you very much.
15	Any other comments, questions, concerns of
16	setting that for the 6th from the Board?
17	(No response.)
18	Okay. Let me ask, then, the last followup
19	on Exhibit No. 19 in your recent submission or latest
20	submission, it's the site plan, which is the same as
21	the Board here
22	MR. STILLWELL: That is correct.
23	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Let me just get a
24	quick clarification. The testimony in this is showing
25	that it is actually surrounded on three sides by an

	109
1	alley?
2	MR. STILLWELL: That is correct.
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: One is 16-foot and
4	the other is approximately the same size? Is that
5	correct?
6	MR. STILLWELL: That is correct.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So perhaps about 16
8	feet and then, of course, from the public right-of-
9	away
10	MR. STILLWELL: Yes. And, Mr. Chairman,
11	I believe the alley widths are also referenced in
12	Exhibit No. 7, which is the Surveyor's Platt.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: In the platt, right,
14	exactly. Very well.
15	MR. STILLWELL: I'm sorry, our Exhibit
16	number is 7 in the application package.
17	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. I don't have
18	any other questions for the application.
19	Is there anything else from any of the
20	Board members?
21	(No response.)
22	Ms. Bailey?
23	MS. BAILEY: Sorry, Mr. Chairman.
24	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
25	MS. BAILEY: Is it possible to get

1	clarification of the address before the decision or is
2	that not necessary?
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I'm not sure that
4	would happen in the time before June, is my
5	understanding as to when you would pick an address.
6	MR. STILLWELL: It was my understanding
7	that what happened, after the fact, but my
8	understanding now is that there is no fixed address
9	for this property.
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, I think we're
11	just going to run it with the lot and square
12	MS. BAILEY: The lot and square.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: for the official
14	site of this. Very well.
15	MR. STILLWELL: Mr. Chairman, the last
16	thing is I just want clarification that the three
17	exhibits that we have submitted have been accepted
18	into the record.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's correct.
20	Excellent.
21	If there's nothing further then
22	anything else?
23	MS. BAILEY: Just the color renderings,
24	sir. I don't believe those are in the file, the
25	color-coded

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: This site plan?
2	MS. BAILEY: There was some other color-
3	coded renderings that were presented today.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Oh, I'm sorry, yes.
5	Obviously, if it was presented today, we will have it
6	in the record if it isn't already put in.
7	Good, very well. Then we will see you on
8	the 6th perhaps.
9	MR. STILLWELL: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: We will set a
11	decision. Of course, the record is closed at this
12	time. We will call this for decision and
13	deliberation; there would be no other additional
14	testimony provided to the Board in this case.
15	Thank you all very much.
16	Let's move ahead then and we will call the
17	next case, the final case for the morning.
18	MS. BAILEY: Application No. 17466 of 2109
19	10th Street Associates LLC, pursuant to 11 DCMR
20	3103.2, for a variance to allow a reduction in the
21	required amount of residential recreation space under
22	Section 773, serving an existing apartment house in
23	the ARTS/C-2-B District at premises 2109 10th Street,
24	Northwest, Square 358, Lot 9.
25	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Unfortunately, a

1 very good afternoon to you both. If you would like to 2 state your name and address for the record, I think we 3 could get right into this application. 4 MR. SHER: Mr. Chairman, for the record, my name is Steven E. Sher, the Director of Zoning and 5 Land Use Services with the Law Firm of Holland & 6 7 Knight. Seated to my right is Paul Robertson. We are here on behalf of 2109 10th Street Associates LLC, the 8 9 owner of the property. 10 This is a preliminary matter with respect 11 to the posting of the notice on the property. Through 12 inadvertence and miscommunication within our office, the notice did not get posted until six days in 13 14 advance of the hearing rather than the fifteen days 15 normally required. We would request the Board to waive the rules and allow the hearing to go forward 16 17 this afternoon, as opposed to this morning. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: 18 We appreciate your 19 bringing that to our attention. The Board was well 20 aware of that, and that's actually why we grouped them 21 all together, the non-posting crowd. 22 (Laughter.) I note that ANC, Mr. Spalding is here. I 23 would like to hear if you have any objections with us 24 25 continuing, as this wasn't posted for the proper time.

1	MR. SPALDING: Phil Spalding. I live at
2	1929 13th Street, and I represent ANC-1B, and we have
3	no opposition to going forward.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
5	you.
6	Board members?
7	MEMBER ETHERLY: Mr. Spalding, would you
8	suggest that we do, however, be somewhat hard on Mr.
9	Sher for missing a posting or should we go easy?
10	MR. SPALDING: I think there have been
11	enough scoldings this morning.
12	MEMBER ETHERLY: Thank you, sir.
13	MR. SPALDING: I think Mr. Sher was here
14	to listen to them.
15	MEMBER ETHERLY: Excellent. Thank you,
16	sir. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
17	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: We appreciate that.
18	I have no difficulty continuing on this.
19	In fact, just the fact that the ANC is here and,
20	obviously, it has gone through the public notice,
21	let's move ahead unless there is any objection from
22	the Board.
23	Mr. Sher, it's all to you.
24	MR. SHER: Mr. Chairman, members of the
25	Board we can be as brief as you like us to be We

1 are prepared to stand on the written record, if the 2 Board has pleasure in that. Otherwise, I can give you a very brief summary of what this case is about. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I think we need a 5 brief summary on this one. Okay. This is an existing 6 MR. SHER: 7 building located on the east side of 10th Street between V and W Streets, Northwest. 8 It's in a C-2-9 B/ARTS overlay district. The key, obviously, there is 10 C-2-B. 11 It is a small building. It has only seven 12 The lot's only 25-feet wide. units. It's a 13 relatively new building. It was built with the 14 understanding as far as the permanent plans were 15 concerned that we would provide the appropriate amount of residential recreation space, and we did. 16 The building is built. The residential 17 18 recreation space is there. Frankly, it's not very 19 good space. We designed it; it complies, but it's not 20 very good space. As a result of that, as a result of the 21 22 building having been built and the space configured 23 the way it is, and the determination by Mr. Robertson on behalf of the owner and others that it would be 24

better if we could use that space for parking as

opposed to residential recreation space, what we are here before the Board to seek is to reduce the amount of residential recreation space to 688 square feet and to provide six total parking spaces on the site for the seven units in the building.

Parking is required under the regulations only at the rate of one space for every three units. So for seven units we are only actually required to provide two spaces, but the demand in the neighborhood for parking would seem to suggest that, if we could provide more, that would be better.

So what we would like to do is provide, as I said, six total parking spaces, as shown on the plans which we submitted to the Board with our application. The application was filed on December 5th. The plans are actually dated November 29th.

There would be four full-sized spaces and two compact spaces. Only two full-sized spaces are required. So it's more parking than the regulations require.

Because of the width of the lot and the size of the property, there is essentially no other way to provide residential recreation space elsewhere on the lot. If you think about putting it up on the roof, you need to have two means of egress and other

requirements for being able to access the roof. On a 25-foot wide lot, it just can't happen.

So either we keep the residential recreation space on the ground as it is now in the admittedly not very good condition or we can replace with a total of six parking spaces on the ground floor, partly under the building and partly in the open space adjacent to public alleys at the rear.

We think that the size and shape of the lot, the configuration, the size of the building create the exceptional situation. The practical difficulty is, again, that there is no other way to provide the residential recreation space other than where it is now, and we think that space is better used for parking, and that parking would be a more compatible and a more beneficial use of that area than leaving it in the way it is now.

That's the two-minute summary.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Indeed, and I think that is an excellent summary. My understanding, then, is the uniqueness really is circumstantial and physical. I mean you have a lot that is about 3,125 square feet, which is particularly small in my familiarity with C-2-B. What you are saying is that there is a circumstance around that, that uniqueness,

1 that tightness of everything trying to get fit into 2 it, the circumstances that once it's up and complete, 3 that the reality of the utilization, the reality of 4 the compliance with the regulations actually lends 5 itself to some of the practical difficulty of the reality of the need and the demand. 6 7 Now parking, of course, is part of our Parking is not independent of 8 regulations. 9 You've met the requirement. However, what you are 10 saying is that the better utilization of it puts you 11 into a competitive aspect that makes it practically 12 difficult to completely comply with the regulations. I was noting in the 25-foot dimension that 13 14 we could say, then, just modify the building to put it 15 up on the roof. What I am hearing you say, it is my understanding that, first of all, two means of egress 16 17 off the roof have not been provided, and if you did 18 put a structure and a cover on the stairs, would you 19 potentially lose that dimension of the 25-foot which would be required for the roof? 20 21 Is that also correct? 22 MR. SHER: Yes, that's part of it. 23 able to get up there is the biggest problem, pure 24 access.

Sure.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS:

MR. SHER: Then if you had to put a roof structure up there and you had to set the roof structure back, you've got an alley on one side, so we would have to set it back from the south. I guess we could technically put it up against the north side property wall. At the moment that is an open side of the building. There is no adjoining building there. But it just didn't seem to be a way that we could do that that would comply without creating other areas of relief that we might have to come back for.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right, right. It seems like, kind of in sum, when I was thinking about this this morning, and then in reading the entire record, it's almost the de minimis size and the heavy requirement in the C-2-B for the residential rec percentage creates a practical difficulty. Even if we kind of remove this from it's already provided, just in the particular smallness of trying to make this actually usable obviously shows that it could not -- it wasn't done.

MR. SHER: There is probably a dimension of a lot somewhere where 15 percent of the amount of residential floor space in C-2-B zone is the right amount. Unfortunately, most of the cases I've seen have either been too big for that dimension or too

1 small for that number. So you wind up with way more space than you need or an ability not to provide the 2 space because the site is too small. 3 4 Somewhere out there I'll find a case that 5 I won't have to bring here because it will meet the residential requirements. It will be the right amount 6 7 of space, and you and I will be doing something 8 different on a Tuesday. But so far that hasn't 9 happened. 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Parking a car or 11 recreating. 12 (Laughter.) 13 Okay, questions? Yes, Mr. Mann? 14 MEMBER MANN: With all your experience in 15 these sort of situations, I'm surprised that we're hearing this today. Was this unanticipated? 16 17 MR. SHER: What was unanticipated in part was the demand for parking that the residents in the 18 building had and also unanticipated how unfriendly the 19 20 space turns out to be when you're out there on the 21 ground. 22 I think OP's pictures that are attached, 23 the pictures attached to the OP report are a little 24 clearer than the pictures we had attached to our 25 application, which are a little fuzzy when they got

1	reproduced. But it shows a pretty barren space
2	adjacent to two public alleys, one on the south and
3	one on the east. It's just not very good space, and
4	I don't think we anticipated that it would be as
5	unfriendly as it turns out to be.
6	MR. PARSONS: I would just like to follow
7	up.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes, go ahead.
9	MR. PARSONS: What was anticipated the
10	under part of the building to be used for? Was it to
11	be enclosed or an exercise room or what were people to
12	do there?
13	MR. SHER: There is a space inside the
14	building that is an enclosed conditioned space with
15	access down from inside the building. The other space
16	was just there because it met the literal number of
17	square feet we had to provide.
18	MR. PARSONS: And people would park there?
19	I mean there's no other alternative? They're not
20	going to sit out there and read a book or exercise.
21	MR. SHER: I suppose you could use your
22	Blackberry there where I can't use it in here, but
23	it's not very good space. That's all I'll say.
24	MR. PARSONS: Thank you.
25	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes?

1 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And that space, are you saying that it is impossible to make that 2 space good space for recreation? 3 I mean we have a lousy picture. It looks terrible. Who wants to use 4 5 But are you saying it cannot be transformed into good recreation space? 6 7 MR. ROBERTSON: My name is Paul Robertson. 8 I'm the owner of the property. I suppose, given a sufficient amount of 9 10 could time and investment, that something 11 transformed, but in the real world of what would 12 normally seem feasible, no. It is also viewed from -- there's a very 13 14 large building behind, so there is really no privacy 15 back there. Either there's a million people looking into it -- you can't see it from the pictures, but 16 17 it's the Rhapsody building. 18 Also, what was unanticipated was 19 degree of demand for parking when we were getting 20 close to the sales, you know, time when we were going 21 to sell the units and during when we were selling the 22 units, for people to have parking. 23 And also comments from the neighbors when 24 they found out that there was only going to be the 25 limited parking and why weren't we pushing to get more

1	parking. So it's not just our interest, but it's
2	everyone because there are other condominium projects
3	going up in the neighborhood. It's a block off of U
4	Street.
5	So it was sort of a combination of
6	factors.
7	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I guess
8	my question was fitting this into the variance test.
9	There are two issues. I mean one is I think what Mr.
10	Parsons and Mr. Mann probably have said like, why
11	didn't you think of this before? It's kind of your
12	architects draw it out. Why can't they tell ahead of
13	time that this rec faces
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Was this a matter of
15	rec project?
16	MR. ROBERTSON: Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's why.
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Well, not if
19	they couldn't meet the recreation, residential
20	recreation requirement; they would have to come down -
21	-
22	MR. ROBERTSON: But it was met.
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: But it was met.
24	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: But you're asking

1 we here now when it's already done? Why didn't you 2 look at this before? 3 I think it's probably my understanding 4 would be, well, of course not, that it was faster --5 so you don't open yourself up to coming to us because, as much as we think we're fun, I don't think everyone 6 7 does. 8 (Laughter.) 9 So you move ahead. Now what I'm hearing 10 is that now we have a project that has come 11 fruition, and it met all the requirements of our 12 regulation but the reality of what that means isn't 13 successful. 14 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, I could 15 see that. 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's how 17 reading it. 18 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So then 19 the next question is, though we hear often parking is 20 better than this kind of recreation space, it's 21 preferable, it's more compatible, it's not such a 22 great regulation; it's being revisited. Okay, that's 23 not unique. So I guess I would like if you could 24 25 address -- maybe some of the uniqueness here is the

reality of how it's playing out in your case, but not just that parking is preferable to the people who want to buy the units, unless that comes into an economic argument or something related to your practical difficulty on it.

MR. SHER: No, I don't think we necessarily need to get to any economic argument here. What is exceptional is the size of the site and what you would be forced to do in order to provide recreation space in some other way.

So you're back to the situation you've heard many times. You wind up taking programmed space out of the building, because if you have to put more space inside, it takes away from the number of units that you're providing within the 3.5 FAR that C-2-B allows, and/or if you look for other alternatives, the building comes to the property at the front; you can't get up to the roof because of the means of egress, and the trickle-down effect that that has going through the building to try to do that just to provide recreation space for seven units.

We also have space that can't count as residential recreation space because it's not communal space, but there are private recreation spaces for four of the seven units in the building.

So all of those factors together we believe constitute a situation where the Board could grant a variance, find that there is an exceptional situation or find that the integrity and purpose of the regulation is not being detracted from.

MEMBER ETHERLY: If I could just jump in, Mr. Chair, I kind of find myself chuckling a little bit. Your choice of words, Mr. Sher, I think was spot-on where you indicated that the Board could do it. I think I'm kind of with Mrs. Miller a little bit. I guess I'm struggling with the fact -- and I get the argument. I get it, but I'm struggling with the fact that, well, you built the darned thing and it's there, and you got the space, and you did it as a matter of why you're totally in compliance. Now you're kind of asking us to undo the space.

Again, the pictures said a thousand words.

It's crappy space, using the unscientific term of it.

But it's there.

So I'm trying to struggle with kind of undoing the fact that it's there and you're completely in compliance. I agree with where Mrs. Miller was going in that the parking piece I completely get. I think we're all intimately familiar with that, that lament that we hear now almost as a daily refrain here

of struggling to get my hand around it. 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I appreciate that, 3 4 and I think everyone is. It reminds me of an 5 analogous case that we heard on residential recreation space where it was brought forth and the diagram 6 7 showed, and it was a perfect space that complied with 8 outdoor residential recreation space, and, ironically, 9 it was 9x19. It was in between six other spots, and 10 that was the residential rec space. We looked at it 11 and we said, "My gosh, who's going to bring a chair 12 out there? Why are we going to sit there?" And they said, "Well, we need relief from it because that's 13 14 what we're providing or it could be a parking space." 15 I understand that there is great concern because of the threshold tasked to the variance, but 16 residential rec is interesting that it is a variance. 17 I think there is particular -- well, I'll leave it at 18 19 that for now. Other questions, clarifications? 20 21 (No response.) 22 Why don't we proceed with the rest of this and we'll see where we are in a few moments? 23 24 it is important to get to the Office of Planning's report, and they are here to present it. 25

in the District of Columbia. But I'm just still kind

1 MR. JESSICK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, 2 members of the Board. My name is Matt Jessick. 3 with the Office of Planning. 4 The Applicant for Case No. 17466 has 5 requested variance relief from Section 773, Residential Recreation Space. The Office of Planning 6 7 has no outstanding issues and recommends approval of 8 the application. As was mentioned, the property at 2109 9 10 10th Street is an existing condominium building which 11 was built as a matter of right and currently meets all 12 zoning requirements, including residential recreation 13 space. 14 The Applicant has heard requests from the 15 community to provide more off-street parking and also feels that the building would be marketable if a 16 17 higher parking ratio were provided. 18 The Applicant has met the three-part test 19 for a variance. The property is unique in that it is 20 25-feet wide. This uniqueness leads directly to the 21 second part of the test, which is that it would be 22 practically difficult to provide recreation space on the roof because of the minimum area and dimensional 23 24 requirements and the need for two means of egress.

The result is that a large portion of the

1 recreation space is provided currently at the rear of 2 the property near the intersection of two public 3 alleys. The recreation space is currently 4 unattractive and perhaps, some would say, unusable. 5 The third part of the test is, can the relief be granted without detriment to the public good 6 7 without impairing the intent of the zoning 8 regulations? As Ι mentioned, the surrounding neighbors, in fact, asked for additional off-street 9 parking and, therefore, the relief would not cause a 10 11 detriment to the public good. 12 The zoning regulations aim to provide enough recreation space and parking spaces for each 13 14 development, and this Applicant would provide 15 additional parking above the requirement and would still provide some recreation space for the residents. 16 The ANC voted nine, zero, two to support 17 18 the application, and in their decision they cited the provision of additional off-street parking as a reason 19 20 to support the project. 21 The Office of Planning has received no 22 letters or phone calls of support or opposition to the 23 Again, we have no outstanding issues and 24 recommend approval.

I would be happy to take any questions.

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
2	you very much.
3	Questions from the Board?
4	MEMBER ETHERLY: Not for the Office of
5	Planning. I just want to come back real quickly to
6	Mr. Sher just to make sure I understand something.
7	The current recreation space that you are
8	providing, are you counting let me look to page 8
9	of 8 of the Office of Planning's report. It's the
10	picture on the very back page. The recreation space,
11	just to be sure that I'm clear, the space that
12	currently has the very lovely is that a convertible
13	Saab, by the way a very lovely convertible Saab
14	there, is that counted as recreation space for
15	purposes of zoning?
16	MR. SHER: The Saab, no.
17	MEMBER ETHERLY: The Saab itself is
18	recreational.
19	(Laughter.)
20	MR. SHER: Well, that's true. I hadn't
21	thought about that. That's a good argument. No,
22	never mind.
23	(Laughter.)
24	No, that is not.
25	MEMBER ETHERLY: Okay, just the outside

1	portion?
2	MR. SHER: The outside portion there, some
3	of the space inside the building, and other spaces
4	MEMBER ETHERLY: Other areas?
5	MR. SHER: Not the two parking spaces that
6	are there.
7	MEMBER ETHERLY: Okay, got you. Thank
8	you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So it's the rear
10	yard which is 25x25, which is your 1375, and then the
11	addition up to 1408 is inside the building?
12	MR. SHER: I'm not sure your numbers match
13	my numbers, but the required amount of recreation
14	space is 1339 or 1399 1399. We want to provide
15	688. So we would have about 7 percent instead of
16	about 15 percent.
17	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. But following
18	up on Mr. Etherly, just two parking spaces are
19	provided, correct?
20	MR. SHER: Right.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: But we're showing
22	three under the building?
23	MR. SHER: Right, there would be three
24	under the building and three in the back.
25	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And the reason why

there aren't three under the building now is because
some of that space goes to residential recreation?
MR. SHER: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. So maybe Mr.
Etherly was clear on that; I wasn't. Okay.
And that's the portion where you wrote in
the submission that part of it is not open directly to
the sky?
MR. SHER: Right.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. All right,
there it is.
Anything else? Clarifications? Ms.
Miller? Of the Office of Planning or the Applicant?
VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Applicant. Have
you provided all the private rec space that you can?
MR. SHER: Yes. On the plans there are
four terraces shown for four of the units. Two of
them are in the middle, south-facing on the third
floor, and two on the front west-facing.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Anything else from
the Board for the Office of Planning? Does the
Applicant have any cross examination of the Office of
Planning?
ND DIDGONG. N
MR. PARSONS: No, sir.

	132
1	one question here.
2	Mr. Mann?
3	MEMBER MANN: Mr. Sher, I have a question
4	for you.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
6	MEMBER MANN: If this
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: For me?
8	MEMBER MANN: No, for Mr. Sher.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That was "Mr.
10	Chair," not "Mr. Sher."
11	(Laughter.)
12	MEMBER MANN: That's correct.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: It's lunchtime, I'm
14	sorry. Thank you, Mr. Mann.
15	MEMBER MANN: If this application were
16	before us before the building were built, if we were
17	just looking at plans in your request in this
18	variance, would your arguments be any different or
19	would what you are asking us to consider as the
20	variance test be any different?
21	MR. SHER: Essentially, no. What we have
22	discovered from experience is that after construction
23	the space is just not very good. But I think if we
24	had come in and tried to figure out what space we
25	could have provided that would be good, it's just not

1 there. So the answer is no. The size of the lot, the 2 shape of the lot, the inability to use the roof, all 3 those things would be the same whether the building 4 was built or before the building was built. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Anything else? Any other questions? 6 7 (No response.) 8 Very well, let's move ahead then. 9 Yes, Mr. Spalding. 10 MR. SPALDING: Phil Spalding. I live at 11 1929 13th Street, and I represent ANC-1B. 12 We have submitted a letter into the record 13 that shows our unanimous support of this application. 14 To that I would like to add a couple of small pieces 15 of context for the Board. Square 358 is approximately 25 percent R-16 17 5-B and the remaining three-quarters is ARTS/C-2-B. 18 of difficulties with ARTS/C-2-B with One the 19 residential development is that we cannot provide RPP 20 on-street parking. In this case, Square 358 is being 21 predominantly developed as residential. A few years 22 ago I would have described this square as maybe 30 to 23 50 percent commercial with about 40 housing units. 24 Within the next few years it's going to be just two

commercial outlets and approaching 300 residential

_	units.
2	The demand here is specifically weighted
3	toward parking rather than residential recreation.
4	Our community does provide more than enough recreation
5	of different varieties, maybe not in the residential
6	context, but we do provide lots of residents
7	recreational opportunities.
8	In this specific case, when it came before
9	the Commission, we weighed the balance of those two
LO	things. Here, where there is not going to be
L1	regulated on-street parking, that was a specific
L2	difficulty for residents who would be buying into this
L3	block. So trading off a bit of the residential
L4	recreation space to accommodate off-street parking for
L5	these cars was a trade that we were willing to make.
L6	Other than that, I will rest on what we
L7	have submitted.
L8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
L9	you very much.
20	Questions from the Board?
21	(No response.)
22	Does the Applicant have any cross?
23	MR. SHER: No.
24	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you.

Actually, that's of particular interest. I think that

1 is a good summation of the surrounding area. That 2 puts it into great context in terms of the R-5-B that surrounds the area and the C-2 and the impact of that. 3 4 When you say that, in fact, the blocks could not get 5 their residential permit parking because of district of which the zoning has labeled it, that's a 6 7 fascinating aspect that I think also changes the parameters of how we would look at a successful 8 9 implementation of the regulations. 10 That being said, let's move Very well. 11 ahead to any other persons present in this Application 12 17466, either in support or in opposition; can come forward at this time. 13 14 (No response.) 15 Not noting a stampede of people that come forward, we can move ahead for any closing remarks 16 17 that you might have. 18 MR. SHER: Mr. Chairman, we believe that 19 the Applicant has met its burden of proof under the 20 regulations, that the Board should find that there is 21 exceptional situation causing a practical difficulty 22 for the owner, and that the relief can be granted 23 without substantial detriment to the regulations.

application. We, hopefully, request that you grant it

We would request the Board to grant the

24

1	today and issue a summary order, and we can all go
2	have lunch. Thank you very much.
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I'm not hungry.
4	(Laughter.)
5	Okay, but in all seriousness, of course,
6	which is the way we actually operate around here, I
7	think it is appropriate to take the record is full
8	on this. We are not going to have anything more put
9	into the record that is going to facilitate it. I
LO	think there is a strong deliberation.
L1	So I would move approval of Application
L2	17466, and that is for the variance to reduce the
L3	amount of residential recreation space at 2909 10th
L4	Street, Northwest, and I would ask for a second.
L5	MEMBER MANN: Second.
L6	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you very much,
L7	Mr. Mann. I do appreciate it.
L8	I don't think it's inappropriate to say on
L9	the record and in public that we often have weak
20	variance test cases made. This one isn't for lack of
21	ability or lack of substance. It is a difficult
22	parameter in the realities of what we're looking at.
23	One, with the section itself for
24	residential recreation space, of which the Board is
25	all too familiar, and its precariousness, and perhaps

its own out-of-date aspect of need.

The balancing on this particular case of what is better served in the surrounding community and, therefore, the longevity of the block, and, therefore, frankly, the integrity of the zone map and plan, but that gets ahead of ourselves in terms of what was actually presented today. That's the uniqueness.

I think Mr. Mann had an excellent question of, would this be the same test if it was at permit stage as opposed to now? I think that's a sense of the way I was looking at it; as if this building didn't exist, would we not be looking at a strong application? I think we would. I think based on the fact of the de minimis size for the zone district that it's in, the 25-foot, which allows for incredible height and incredible density, and, obviously, does it in terms of the depth of this, the practical difficulty in providing it.

I think we have seen in this case that you can shoehorn it in, but does that really fit the intent or really the purpose of the requirement? I think it's obviously been shown to be practically difficult in providing that space as it is intended and as is written in the regulations.

In addition, last, I would say the test, the intent of the zone planning map for the public good, actually, what we're being presented here is that it would be better served, the people in the surrounding area and the regulates would be better served if the relief was to be granted. So I would be supportive of the motion.

I would open it up to any other comments.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I thought you were going to say that sometimes we come in here and we read the papers and we think this is a lousy case, or whatever, which is basically where I was coming from. It seemed like, oh, they want parking now.

But, actually, I think the Applicant and ANC and the Office of Planning did a good job -- and the Chairman -- with respect for me to see it in a different light, and Mr. Mann, because, basically, fitting into the variance test, I do think it fits into the uniqueness exceptional condition prong in that it is a very small building, only 25-feet wide, and we often see that that does create a problem.

In this case, just because there was rec space that's designated for recreational space, if it's lousy space, if it's unusual, then it really

1	doesn't fulfill the purpose of the regulation. So
2	it's almost the same as not being able to it is the
3	same as not being able to meet that requirement, as
4	far as I can tell, because if no one is going to use
5	it, it doesn't meet the requirement, if it is so bad.
6	So I think that it does meet the
7	exceptional conditions and the practical difficulties,
8	and there's no adverse impact on the public good. In
9	fact, it's a positive impact to provide a place for
10	parking and to provide a meaningful use of the
11	property.
12	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
13	you.
14	Others?
15	MEMBER MANN: Yes. I also thought that it
16	was easier to consider if it was almost considered as
17	though it were a new application for an unbuilt
18	building.
19	I think the biggest struggle, though, I
20	had to get over was just that you had to kind of ask
21	us to unring that bell in a way that we haven't really
22	had to consider before.
23	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Well said.
24	Others?
25	(No response.)

1	If there's no other comments, then we do
2	have a motion before us and it has been seconded. All
3	those in favor signify by saying.
4	(Chorus of ayes.)
5	And opposed?
6	(No response.)
7	Abstaining?
8	(No response.)
9	Very well, why don't we record the vote?
10	MS. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, the vote is
11	recorded as five, zero, zero to approve the
12	application. Mr. Griffis made the motion; Mr. Mann
13	seconded. Mrs. Miller, Mr. Etherly, and Mr. Parsons
14	are in support.
15	Summary order, sir?
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you very much.
17	Yes, I think we could waive our rules and
18	regulations and issue a summary order on this, unless
19	there's any opposition from the Board or the
20	Applicant.
21	(No response.)
22	Not noting any opposition
23	MR. SHER: The Applicant has no
24	opposition, for the record.
25	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Indeed. Very well.

	141
1	Is there anything else for the Board this
2	morning?
3	MS. BAILEY: Not for the morning, Mr.
4	Chairman.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you all. Have
6	a very pleasant day.
7	Let's adjourn our morning session.
8	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off
9	the record at 12:41 p.m. for lunch and went back on
10	the record at 2:02 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N 2 2:02 p.m. 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good afternoon, 4 ladies and gentlemen. Let me call to order our 5 afternoon session, the 9th of May, 2006. This is, of course, the Board of Zoning 6 7 Adjustment for the District of Columbia, and my name is Geoff Griffis, Chairperson. 8 Joining me today is the Vice Chair, Ms. 9 10 Miller, and our esteemed member, Mr. Etherly, will be 11 with us shortly. He was just detained for half a 12 moment. Representing the Zoning Commission with us 13 14 is Mr. Parsons this afternoon, and representing the 15 National Capital Planning Commission is Mr. Mann. Copies of today's hearing agenda are 16 17 available for you. They are located, hopefully, where 18 you came into the room. I can't see it behind our 19 As we have reconfigured the entire room, I 20 have lost sight of that door. However, that's where 21 they normally are. 22 With that, though, I will not be adjusting our schedule, so we will get right into it. 23

I will go through in my opening remarks, and I will

There are several important aspects that

24

1 speak very quickly in order to make up a few moments. 2 I appreciate everyone's patience with us, 3 morning went a little bit over. 4 First of all, it should be well-known that 5 we are creating an official transcript. Therefore, all of our proceedings are going to be recorded. 6 7 It is very important that you fill out two witness cards prior to coming forward to speak to the 8 9 Board and give them to the court reporter, who is 10 sitting on my right on the floor. She will be 11 creating our official transcript. 12 Attendant to that, also, when you come 13 forward, make yourself comfortable at the table in 14 front of us, where you will provide testimony. 15 just need to state your name and address for the You only need to do that once. Obviously, 16 17 that will get you correctly onto the transcript. 18 We are also being broadcast live on the 19 Office of Zoning's website. Attendant to that, I may 20 give some instruction as to how your boards will show, 21 so that we can actually transmit that on the cameras. 22 We would also ask that, of course, you 23 refrain from making any noises in the overall hearing 24 room, so we don't disrupt either of those

transmissions.

Importantly, it was made note this morning that not only will I ask you to turn off your cell phones and other beepers and such, but would also ask that you turn off your Blackberries because it does disrupt the transmission.

That being said, the order of procedure for our special exceptions and variances is as follows:

First, we hear from the applicant the case presentation. Secondly, we will hear any government reports attendant to that application from the Office of Planning, the Department of Transportation. Third, we will go to the ANC within which the property is located. Fourth, we hear from the persons or parties in support of an application. Fifth are persons or parties in opposition to an application.

After all of that is done, we will hear from the applicant again. They will provide us with any rebuttal testimony that they might have or closing remarks or summations.

Cross examination of witnesses is permitted by the parties and the applicant in a case. The ANC within which the property is located is automatically a party in the case. They are, therefore, afforded the ability to cross examine

witnesses.

We will establish parties in each of the cases as a preliminary matter. Obviously, therefore, any party that is established will be able to conduct cross examination.

We have full ability and authority to instruct time and material and direction of cross examination, but I will take that up, if needed, and on specific matters.

Our record will be closed at the conclusion of the hearing. It is very important to understand that, that we are going to be creating an official record before us today, and once the hearing is done, the book is essentially closed. Anything that we will make our decisions on must be based solely what is put into the record.

So, unless we specifically request additional information, you should make note that you should put all of your information into the record, either in writing or in testimony, oral testimony, today.

We do ask that people present please not engage Board members in private conversations this afternoon if we recess or take a moment because we are, of course, going to deliberate solely on the

1 record that's presented before us today and in the 2 public, and if we are having private conversations, it 3 may lend some to give the impression that we are 4 receiving information outside of the public record. 5 To that, the Sunshine Act, of course, requires us to conduct all our hearings in the open 6 7 and before the public. We do on occasion enter into Executive Session, both during or after hearings on 8 cases. We use that not only to tell jokes, but also 9 10 to review the record and sometimes to deliberate on 11 the cases that we have heard. 12 With that, I believe I can say a very good afternoon to Ms. Bailey, on my far left, with the 13 14 Office of Zoning; Mr. Moy, also with the Office of 15 Zoning; Mr. Nyarku is in and out, also with the Office 16 of Zoning. I'm going to ask that all persons present 17 18 today that are going to provide testimony to the 19 Board, or are thinking of providing testimony, if you 20 would please stand and give your attention to Ms. 21 Bailey, she's going to swear you in. 22 MS. BAILEY: Would you please raise your 23 right hand? 24 (Witnesses sworn.) 25 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank

1 you all very much. 2 At this time, then, we would be ready for 3 preliminary matters. Preliminary matters are those 4 which relate to whether a case will or should be heard Requests for postponements, continuance, or withdrawals, whether proper and adequate notice of an 6 application has been provided, these are all elements 7 of preliminary matters, meaning matters that should 8 come to the Board's attention prior to calling a case. 9 10 I ask Ms. Bailey if she is aware of any 11 preliminary matters for the Board's attention. 12 MS. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, and to everyone, good afternoon. 13 14 Staff does not have any at this point, Mr. 15 Chairman. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well. 16 17 anyone else here present have a preliminary matter for 18 the Board's attention? They can come forward at this 19 time. 20 (No response.) 21 Not noting anybody coming forward, why 22 don't we call the first case of the afternoon? 23 MS. BAILEY: And that is the application of ARCH Training Center, No. 17463, pursuant to 11 24

3103.2, for a variance from the off-street

parking requirements under Subsection 2101.1, to
convert a vacant community residence facility and re-
occupy it as a 29-unit apartment house. The property
is zoned R-5-A and it is located at 2024 Fendall
Street, Southeast, Square 5777, Lot 952.
Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to bring to
the Board's attention that the property was posted.
However, staff is of the opinion that it was not
properly posted.
Thank you, sir.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
you very much for that.
Not properly posted meaning it was not on
the property?
MS. BAILEY: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: It was in the
public?
MS. BAILEY: Right-of-way.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: On a pole?
MS. GIORDANO: I'm going to ask Mr. Gross
to speak directly to that.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good thought. Mr.
Gross?
MR. GROSS: Ms. Bailey approached me about
this a few minutes ago, Mr. Chairman. I guess I was

1	fuzzy on that rule and did not realize telephone
2	poles were completely out of bounds for posting. So
3	I will remember that in the future, and I apologize.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: You're clear on that
5	now then?
6	MR. GROSS: I am very clear.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: We appreciate Ms.
8	Bailey's eagle eye in picking that up in the posting.
9	(Laughter.)
10	In all seriousness, you know, it is a very
11	important aspect. Of course, the posting is one of
12	the ways that we announce that this is going to happen
13	and get, obviously, the public's participation in
14	this. If it isn't on the building, one might think
15	it's no parking or who knows what it was or where it
16	went to. An important point to bring up. I think
17	we've all learned a little something today.
18	But, with that, let's move ahead, and I
19	will turn it over to Ms. Giordano.
20	MS. GIORDANO: Yes, good afternoon, Mr.
21	Chairman, members of the Board. My name is Cynthia
22	Giordano, for the record, from Arnold and Porter Law
23	Firm, representing the Applicant in this case.
24	I'm going to introduce our witnesses and
25	just jump right into their testimony. I think they

1	have a very interesting story to tell, and a
2	compelling one today, in support of the requested
3	variance and special exception.
4	We will start with Rachel Schroder, who is
5	Chairman of the Board of ARCH Training Center, and
6	Steve Shaff with Community Vision.
7	We left, I think, on Ms. Bailey's desktop
8	a little article about Mr. Shaff and Community Vision.
9	I don't know if you received that or not, but
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: We have that.
11	MS. GIORDANO: And they are both non-
12	profits.
13	Greg Kearley from Inscape Studio, the
14	project architect, will also provide some brief
15	testimony, and Mr. Gross, from our firm, of course, is
16	going to speak directly to the compliance of the
17	application with the zoning regulations.
18	So we will begin with the developer team
19	of Rachel and Steve.
20	MS. SCHRODER: Good afternoon. My name is
21	Rachel Schroder, and I work with ARCH Training Center.
22	ARCH Training Center has been in Anacostia
23	it's a non-profit, economic development and job
24	training center for 20 years in Anacostia.
25	We work with mostly job training. We have

53 full-time employees at ARCH and we service thousands of children under the age of 16 as well as adults between the age of 16 and 24. We also work with fatherhood and mother programs.

We also do economic development. We have developed over 540 units of housing since our existence and most of it in Southeast. Actually, almost probably 98 percent of it has been in Southeast within the Anacostia or Ward 8 area.

MR. SHAFF: Good afternoon. My name is Steve Shaff. I'm founder and President of Community Vision Consultants.

I'm actually a for-profit development company, but we have a very strong and as-committed social mission as well. One of our current projects right now is in Washington Highlands. We are developing over 116 affordable housing homeownership opportunities while at the same time we are organizing the community and getting rid of some of the social concerns of that development project. We are very successful in literally changing the community around.

I am also very active in the community.

I serve on several boards, the Police Boys and Girls

Club, Metro Police D.C. Boys and Girls Club. I'm the

Treasurer. I also helped found several community arts

organizations which we use to help cure some of the issues in our communities. I am also very much involved with political activism to help address some of the economic and social issues of our communities.

I have been doing this for over 20 years. We have been developing projects on this scale for the past three or four years. Fendall is something that we think we can develop, not only to help address the affordable housing issues, but also take care of some of the social issues, the same issues we have been dealing with for the past 20 years I have been working in this line.

MS. SCHRODER: I just wanted to make a note, so everyone understands, Steve Shaff of Community Vision, and ARCH Training Center are working together to develop Fendall Street, and ARCH remains the owner of the building, and Community Vision will be the developer, working with ARCH on every aspect.

MR. SHAFF: Some of the objectives and part of the reason why I'm personally very excited about this project is it is a very good, affordable housing project. One of the things that we look at when we get involved in a community project is, what can that actual development do to impact the immediate area? As I said, in our project in Washington

Highlands, our approach was not only just to do affordable housing, but to really address and organize and get involved with the community to address that.

The particular corner this building is on I think serves well in terms of what we can do as a redeveloped building and how it can impact the community.

The other issue is this is, by covenant, by City covenant, and it is recorded on the title, this has to be and fit within affordable housing parameters. So even if the market took off in that particular corner, we are still bound and limited as to the profit we can make. That's part of the Homestead Program.

I think very few conventional developers would want to come in here because their profit potential will be capped. Again, for us that is not an issue because as important to us is not only providing affordable, truly affordable, and quality affordable housing, but we also want to address the social issues there, which personally is where I personally enjoy this process.

As you know, developing real estate in D.C. is a very tough profession, but when you add the social component, for me, in particular, it's very

exciting.

So our goal here is to keep -- well, obviously, we have no choice but to keep within the realms of the limitations on the pricing.

The other nice benefit of this particular project is we want to do this in as high of a green standard as possible. We are assessing how high the lead standard we can go. Very specific things such as a green roof is very much going to be part of this endeavor. We feel the City's goals of trying to keep the water runoff issues with the Anacostia are part of what we want to do.

The other thing that Community Vision strives to do is influence other developers. When they see that we can come into a community, leave something in the community, do a development better, it's something that actually serves the developer.

So in Washington Highlands, for example, the gentleman who bought across the street, because we are already there, came in; he understood that leaving or donating money to the community center that is being developed there, as well as really paying attention to the real concerns of the community, is something that he is doing now; whereas, in his past this was never a big issue for him. So influencing

1	other developers to do community development is
2	something that, again, is very much a part of our
3	mission.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Anything else on
5	that?
6	(No response.)
7	Let's take some quick questions, if
8	there's any. My first is: Outside of the Homestead
9	Program, which you say will cap your profits or
10	does it set a sale of affordability?
11	MS. SCHRODER: It sets the scale of
12	affordability.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
14	MS. SCHRODER: I think what Steve was
15	discussing was, because of those issues and the cost
16	of the development, it isn't about the profit in this
17	case.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure, sure.
19	Understandable.
20	Outside of that, is there any other
21	programmed aspect to this?
22	MS. SCHRODER: We will be tying in at the
23	end of the development process. ARCH Training Center
24	has a Youth-Build Program, and they will use some of
25	the community space. There's a big room that can't be

1	developed as an apartment. So it will be used as just
2	a space that is open for the folks that live inside of
3	the development. The Youth-Build students will help
4	with part of their curriculum, learning how to do the
5	electric and drywall and such, which is one of ARCH's
6	programs.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. So you're
8	going to keep ownership of some of the
9	MS. SCHRODER: No. No, we won't. It will
10	be 100 percent of the building will be sold.
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
12	MS. SCHRODER: It has to be sold 100
13	percent. But at the end of the process, Youth-Build
14	will get involved. So it will be part of the training
15	program; it will tie ARCH into it.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Your list of who you
17	are selling to, that's coming from maybe DHCD, and to
18	qualify
19	MS. SCHRODER: We have to work with DHCD.
20	We will have to personally qualify each candidate that
21	owns the building, and they will have to go through a
22	DHCD course for homeownership.
23	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure. So when it is
24	all said and done, moved in, sold, it will look no
25	different than a straight fee simple condo project?

1	MS. SCHRODER: Absolutely. I mean there
2	will be a \$10,000 asset turned over from DHCD to the
3	homeowner, that if they own it within a certain
4	amount, part of their mortgage will be excused.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
6	MS. SCHRODER: But other than that, it's
7	100 percent
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I don't want to get
9	too confused.
LO	MS. SCHRODER: ownership.
L1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. The last
L2	thing is in the application as it was submitted; in
L3	the preliminary hearing there was talk of this Lot
L4	711, and it looks like we have a diagram in front of
L5	us that shows its utilization.
L6	MS. GIORDANO: I'm sorry, the architect
L7	was going to address that. But, also, I'm going to
L8	ask Steve to address the van purchase proposal that
L9	they are going to include as part of this project.
20	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
21	MS. GIORDANO: Steve, why don't you go
22	first?
23	MR. SHAFF: Sure. Again, one of our
24	primary objectives is to do green development. That's
5	one aspect of our plans for being as creative as

possible.

There's a couple of concerns there.

Obviously, green, we want to minimize the amount of asphalt. Two, we want to keep costs down because, again, it is very limited affordable housing.

Then the other issue is, frankly, I'm a pretty devote environmentalist. The less people who need to own a car at this facility I think really adds to the value of buying at this facility.

A lot of people need a van or a car just to get back and forth to work, in this case the Metro, which is less than three-quarters of a mile away.

So the idea, not only for this project but for others, is to bring in a community van that will help keep costs lower for the individual buyer. It is an additional amenity, as well as the fact that it is environmentally-sound. In this particular case we feel it really addresses some of the parking pressures of the area.

So there's several reasons why we wanted to include this. We did not put this on the table before because we didn't realize it was an issue. But, again, this is a component that we as developers want to factor into all our condo developments, including the one we are involved with in Washington

1	Highlands.
2	Kids after school should be able to have
3	transportation to local good rec centers, the Boys and
4	Girls Clubs, that type of thing. That's what the
5	purpose of the van will have here.
6	MS. GIORDANO: So the van will be
7	purchased by the developer and become the property of
8	the homeowners' association for managing some ride-
9	sharing shuttle to the Metro and, as Steve mentioned,
10	the possibility of outings for kids. It will be under
11	their management.
12	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Where are they going
13	to park it? Oh, wait, we'll get to that later, I
14	think.
15	(Laughter.)
16	MR. SHAFF: The proposal for the van sort
17	of spells out our preliminary analysis of the
18	feasibility of this. It does make sense. Of course,
19	we will narrow down the details as we get further
20	along in the project.
21	MS. GIORDANO: Okay, I'll ask the
22	architect now to do you want to start with the lot
23	or do you want to start with an overview of the
24	project?
25	MR. KEARLEY: Let me give an overview of

1 the project and then we will talk about the adjacent 2 lot. 3 My name is Greg Kearley. I'm with Inscape 4 Studio. I'm a principal at Inscape. 5 We have a longstanding commitment to the work in Southeast. We have been working with ARCH, 6 7 groups like African Heritage Dancers and Drummers, and Wilkinson Elementary School recently. So it is a part 8 9 of the City that we have been engaged in and, hopefully, are part of. 10 11 The overall project description -- and you 12 have, I believe, plans in front of you -- is 29 units. 13 Nineteen of those are two-bedroom, one-bath; two are 14 two-bedroom, two-bath, and eight are one-bedroom, one-15 The typical unit is approximately 800 square bath. feet and two-bedroom, one-bath. 16 There's some room left in the development 17 which is about 2800 square feet which will be used 18 19 later for a community room, and that is not defined 20 yet, but it's not being developed with this particular 21 application. 22 We are doing things to the building. 23 are making it accessible to meet code. As Steve 24 mentioned, we are designing the building to

environmentally-sensitive, including a green roof,

1	Energy Star appliances, low VOC paints and sealers,
2	materials that have a high content of recyclable
3	material in them.
4	So that is a quick overall of the
5	parameters of the site.
6	We are asking for a parking variance,
7	nothing really to do with the space. So we didn't
8	bring any graphics to describe that.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. I don't
10	think we need to go too far into it.
11	The numbers are a little different on the
12	units, though. Just for clarification, you had how
13	many studios?
14	MR. KEARLEY: We have no studios now.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, so that's the
16	change because we had two studios. So you have eight
17	one-bedrooms and
18	MR. KEARLEY: We have eight one-bedrooms,
19	one-bath; two two-bedrooms, two-bath; and nineteen
20	two-bedroom, one-bath.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Got you. Okay. The
22	same total amount though?
23	MR. KEARLEY: Yes.
Į.	
24	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.

1 parking issue now. We do have a board for that. Ιt 2 is the lot that is adjacent to our property. 3 MS. GIORDANO: And this in response to a 4 suggestion by the Office of Planning that we explore 5 purchasing that. I don't think Steve mentioned it specifically, but there is an ownership problem that 6 7 we explored. Apparently, there is quite a bit of back taxes that are owed on this lot, and the owner is not 8 9 somebody that is easy to find. So there's that issue 10 as well as other constraints that the architect is 11 going to mention. 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Well, wait. So you don't have control of --13 14 MS. GIORDANO: We are not proposing to 15 purchase the lot, no. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: 16 Then we probably 17 don't need to talk about it, right? 18 MS. GIORDANO: Well, the issue was raised 19 by the Office of Planning. We just wanted to address 20 it. 21 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: 22 MS. GIORDANO: If you don't think it is 23 important -- so what we are trying to say it is --CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: We could all have a 24 25 lot of fun. I could tell you what to do with the rest

1 of the block. 2 (Laughter.) But I'm not sure what we want to do. 3 4 Mr. Jackson, what I understood -- and I 5 will hear your comments on this -- I understood you, and actually I was intrigued; it was a good idea to go 6 7 after it. Are you wanting them to explore how they would utilize it if they received it? 8 MR. JACKSON: Mr. Chairman, again, Arthur 9 10 Jackson, D.C. Office of Planning. We met on the site. 11 I mentioned the 12 opportunity that might exist for the property, and 13 they pursued it. I was satisfied with their effort 14 and the response that they gave, that they had made 15 contact and were having issues with finding what could be determined as the legitimate person to 16 17 negotiations with. 18 merits of But, based the the on 19 application, we think that the variance should be 20 So we are not requiring that they move granted. 21 forward. We are going to try to give whatever 22 assistance we can to see if they can acquire the 23 But given the existing situation, property. 24 doesn't appear like that is a probability at this

time.

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right, and I
2	certainly wouldn't think it is a probability for our
3	discussions today, the application that is here before
4	us.
5	I think it is pretty clear that you are
6	about to tell us that, if you were to acquire it, you
7	could fit four parking spaces in there. We can leave
8	it at that.
9	MR. KEARLEY: Yes. I don't even think we
10	can legally do that, but we are just showing some
11	spaces. There is a practical difficulty that we won't
12	be able to get the parking because of the width of the
13	lot
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right.
15	MR. KEARLEY: and the required drive
16	aisle and parking size dictated by the District; that
17	we explored the option and we don't think it's a
18	feasible option.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
20	MR. KEARLEY: I can leave it at that. I
21	don't know if I need to really get in and explain it.
22	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Do we have a hand-
23	held mic anywhere? Oh, there it is. Okay.
24	Yes, I think you're going to tell us
25	that's fine. That would have to be a two-way drive

1	aisle. Is that what you're saying?
2	MR. KEARLEY: It would have to be a two-
3	way drive aisle, and the access to it there's not
4	enough room to turn around and there's no place to
5	you can't go through someone else's property for a
6	one-way drive aisle.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
8	MR. KEARLEY: So even if you did park this
9	way, you would have to back all the way out of the
10	parking, out of the lot, to get out of the space.
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right.
12	MR. KEARLEY: So it doesn't seem like it's
13	a viable alternative for parking to acquire this lot.
14	The lot is actually in a historic district. I doubt
15	that historic would want to build a parking lot on a
16	historic site.
17	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Well, we don't want
18	to speak for them, but, nonetheless okay, there it
19	is. There's no curb cut there, is what you're saying?
20	MR. KEARLEY: There is a curb cut there.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: There is? Into 711?
22	MR. KEARLEY: I believe there's a curb cut
23	on D Street.
24	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I see. Okay. There
25	it is.

1 Any questions? Clarifications? I don't 2 think it's that fruitful for us to go much further 3 than just describing the submission. 4 MS. GIORDANO: Okay, so we'll just move on Gross' testimony. 5 Mr. Gross is going to address the variance standards 6 and the special 7 exception standards. MR. KEARLEY: Before Mr. Gross talks about 8 the variance particularly, if you have the site plan 9 10 in front of you when we're getting into specifics 11 about the practical difficulty of getting parking on 12 the site, there's physically no room on either side yards' rear yards, and the lack of curb cuts, to get 13 14 any parking on the site. So I think that is very 15 clear when you look at the site plan and you see the dimensions, that parking is not available on the site. 16 We did explore that. 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. 18 19 MR. GROSS: Mr. Chairman, Nathan W. Gross, 2.0 for the record. 21 Kearley just mentioned, As Mr. the 22 situation is just very tight with no land area left 23 from the building to even talk about a legal driveway, let alone parking on the side. So in terms of the 24

exceptional condition and practical difficulty for the

1 parking variance, that's pretty much it. There's just 2 physically no space. It's a 1942 building, pre-1958 zoning 3 4 regulations, of course, and а non-conforming 5 structure. So that would be our exceptional condition 6 7 and practical difficulty. I will go on to tests two 8 and three. As to the intent of the zone plan, the 9 10 preferred use in the R-5-A zone is residential even 11 though other uses are allowed. This building was 12 originally constructed to be an apartment house and 13 we're returning it to that use after some use as a 14 community residence facility. 15 With 28 units, the parking requirement would be 29 spaces. As set forth in our pre-hearing 16 17 submission, we have a parking credit of 16 spaces, 18 leaving a variance for the remaining 13 spaces. 19 As to the effect on the public good, we 20 believe the building, when occupied, will have limited 21 adverse effects from the lack of parking for several 22 There are three bus stops within two blocks 23 at 16th and Good Hope Road, Southeast, and these stops 24 six routes, including connections to the

Anacostia Metrorail station.

1 Mr. Shaff has set forth his proposal to 2 buy the shuttle van, which would be, of course, a major help in getting people around. 3 There's a 4 reasonable amount of curbside parking in the vicinity. We do have a reduction in intensity of use from the 115 community residence facility. We project 6 approximately 75 to 80 residents in these 29 units, 7 given the unit mix. 8 The moderate-income residents will not 9 10 have as high of an automobile ownership level as would 11 market-rate or upper-income apartments. As mentioned 12 by Mr. Shaff, they must be maintained as affordable. 13 The Department of Transportation has 14 expressed to the Office of Planning that they have no 15 objection to the requested variance. Finally, a key point is this building has 16 17 been vacant for a number of years. Of course, in a 18 vacant condition it is a drag on the neighborhood, 19 potential aggravation of crime, and decline property values, and just neighborhood quality. 2.0 21 It will either continue to be vacant or be 22 re-occupied by some use. Whatever use that is will require a parking variance and possibly other relief 23 24 such as special exception. 25 I guess I would just comment that there

1	are uses that the building could be put to that would
2	probably have more significant parking and traffic
3	effects on the neighborhood. One would be a fairly
4	large child development center or a private school or
5	a charter school, just to name a couple that would
6	require special exceptions as well as the parking
7	variance.
8	So, all in all, we believe that the
9	building can be re-occupied as proposed with the
10	parking variance with no adverse effect on the public
11	good, and, indeed, positive effects on the
12	neighborhood and the housing supply.
13	I believe that concludes our presentation,
14	Mr. Chairman.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
16	you very much.
17	A couple of quick questions in your
18	analysis: First, it's my understanding in the record
19	that the past use was stopped more than three years
20	ago, is that correct?
21	MR. GROSS: Yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: It would not be a
23	conforming use in the regulations currently?
24	MR. GROSS: Well, I had a number of email
25	exchanges with Mr. Jackson of OP and Faye Ogunnage of

1 the Zoning Administrator's Office on that. 2 I believe that Section 2005 does not apply 3 because it's not a non-conforming use, and, therefore, 4 it wouldn't be applicable. The reason for that is 5 that the 1979 C of O for the community residence facility was a matter-of-right C of O. Then the CBRF 6 7 regulations were adopted in either 1980 or 1981. 8 that point the use would have required a special 9 exception. 10 In the definition of non-conforming use 11 there's a couple of sentences that say: a use 12 originally established as a matter of right and then 13 requiring a special exception is a conforming use. 14 For that reason, I don't believe that the non-15 conformity provisions or the discontinuance clause 16 applies to the use. 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Would they have 18 needed parking relief even when the relief for the use 19 went to special exception? Would they have not had to 20 Or would you also have thought that that come in? 21 would have been conforming? 22 I guess the point is, fundamentally, doesn't -- in this specific application I think I 23

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

That's my thought.

could say directly it doesn't matter.

MR. GROSS:

24

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I mean, what we're
2	looking at as either 13 or 29.
3	MS. GIORDANO: Right, but I think the
4	credit would have applied, so there wouldn't have been
5	a parking problem; it was established as a matter-of-
6	right use.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. So what were
8	the number of units originally, though? How many were
9	there?
10	MR. GROSS: Before the CBRF?
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right.
12	MR. GROSS: Now that I do not have
13	information on.
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Do you know how many
15	units there were, roughly.
16	MR. KEARLEY: How many for this
17	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: When it was
18	originally built in 1942, what was it built? How many
19	apartments?
20	MR. KEARLEY: I don't know because when we
21	came in there to document the facility it had been a -
22	_
23	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: It was reconfigured?
24	MR. KEARLEY: It was reconfigured. So we
25	don't have the actual configuration of the original

1 apartment building. 2 MS. SCHRODER: I do know that when it was 3 being used as a community room, just the way the 4 apartments were configured, there were no bathrooms 5 and kitchens in each because everything was community. There were more people than 29 units would hold 6 7 because of how many individual rooms they had. 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. I don't 9 question that. 10 MR. KEARLEY: But the original use we 11 don't know. 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. 13 MR. GROSS: But it's possible that it 14 would have required some variance relief, but in terms 15 of specific discontinuance, it is my opinion that it 16 doesn't apply. But, as you say, Mr. Chairman, it's 17 maybe not the most important issue here when we're 18 going back to an apartment house. 19 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes, it's somewhat 20 moot, the number, because we're looking at an entire relief from it, but it's an interesting point that 21 22 Office of Planning brought up. I think we'll get to another one of their points, but that's whether a 23 24 special exception is also required, if I'm not

343, which is

mistaken,

under

25

residential

the

1	requirement.
2	MR. GROSS: Yes, my understanding of that
3	is that the intent of that is to apply to new
4	construction rather than re-occupancy of an existing
5	apartment house.
6	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Well, I'll
7	wait for the Office of Planning
8	MR. GROSS: Sure.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: to provide that
10	position, and then we can have further discussions on
11	that.
12	Very well. Anything else?
13	MS. GIORDANO: No.
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Any other questions
15	from the Board? Clarifications?
16	(No response.)
17	Not any? Indeed.
18	Is the ANC present? Do you have any cross
19	examination of any of the witnesses? Yes? Excellent.
20	Come on forward.
21	MS. HUDSON: Good afternoon, everyone. My
22	name is ANC Commissioner Latesha Hudson, 8A04.
23	My question is the original zoning. It
24	was actually zoned for R-3. Exhibit C within their
25	packet that they submitted to you, the Office of

1	Zoning, if you look at the actual location of the
2	building, it's within R-3 zoning, not R-5. Therefore,
3	the density would have to change, the number of units.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: You're asking Mr.
5	Gross or
6	MS. HUDSON: I'm actually asking the D.C.,
7	the Zoning Specialist for the Office of Zoning, Arthur
8	Jackson, about the zoning.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Office of Planning?
10	MS. HUDSON: Office of Planning. The
11	actual zoning, the R-3 zoning.
12	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Well, why don't we
13	ask Mr. Gross that question first?
14	MS. HUDSON: Mr. Gross, whoever would like
15	to address it.
16	MR. GROSS: Well, in looking at the
17	current zoning atlas, it appeared to me that the
18	property is within the R-5-A district.
19	MS. HUDSON: That's something that you
20	submitted.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I think we need a
22	color copy.
23	MS. HUDSON: So that would change the
24	amount of occupancy?
25	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Your question to him

1	is, does it fall in the R-5-A?
2	MS. HUDSON: Yes, but it doesn't fall
3	within the R-5-A or the R-5-3.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
5	MR. GROSS: I believe it falls within the
6	R-5-A.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
8	MR. KEARLEY: That was our interpretation
9	when we looked at it as well. It's hard to tell on
10	this black and white.
11	MR. GROSS: I think what's happening,
12	again, is that the photocopy is making some of the
13	lines of streets indistinguishable from the zoning
14	lines.
15	MS. HUDSON: Okay. Also, with the cross
16	examination, the driveway, the curb cuts you're
17	speaking of
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Ms. Hudson, take
19	that microphone. Thank you.
20	MS. HUDSON: Looking this way, there's
21	actually
22	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: You're not on it.
23	Is it on (referring to microphone)? It's still not.
24	I'm sorry, but you've got to be on the record, so
25	we're going to get this right.

1 MS. HUDSON: I have testimony from some 2 individuals from ARCH as well as Community Vision when 3 they came to my ANC meeting on March, that they 4 actually do know who owns this property, the lot right 5 here. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: 6 Okay. 7 MS. HUDSON: ARCH actually owns this lot, and the reason why we're highlighting this point was 8 9 that we were asking them to try to join the two projects together, because in the next several months 10 11 they'll actually come for permits to develop this as 12 well. 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So your question to 14 them is, don't you own the adjacent property, Lot 711? 15 MS. HUDSON: Yes, yes. MS. SCHRODER: We don't. While we were 16 17 doing the research -- we do own the property you're 18 speaking of. The property that we were talking about 19 is a separate lot that has nothing on it that was 20 found by Arthur Jackson. 21 Hold on. Let me speak to V Street as 22 ARCH Training Center also does have the rights 23 to develop V Street through the same Homestead 24 Program. According to this Homestead statute -- and

I spoke with Bob Muldering about this over a year ago

1	before we started the permitting process, as to
2	whether or not we could utilize that space for
3	parking. That's why we halted the development on V
4	Street.
5	Bob Muldering from DHCD said there's no
6	way; it's a statute that all the homes or properties
7	have to be used for low-income housing. We are not
8	allowed to do anything to that property besides low-
9	income housing.
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, what are you
11	talking about? What's V Street?
12	MS. SCHRODER: She's speaking I think
13	there's some confusion. Ms. Hudson
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: It's the adjacent
15	property and there's a building, there's a structure
16	on it, separated between Lots 711 and the two
17	properties?
18	MS. SCHRODER: Yes, yes.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: You own both? You
20	gained them out of the Homestead Program, is that
21	correct?
22	MS. SCHRODER: Yes, yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: You've asked whether
24	you could actually park, accessory parking lot for
25	this building on that other lot

1	MS. SCHRODER: Yes.
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: that you call the
3	V Street Project?
4	MS. SCHRODER: Yes, correct.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: It's fairly clear.
6	MS. SCHRODER: Just to Ms. Hudson, there
7	is a third lot that's located in the back of Fendall
8	Street that we didn't know existed that someone
9	actually owns. That's the property we were speaking
10	to in regards to that we don't own it.
11	MS. HUDSON: Okay, thank you.
12	MS. SCHRODER: You're welcome.
13	MR. SHAFF: We did find the tax
14	certificate holder of the lot.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Which lot? Now
16	we've got four lots in play.
17	MR. SHAFF: The lot that Mr. Jackson had
18	suggested we check out. It's a tax certificate sale.
19	It's been a tax certificate sale for over four years,
20	which raises a red flag. Then when we asked this
21	particular gentleman who we talked to to show us
22	anything to indicate that he's the one we should be
23	negotiating with, we're still waiting for an answer
24	from him.
25	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. We've already

1	got complications in utilizing it anyway.
2	Ms. Hudson, next question?
3	MS. HUDSON: That's it as far as questions
4	and concerns.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, excellent.
6	MR. JACKSON: Mr. Chairman?
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes?
8	MR. JACKSON: Just a point of
9	clarification
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
11	MR. JACKSON: are you clear where the
12	R-5-A boundary is then? The Applicant also
13	highlighted that there's a line down the middle of the
14	block that comes down to V Street, then crosses over.
15	That means the frontage of V Street on both sides is
16	in the R-5-A.
17	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes. I'm sorry, I
18	should have gone back to that clarification because I
19	have actually a very clear zoning map in front of me,
20	which made it fairly clear that it's in the R-5-A,
21	but, again, I didn't share that with the rest of you,
22	did I?
23	So I think it is
24	MS. HUDSON: I have a question for the
25	Board or someone who can answer this. How is it zoned

1	R-5-A when everything on that street is less than
2	they're not garden-style apartments. Those are
3	apartment homes where there's less than three units.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
5	MS. HUDSON: I thought anything that
6	required if it's going to be R-5-A, it has to be
7	four to five units or more in each apartment dwelling.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
9	MS. HUDSON: All of those apartment
10	dwellings have less than four units. They're three-
11	unit apartment buildings.
12	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's a good
13	question. There's actually two answers to it.
14	One, it appears, just from this
15	application, I would assert that the buildings were
16	probably built prior to this being zoned.
17	Secondly, it's not a requirement to build
18	to the maximum of any zone district that a property
19	falls in, but it, obviously, is the ceiling at which
20	you can build it to. So this, if it was a vacant lot,
21	could be a single-family home and still in an R-5 zone
22	district.
23	MS. HUDSON: Oh, okay. Cool. Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I think we're ready
25	to move on to the Office of Planning then.

1	MR. JACKSON: Mr. Chair and members of the
2	Board, again, my name is Arthur Jackson. I'm the
3	Development Review Specialist in the District of
4	Columbia Office of Planning.
5	You have before you the report from the
б	Office of Planning and the issues that have been
7	raised. At this point I will rest on the record and
8	make myself available to answer questions.
9	I would also point out, though, that
10	yesterday I did fax over a copy of a response from the
11	Department of Housing and Community Development that
12	recommended approval of this proposal as presented.
13	With that, that concludes the Office of
14	Planning's brief summary, but we continue to recommend
15	approval of the variance as requested.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
17	you very much.
18	Questions?
19	(No response.)
20	Let's explore a little bit more 353 then.
21	How did your analysis lead to the conclusion that an
22	existing structure that was being modified would fall
23	under that?
24	MR. JACKSON: Well, basically, we looked
25	at the chain of use on the property. We noted back in

1977 it was -- let's see -- back at the COs on the property. In the early, I guess the forties, it was an apartment building. Then it went from being an apartment building to an apartment building and a boarding house. Then it went to a boarding house. Then it went to a boarding house. Then it went to a CBRF. It stopped being a CBRF -- it was vacant for more than three years.

Based on that chain and the fact that there had been no use on the property for three years, we made the determination that there actually is no established use on the property and, as such, the Section 200.4 of the zoning regulations say that --well, Chapter 1 of the zoning regulations indicate that any use that's established on the property should be consistent with the zoning regs. A multi-family use as such in R-5-A is subject to BZA review and comments by the attendant agencies.

So we went that track. We followed that procedure, in essence, to make sure that there was no problem when this application came in to go before the DCRA and to have the additional input from the agencies that would be both impacted by that, which would be Transportation and DHCD. In both cases neither agency had any problem with the reestablishment of apartments in this area.

1	As such, I think that was a prudent
2	course, given the fact that it's a nice event when
3	something is re-established, especially in a multi-
4	family use that's going to be affordable. However,
5	there could be some potential impacts as seen by the
6	community, and we would like to make sure that that
7	petition issue was addressed in advance.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
9	you.
10	In addition to the governmental agencies
11	that reported in favor of the application, do they
12	meet the rest of the test as far as your analysis went
13	on 353?
14	MR. JACKSON: Yes, they did.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Any other
16	questions?
17	(No response.)
18	Does Applicant have any cross examination
19	of the Office of Planning?
20	MS. GIORDANO: No questions.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Do you still
22	disagree with 353?
23	MS. GIORDANO: We appreciate Mr. Jackson's
24	looking ahead, and it certainly can't hurt as we go
25	for our permit to make it clear that this is a

1 permitted use. 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. I'm not sure we're going to reach a definitive question of that, 3 4 but I think it's important to state. Mr. Jackson looked through and did the analysis, and, actually, it seems to me it hasn't been shown that it hasn't been 6 7 met; 353 is a fairly low-impact requirement for special exception outside of the special exception 8 9 test itself, which, of course, is a lesser burden than 10 the variance which you're in for anyway. Then all the 11 other seem to be met. 12 Mr. Chairman? MR. GROSS: CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes? 13 14 MR. GROSS: Ms. Oqunnage was involved in 15 some emails there, and I thought that her final email to Mr. Jackson on it was that Section 353 would not 16 apply to this. But I agree it's a close call on this. 17 18 As Ms. Giordano said, we appreciate Mr. Jackson's 19 thoroughness in bringing it up in case it's needed. 2.0 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Certainly. 21 MR. GROSS: I don't know if the Board has 22 any recent precedence on this of re-use of existing

of the amendments to the R-5-A zone was to review the

vacant apartment houses as a matter of right in R-5-A.

I believe the original legislative intent

23

24

1	site plans of new garden apartments and townhouses
2	because there was overbuilding on large tracts of R-5-
3	A land across the Anacostia River. I don't remember
4	whether
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I don't disagree
6	with that, and I think it's supportable of the intent.
7	Just as I say, the less amount of requirements that it
8	actually sets its specificity is to refer it to
9	agencies and to show us a landscape plan. It doesn't
10	seem to really speak to new or rather re-animated
11	existing structures.
12	Regarding Ms. Ogunnage and her opinion of
13	it, I think that's very helpful. It's not above the
14	Board and it's our own jurisdiction to not agree with
15	her
16	MR. GROSS: Sure.
17	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: or the Zoning
18	Administrator, for that matter. Again, I don't think
19	we're going to be determinative of whether it will be
20	required or not, but there we are.
21	Anything else? Any other questions?
22	(No response.)
23	Ms. Hudson, do you have any cross
24	examination of the Office of Planning? Any questions?
25	Do you have their report?

MS. HUDSON: No, I don't.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Why don't we get you
a copy of their report? It's an excellent one. In
fact, why don't we make sure she gets that?
Okay, if there's nothing further then,
let's move ahead.
Mr. Jackson has highlighted and actually
had submitted in several of the government agency
reports. Department of Transportation was addressed
in their report and also DHCD. I believe the signator
I have just moved it off was Mr. Thackleberry.
Are there any questions or comments on
those reports?
VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: The Chairman
just referred to that in 353 it asks for a landscape
plan. Did you all submit one? Or no?
MS. GIORDANO: We have not.
Do you want to add anything?
MR. KEARLEY: Not right now. I mean the
building takes up so much of the property that a
landscape plan we'll be doing some landscaping, but
it's really we didn't think it was a necessary step at
this point.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: What's the intent of

1	chainlink fence there currently?
2	MR. SHAFF: We'll definitely get rid of
3	the chainlink. At the very least, we'll do wrought
4	iron and decorative fencing in the front of the
5	building. The back has not been determined yet until
6	we do more planning. The facade, of course, will be
7	redone. We will restore almost well, as much of
8	everything as we can.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So there's going to
10	be some sort of perimeter fencing on the two corners
11	and the side yard, but not on the rear? When you say,
12	"the rear," that's not what abuts Lot 711, is that
13	correct? It's parallel to V Street?
14	MR. KEARLEY: It would be what abuts that
15	property.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I see.
17	MR. KEARLEY: This property's frontage is
18	on Fendall, not V Street.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I got you.
20	MR. KEARLEY: So that was a rear yard, not
21	the side yard.
22	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
23	MR. KEARLEY: I think we want to make the
24	building as inviting as possible. So we'll be getting
25	rid of the chainlink fence.

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Anything else?
2	(No response.)
3	Very well, let's move ahead.
4	MS. HUDSON: I have a question.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
6	MS. HUDSON: I want to ask them as far as
7	architecture and design is concerned, so what
8	requirements do you plan to meet because it is
9	historic? Do you plan on working with Historic
10	Preservation or anything?
11	MR. KEARLEY: This building is not in
12	historic.
13	MS. HUDSON: It's not?
14	MR. KEARLEY: It's surrounded by historic,
15	but it is not in the historic district.
16	MS. HUDSON: Because it's surrounded by
17	historic, do you plan on meeting the needs of the
18	adjacent surrounding area as far as
19	MR. KEARLEY: Well, we're planning on, in
20	terms of exterior work, doing a couple of things. One
21	is new fenestration which is in windows and doors,
22	which we want to be consistent with original use and
23	the original look of the windows. So we do want to
24	restore the building.
25	We are not making any additions or

1 alterations to the building except possible repointing 2 new windows and doors. We are having a handicapped-3 accessible ramp which is going to be required. So in terms of, since we aren't making any 4 additions or alterations, it's really some restoration 5 to the building. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: What kind of windows 8 are you putting in, front doors? Is there any other 9 details of note? 10 MR. KEARLEY: We haven't spec'ed out 11 windows and doors. Typically, when we're going in 12 front of BZA, we don't sort of push the project along that far. So I don't want to answer something that I 13 14 just don't know right now. 15 MR. SHAFF: From a personal perspective, it's a beautiful art deco building. 16 17 restore as much as possible. The entrance I would 18 like to maintain and rebuild. The only issue is the 19 ramp and how that's going to fit in architecturally, 20 but we are going to try to restore the building in its 21 original grand beauty. 22 SCHRODER: Obviously, MS. the one 23 difference, just to put it in there, is we are going 24 to do the windows and doors as eco-friendly as 25 So the material may be different, but the possible.

1	look and feel will remain the same.
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So the single-pane
3	metal windows are out?
4	MS. SCHRODER: Right.
5	MR. KEARLEY: Exactly.
6	(Laughter.)
7	I assume they're going to be aluminum
8	windows, wood-clad aluminum windows. So aluminum on
9	the outside, which would mirror or sort of replicate
10	what was existing in terms of the design of the
11	windows.
12	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And keep the lead-
13	based paint on them.
14	(Laughter.)
15	MR. KEARLEY: Exactly.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. I think
17	that's an important thing. I think it is probably
18	valuable no matter what happens here, although you
19	haven't taken it to that level, but just sharing it
20	with the community might be informative, at the very
21	least, and certainly walk through the process of
22	decision, which would be good.
23	It looks like from the photographs and the
24	elevations that that is a fairly impressive entrance
25	in terms of just the scope of the building's

1	architecture itself. So it would be nice to see.
2	Okay. Anything else then? Any other
3	questions? Ms. Hudson, any other clarifications?
4	Exterior lighting, are you proposing
5	exterior lighting on the building or the entrance?
6	MR. KEARLEY: I think lighting is great
7	for safety. So that's something that we want to
8	consider, definitely, at the entry. That's something
9	we would be glad to talk to ANC and the community
10	about, really safety issues, if they want some of that
11	exterior lighting.
12	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. What else?
13	Anything else? Any other questions?
14	MS. HUDSON: No.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. If there's
16	nothing else from the Board, at this time, Ms. Hudson,
17	I think we're ready for you.
18	MS. HUDSON: Sure. ANC-8A has delegated
19	me to represent us on this matter. We submitted our
20	actual how we voted in our last month's meeting as
21	well as our petition. The reason why we don't support
22	this project, the main reason, as stated unanimously
23	in our voting, is that, as noted on our regularly-
24	scheduled meeting on April the 4th, ANC-8A voted
25	unanimously to support a motion from Commissioner

1 Hudson requesting that ANC-8A oppose the off-street 2 parking variance for ARCH Training Center 3 specifically expressed that the number of units be 4 reduced to 18 to 20 units. ANC-8A has authorized Commissioner Latesha 5 Hudson to represent us in this matter. 6 7 One of the main issues that we have with this project is the parking variance situation. 8 9 Fendall Street is a one-way street, and it's fairly 10 I don't have the actual measurements to the width of Fendall Street, but what the Commission 11 12 actually, and the community as a whole -- and there 13 will be several community members testifying to this 14 later on -- is that we would prefer them work with 15 DDOT and maybe the Office of Planning and maybe do vertical parking in front of the building. There at 16 17 the street it is zoned for three lanes and it's a one-18 way street. 19 If you look at --20 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: What do you mean by -- what kind of parking are you looking on Fendall? 21 22 MS. HUDSON: Vertical parking in front of 23 the building. 24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Do you mean angled 25 parking?

1 MS. HUDSON: Yes, angled parking. 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, and would that 3 be dedicated to this building or would it actually 4 just be --MS. HUDSON: It would be dedicated to that 5 building, vertical parking for Fendall Street, instead 6 7 of asking for an actual parking variance. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: My understanding is 8 that to do that -- well, for one, I'm not sure that --9 10 well, there it is. Okay, let's continue. 11 MS. HUDSON: The reason why we ask to 12 consider vertical parking, I mean angled parking, is 13 because the dwelling across the street from Fendall 14 Street, that's an outpatient medical facility. 15 are not allowed to drive. They are not permitted to The only variance that is required for that 16 particular dwelling across the street is that the 17 18 caretakers to the dwelling have to provide parking. 19 Therefore, they only require two parking spaces. 20 are willing to support the angled parking idea as far 21 as to keep congestion down on that street. 22 Actually, there is parking in front of 23 that building because the surrounding streets have a 24 lot of parking situations on them already, existing

parking situations. There's a lot of overcrowding.

1 So it is the group community consensus 2 that the angled parking would probably work best in this situation. 3 That is the only thing that the ANC 4 is pretty much proposing. 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So it's the parking? Yes. We do not support the 6 MS. HUDSON: 7 variance for parking. Actually, we would really prefer them to find a way to provide parking for the 8 number of units that they plan on proposing. 9 10 Also, they are stating that they changed 11 the number of units, but the C of O requires the 12 maximum of 115 units. If you do the math and you 13 multiple those numbers at 19 two-bedrooms at a maximum 14 of five people per a two-bedroom and a maximum of 15 three people to a one-bedroom, that will be well over the 115 people they are proposing to move into this 16 17 building. 18 They stated that they were going to have anywhere from 70 to 80 residents. Well, if they have 19 the maximum number of units in that building, they 20 21 will have well over 70 to 85 units. Therefore, they 22 can't quarantee that people are going to use their 23 shuttle or utilize Metro. If they are deemed 24 affordable, people will be able to afford cars.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS:

25

Sure, sure.

1	MS. HUDSON: Therefore, there will be a
2	huge parking backlash on those surrounding streets.
3	Right now a lot of those streets are zoned two-hour
4	parking because it's in a commercial district, Good
5	Hope Road.
6	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, interesting.
7	I'm not sure I followed all your numbers on that one,
8	but I understand your point.
9	MS. HUDSON: I apologize, I brought the
10	wrong notebook this morning. I already have my
11	testimony and everything. I'm a little nervous now.
12	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I mean the point is
13	very clear. I'm just not sure that the C of O's
14	occupancy for CBRF or a community-based facility for
15	115 persons translates directly into units or C of O
16	for a multi-family apartment building. But I
17	understand that the point you're making is that the
18	amount of density that will be there, the potential
19	for drivers
20	MS. HUDSON: Drivers, yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: is at a certain
22	level. Okay.
23	Anything else?
24	MS. HUDSON: No, not at this point.
25	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you very much,

1	Ms. Hudson. Very well said.
2	Ms. Miller, questions?
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I just wanted to
4	be clear: Have you talked to DDOT yet about your
5	angled parking?
6	MS. HUDSON: Actually, I left them several
7	messages. I have not gotten any response from them.
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Did you talk to
9	Office of Planning about it?
10	MS. HUDSON: No. I've not gotten a
11	response from Arthur Jackson. This is my first time,
12	actually, even to know that the Office of Planning or
13	anyone was involved. I have been calling around
14	trying to get some correspondence, because we are not
15	opposed to development. Of course, we would love to
16	develop this building; it is just how they're doing
17	it.
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And what were
19	your numbers based on? You propose 18 to 20 units?
20	MS. HUDSON: Yes.
21	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Why did you pick
22	those numbers?
23	MS. HUDSON: It cuts back on density and
24	it is also kind of keeps the project somewhat
25	affordable, to meet the affordable housing covenant.

Also, ANC-8A has a covenant for inclusionary zoning
where you have to mandate you put aside 30 units
for affordable housing as well. So it also meets
those different mandates and resolutions and things
that we already have on the books in our area.
VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Anything else? Any
other questions from the Board?
(No response.)
Cross?
MS. GIORDANO: No.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, very well.
Ms. Hudson, thank you very much. We do appreciate it.
How many people are here for this
Application 17463 to provide testimony, persons
providing testimony?
Excellent. Let's have you up now. We
have four. We will give some space at the table.
Then we will move ahead.
MS. JOHNS GRAY: Excuse me. I'm with the
civic association. We applied for party status.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Why don't you come
up?
MS. GIORDANO: I guess we'll stay in case
there's cross examination.

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: While she's coming
2	up, are you aware of a request for party status in
3	this application? It would be the first time ever
4	that we all missed it. So let's get to the bottom of
5	this quickly.
6	MS. JOHNS GRAY: It was hand-delivered.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Have a seat. Make
8	yourself comfortable.
9	We're just going to have you state your
LO	name and address for the record.
L1	MS. JOHNS GRAY: My name is Carolyn Johns
L2	Gray, President of Frederick Douglass Community
L3	Improvement Council. Our party status was filed by
L4	Bernard A. Gray.
L5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Do you know when
L6	that would have come in?
L7	MS. JOHNS GRAY: It may have been just a
L8	few days before the deadline, but he hand-carried it
L9	here or to the Zoning Office.
20	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That would have been
21	when about calendar-wise?
22	MS. JOHNS GRAY: In April, about the 27th
23	or so.
24	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Oh, dear. My
25	concern is, of course, if we don't have it, that's a

1	big concern. Obviously, it didn't get in the record.
2	But, moving on from that, we should have
3	taken that up as a preliminary matter and either
4	established you as a party or not, in which case you
5	would have participated through this hearing and been
6	able to cross examine witnesses.
7	I am concerned that we get to the bottom
8	of where that request is. You wouldn't have a copy of
9	that, would you?
10	MS. JOHNS GRAY: No, I don't. And to tell
11	you the truth, I don't know what questions Mr. Gray
12	may have asked of these people.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
14	MS. JOHNS GRAY: But he called me and
15	asked me to come down because he got tied up in a
16	trial. He thought he was going to be finished, but
17	he's not.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure, sure. Okay.
19	MS. JOHNS GRAY: But I'll give the
20	testimony on behalf of the civic association, and I'm
21	also a property owner that received a letter who's
22	within the 200 feet. I can just state the questions.
23	I mean I can do it without the questions, if you don't
24	want me to ask them.
25	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Well, do vou have

1	questions of the witnesses that you've heard?
2	MS. JOHNS GRAY: Yes, I did have. I had
3	some questions, yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: What might those
5	have been?
6	MS. JOHNS GRAY: One, if you put on
7	affordable housing you know, they come to the
8	community and they keep talking about what's
9	affordable. We need to know what that figure is.
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. How do they
11	establish affordable housing, at what level?
12	MS. JOHNS GRAY: I want to know the exact
13	figure they're using.
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure. My
14 15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure. My understanding is that this is a Homestead Program,
15	understanding is that this is a Homestead Program,
15 16	understanding is that this is a Homestead Program, which the DHCD would have set the level of
15 16 17	understanding is that this is a Homestead Program, which the DHCD would have set the level of affordability, but we'll hear from them also. Is that
15 16 17	understanding is that this is a Homestead Program, which the DHCD would have set the level of affordability, but we'll hear from them also. Is that correct?
15 16 17 18	understanding is that this is a Homestead Program, which the DHCD would have set the level of affordability, but we'll hear from them also. Is that correct? MS. SCHRODER: Yes, it's actually DHCD
15 16 17 18 19 20	understanding is that this is a Homestead Program, which the DHCD would have set the level of affordability, but we'll hear from them also. Is that correct? MS. SCHRODER: Yes, it's actually DHCD that does establish that number. But because that can
15 16 17 18 19	understanding is that this is a Homestead Program, which the DHCD would have set the level of affordability, but we'll hear from them also. Is that correct? MS. SCHRODER: Yes, it's actually DHCD that does establish that number. But because that can be a fluid number, it is 80 percent of the average
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	understanding is that this is a Homestead Program, which the DHCD would have set the level of affordability, but we'll hear from them also. Is that correct? MS. SCHRODER: Yes, it's actually DHCD that does establish that number. But because that can be a fluid number, it is 80 percent of the average median income. Just as a note, it's not the D.C.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	understanding is that this is a Homestead Program, which the DHCD would have set the level of affordability, but we'll hear from them also. Is that correct? MS. SCHRODER: Yes, it's actually DHCD that does establish that number. But because that can be a fluid number, it is 80 percent of the average median income. Just as a note, it's not the D.C. number; it's the national number, because D.C.'s

	that's a fluid number based on DHCD. They're the ones
2	that ultimately approve each candidate.
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So if you were
4	setting a price on a two-bedroom right now at 80
5	percent of the AMI national, what would it be?
б	MS. SCHRODER: Oh, geez, I don't know
7	because it is a fluid number. I would hate to give
8	testimony on something that
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, and I'm not
10	asking for it to be a factual basis, but do you
11	understand what 80 percent of AMI is? You may; I
12	don't.
13	MS. JOHNS GRAY: Yes, I understand what
14	they're saying.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
16	MS. JOHNS GRAY: And I understand why
17	they're using the national rather than the District.
18	Those are the things that concern us in our community.
19	Everyone who comes to us wants an
20	exception to the rule.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
22	MS. JOHNS GRAY: They have deceptions to
23	the community. That's where that falls in. Why would
24	you use the national instead of the District when
25	you're trying to help people stay within the community

1 and become property owners within the community? 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: But, actually, my understanding is what the testimony was just that the 3 4 national is a better, is a lesser cost because the AMI 5 here is higher. So if you took a percentage of a higher salary locally, then your sale could be higher 6 7 for that unit. So they're doing national to make it 8 less. But I guess the bottom line is they're not 9 10 What they're telling us now in their setting it. 11 testimony is that the Department of Housing and 12 Community Development in the District is setting that. So if we have these discussions of what should happen 13 14 or not happen, or how that should change, it should 15 happen with that agency. Well, the government is 16 MS. JOHNS GRAY: 17 doing that so that they can place a certain type of 18 people that they want to get off the streets or out of 19 shelters, or what have you. They need to do what is right for our community. 2.0 21 I don't want to spend a lot of time in 22 that area because Mr. Gray could better address that. 23 It's just that I know that those are tactics that they 24 use. 25 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.

1	MS. JOHNS GRAY: And we want to make sure
2	that whoever goes in this building stays in it
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right.
4	MS. JOHNS GRAY: or wants to stay in
5	it
6	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
7	MS. JOHNS GRAY: or they don't put
8	people in who will chase other people out.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
10	MS. JOHNS GRAY: The second question was,
11	were they going to be apartment buildings that were
12	being rented or are they condos that are being sold?
13	MS. SCHRODER: They are condos. They are
14	for-sale housing.
15	MS. JOHNS GRAY: Okay, the rest of the
16	questions I won't even bother with because Mr. Gray
17	likes to get things in the record that he can use for
18	the appeal, because we very seldom come forward and
19	don't have an appeal. So I'll just do the testimony
20	for the civic association and hope I can get
21	everything in.
22	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
23	MS. JOHNS GRAY: Our civic association
24	represents the Historic District of Anacostia Historic
25	District and surrounding areas. I did not know that

this building had been marked out of the Historic District because it is on the side of Fendall Street that is within the Historic District.

We are against, in opposition of the variance for the parking. Parking is premium in that area now. I don't know who did this study for these people, but parking is premium. Most of my members are senior citizens. They want to park in front of their homes or as close as they possibly can.

I don't know what type of residents will be moving into this apartment building that they figure they won't buy cars. People who live in shelters in Anacostia have cars. People who live on the street have cars. Very few people ride the bus in our community. You can tell by the number of cars. If any of these people rode the bus, I don't know why they would want to be bringing new people into the community to put them on that busline.

I have lived in Anacostia for 30 years. Riding that bus you have to stand up downtown; you have to stand up coming back home, because our bus is one of the longest routes in the city.

So they definitely are wrong about the public transportation. I don't care how many bus stops are there. The fact that the train station is

1 there does not matter. People drive in Anacostia. 2 someone is working in the evening or working at night, 3 they do not ride the bus at night. 4 You know, the parallel parking or angled 5 parking, that's fine. We did not discuss parking. were just totally against the variance. 6 7 But in talking to the Commissioner, I'm quite sure we would go along with the angled parking 8 9 because then it would give those people a place to 10 park. 11 But I can't imagine one of my members 12 coming home and not only can't park in front of their 13 house, but have to park two or three blocks away. 14 I don't understand why they don't know how 15 many units were in the building when it was apartment building, but I remember when it was not a 16 17 shelter, but a building for the St. Elizabeth's 18 patients. It was quiet there and it was very little 19 parking was necessary because the staff parked there. 20 But even with the staff parking there, some of them had to park on V Street, which did cause a problem 21 22 with the folks who live on V Street. 23 We have a new condo that opened up about 24 two years ago that is just across the street from

We had no problems with them coming for any

there.

1 variances or anything. They made arrangements for 2 their parking. 3 In the 2100 block of 18th Street, which is 4 -- let me see, they're in the 2000 block of Fendall. 5 Eighteenth is one block over. So the 2100 block has just recently opened apartment units. It would be 6 7 unfair to those people if the people in this building 8 took their parking spots. 9 Street there are some vacant 10 apartments that are going to be renovated. Those are 11 the four-unit apartment buildings the ANC was talking 12 It would be unfair to those people to come 13 home and not be able to park in front of where they 14 live. 15 So the parking is the issue here, the main 16 They need to go back and rethink their 17 project. 18 I mean we really resent the Department of 19 Transportation and the Office of Planning meeting with 20 developers and helping them to do something that's 21 detrimental to our community and not having the 22 decency to come before us to discuss their plans or to 23 share their plans. Now I've not talked to Mr. Jackson. 24 25 don't know what his plans are. I've not talked to the

Department of Transportation. It bothers me that these people are involved in something about our community and we have not gotten anything from them.

The size of the apartments, we did not get into how many units should be in there, but we definitely thought 15 or less would be comfortable for the community.

We are also concerned that they put a working-class people as a majority in the building because the apartment that was just sold as condos was a working-class building, and when they continued to put Section 8 in there and they allowed some of the people to sublet to drug dealers, or whatever, that was what emptied that building out. We want to make sure that when this building opens that it stays open.

We are not against the project. We would love to see some life in that building. That's a dangerous corner. V Street is an open-air drug market, and bringing in some life on that corner probably will help to reduce the crime in that area. But if you bring an element of people that the government is trying to place, and they are already involved in the drug trafficking, opening that building could be more detrimental than leaving it vacant.

1	But we welcome them to the community and
2	thank them for thinking enough to put some life on
3	that corner. We just want them to do it properly.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
5	you very much.
6	MR. SHAFF: If I can comment?
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Not quite yet.
8	MR. SHAFF: Okay.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: A couple of
10	questions. Just to explore a little bit, you said, as
11	you ended, that you want to bring new life into this
12	building, and that new life, you envision it to be at
13	18 or 20 units or 15 units?
14	MS. JOHNS GRAY: About 15.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That 15 would be
16	why that number?
17	MS. JOHNS GRAY: We don't want it to be an
18	overcrowding because we have shelters in the area.
19	Like I say, the people who do ride the bus, you have
20	to stand up. When the bus gets to our community, it
21	is full. When the train gets to our community, it is
22	full.
23	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
24	MS. JOHNS GRAY: So there will be an
25	impact; if they are saying the people will be riding

1	the bus, they will be missing buses.
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Mrs. Miller?
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I was wondering
4	if you lived in the area in 1977-78.
5	MS. JOHNS GRAY: Yes, I did.
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Because,
7	according to the filings we have, there was a rooming
8	and boarding house there at the time.
9	MS. JOHNS GRAY: Uh-hum.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Was there a
11	parking problem then?
12	MS. JOHNS GRAY: Are you talking about in
13	their building or the house that I live in?
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No, in their
15	building there was a boarding, and I'm asking you, I
16	guess, if you lived in your building at that time.
17	MS. JOHNS GRAY: Yes, I lived there in
18	1977.
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Was there a
20	parking problem on your street when there was the
21	boarding and rooming house?
22	MS. JOHNS GRAY: Not that I am aware of.
23	I came into the civic association in 1978, I think it
24	was in 1978. We didn't have a lot of complaints about
25	parking.

1	As I stated, in that area it was a working
2	class of people. So they may have, you know, back
3	then they may have ridden a bus then.
4	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Any other questions
6	from the Board?
7	(No response.)
8	Cross?
9	MS. GIORDANO: No questions.
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, very well.
11	Let's go ahead. Thank you very much.
12	Were there others? Yes, come on up. Are
13	there others that are going to provide testimony?
14	Anybody else?
15	MR. CLARK: Good afternoon. My name is
16	Marcus Clark. I'm here as the homeowners' association
17	for the condominium which was previously mentioned on
18	the corner of Fendall and V Streets.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And your address,
20	Mr. Clark?
21	MR. CLARK: 2100 Fendall Street, Unit No.
22	1.
23	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you. Go
24	ahead.
25	MR. CLARK: I think it's incorrect to

1 assume that just because something will be labeled as 2 affordable housing that the buyers will not have cars. 3 Our units were sold at \$120,000 early last year, 2005, 4 and 13 out of our 14 owners do have cars. Some have 5 more than one. Some are couples. So we have a small parking lot which is 6 7 across the intersection from the project. We also are excited about the project, but we have a small parking 8 9 lot in front of our building. We feel that the 10 variance will push their building's residents into our 11 parking space, which on occasion we are unable to 12 occupy due to the overcrowding on V Street. 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Is it a private 14 parking --15 MR. CLARK: It's not private parking. It's not blocked off or anything. I'm not sure what 16 17 the regulations are on this. We actually have been 18 working to acquire that property. 19 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Got you. Okay, we 20 won't talk too much about it. You might have 21 competition. 22 Oh, okay. All right. MR. CLARK: All 23 right. But we also feel that the variance will 24 25 push the overcrowding in the neighborhood on that

1	intersection, on that corner considerably.
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Anything
3	else?
4	MR. CLARK: That's all.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Don't go too
6	far.
7	Let me just try to understand where your
8	building is. We are looking at the site map there
9	where V Street is projecting north-south for that, and
10	then you are? That's you right across the street?
11	Excellent.
12	And your parking then, where is that?
13	It's on Fendall or it's on V? I see, it's right off
14	that area, right off
15	MR. CLARK: We go right off the map.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Interesting.
17	MR. JACKSON: Mr. Chairman, if you look at
18	the Office of Planning report, the second aerial
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
20	MR. JACKSON: you can see the existing
21	building, the apartment buildings across to the north,
22	across V Street, and I believe yours is a four-story?
23	MR. CLARK: Fourteen units.
24	MR. JACKSON: It's a four-story building?
25	MR. CLARK: Yes.

1	MR. JACKSON: Okay. Then his apartment
2	building would be the four-story apartments in the
3	back, just north of well, just south of V Street.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So that green area
5	is the parking area?
6	MR. JACKSON: It looks like a natural
7	grass, dirt area. It's north of the green area.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: You're parking on
9	the area that abuts Fendall Street, is that right?
10	MR. CLARK: Correct.
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Interesting.
12	Okay. Good.
13	Any other questions from the Board for Mr.
14	Clark?
15	(No response.)
16	Is there any cross?
17	MS. GIORDANO: No, no cross.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: No cross.
19	Thank you very much. We do appreciate it.
20	Good afternoon.
21	MS. MARY MILLER: Good afternoon. My name
22	is Mary Miller, and I live at 1663 U Street,
23	Southeast. In fact, my house is right on the corner
24	of Fendall and U. So this property is adjacent to my
25	back yard.

1 We are having problems now with parking, 2 so I can imagine what it is going to be like if it's 3 I heard you say that it was going to be 4 condos, but my letter says apartments, 29-unit apartments. So I want to know what it's going to be, 5 if it's going to be condos, homeownership, or is it 6 7 going to be apartments? 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, let's address 9 that right away. Your letter was from the Office of 10 Zoning announcing this? 11 MS. MARY MILLER: Yes. Yes. 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. I would trust more the testimony here that it's condos than the 13 14 letter of announcement that went out that may not have 15 been technically correct. Am I correct in stating 16 that? 17 MR. GROSS: Mr. Chairman, my comment would be that there are people in the public and in the real 18 19 estate business who always use "apartment" to refer to 20 rental and then "condos" are ownership. I tend to use 21 the zoning terminology, which is that it is defined 22 physically as apartment and then the ownership, if 23 it's owned, then it is condominium, or it could be a 24 rental. So if our statement was the source of it,

then I use "apartments" generically in that way,

1	whether it's ownership or rental.
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And that's a good
3	point. That's probably the basis of the confusion
4	when it went out from the Office of Zoning.
5	It would matter not for the zoning
6	regulations whether this was a condominium or a rental
7	apartment because we are, obviously, looking at more
8	area and, like today, parking requirement. So the
9	confusion, hopefully, has been lifted in that this is
10	a ownership product.
11	MS. MARY MILLER: Okay. Ms. Miller was
12	asking, because I've been there like 33 years, and she
13	was asking about the building before when I moved
14	there. It was like a halfway house for senior
15	citizens, you know, patients from Saint E Hospital.
16	That's what it was before it was closed.
17	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good.
18	MS. MARY MILLER: So that's my main
19	concern, is the parking, because we are having a
20	problem with parking now.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Excellent.
22	Any questions?
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: You have a
24	problem parking now?
25	MS. MARY MILLER: Yes.

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: You personally
2	or you
3	MS. MARY MILLER: Well, the neighborhood
4	on U Street. A lot of times I park on Fendall right
5	by you know, it's like woods right there just
6	before you get to this building. So a lot of times I
7	have to park there. I can't even park on U Street.
8	I probably won't even be able to park on Fendall
9	Street by the time that they have 29 units there.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Any other questions?
12	(No response.)
13	Any cross?
14	MS. GIORDANO: No.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well. Thank
16	you very much, Ms. Miller.
17	Others?
18	MS. TELESFORD: Good afternoon. My name
19	is Peggy Telesford, and I live at 1659 U Street.
20	My back yard is adjacent to this building
21	that you're talking about. My main concern, like Ms.
22	Miller, is also parking and, like she said, it's
23	stated here it's apartments, and we are concerned
24	about that because homeownership plays a different
25	part than when they are renting.

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
2	MS. TELESFORD: When they are renting,
3	they don't care about the place. The trash and
4	whatever goes on. But homeownership, they take pride
5	in their homes. We are concerned whether it's going
6	to be apartments or whether it's going to be condos to
7	be as affordable homes.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
9	MS. TELESFORD: So it's basically the same
10	concern that we have.
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Parking and
12	homeownership?
13	MS. TELESFORD: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good.
15	MS. TELESFORD: Exactly.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Let me ask you
17	something. You acquired this through the Homestead
18	Program?
19	MS. SCHRODER: Yes, sir.
20	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Would the Homestead
21	allow you to redevelop this as a rental product?
22	MS. SCHRODER: No, sir. It's 100 percent
23	homeownership, and we won't own one unit. It will be
24	all homeowners.
25	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.

1	MS. TELESFORD: Okay. Well, I'm happy to
2	hear that and I hope it would be so, because I've been
3	living there myself now 30 I lived there I came
4	there in 1970. When I came there, it was St.
5	Elizabeth's patients. There wasn't anything to do
6	with parking because, of course, they didn't have any
7	cars. So it's going to be difficult now because, like
8	she said, it's already bad. Parking is bad.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And you don't have
10	an alley that accesses your property, do you?
11	MS. TELESFORD: No, it's not an alley.
12	There's no alley there.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: You don't have
14	parking on your property?
15	MS. TELESFORD: No, I don't.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Do you have a two-
17	story row dwelling?
18	MS. TELESFORD: Pardon me?
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: No, that's okay.
20	Okay, very well. Anything else?
21	MS. TELESFORD: No, sir.
22	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Any questions?
23	(No response.)
24	Any cross?
25	MS. GIORDANO: No questions.

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you very much.
2	MS. TELESFORD: Thanks very much.
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: We do appreciate it.
4	Do you have an Office of Planning report,
5	by any chance?
6	MS. TELESFORD: An Office
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Office of Planning's
8	report? It's in the record. You might want to get
9	it. I mention that because it's got a great aerial
10	photograph of your house and your neighbors' houses
11	and the adjacent properties.
12	MS. TELESFORD: Yes, sir.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I get a kick out of
14	them. You may want one also.
15	MS. TELESFORD: Very well. Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you very much.
17	Very well. Is there anyone else present,
18	persons to provide testimony on 17463? In support?
19	In opposition?
20	(No response.)
21	Very well. I think that was very
22	informative in terms of the issues of parking and also
23	utilization and history of this existing structure.
24	With that, then, if there's nothing
25	further, I will ask, Board questions? Clarifications?

Ms. Miller?

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Well, given the testimony that we have heard, I certainly would be interested in hearing from the Applicant and from the Office of Planning with respect to their view on the parking situation.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Whether that testimony changed their view on the parking? Let's start with the Office of Planning. Their view on the parking?

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No, their view on the parking. I don't remember Mr. Jackson really addressing it earlier. Maybe I missed it. But can you respond to the citizens that we have heard who say that the parking is already bad and that this will create a great parking problem, plus also the suggestion about the angled parking?

MR. JACKSON: Well, again, the Office of Planning, of course, would defer to the Department of Transportation with their analysis of the site because, obviously, we visit the site on a regular basis and can do simple computations of linear footage of street to calculate the number of cars that can sit on the street, but we would defer to the Department of Transportation, in that any real complaints about the

parking situation or the lack of parking in an area would have been referred to them for additional discussion because they have area planners that actually are usually up-to-date on this type of thing.

We are looking at somewhat a new situation for the current environment, but, of course, at one time this was already apartments. Both in terms of the Department of Transportation and DHCD, their impression was that in re-establishing an existing -- what previously used to be on the site, it was not seen as being draining on the site, that there would not be a specific impact, and we would generally concur with that.

But, of course, we also recommend to the Applicant that they do pursue every option for parking. We identified an additional lot which they have tried to make work as part of their development and haven't been able to.

We would support any proposals that the community would support with DHCD to look at making more parking available along the street. The idea of angled parking along the street for a distance or for the entire street appears to be something that DHCD could look at, particularly since the street is so wide.

1 we would support that idea going 2 forward, but, of course, that's an issue with public 3 As such, it would not be something that the 4 Applicant could affect either way, as opposed to just 5 -- of course, they could support it. So we would proceed as contacting the 6 7 Department of Transportation to consider this option and possibly look forward to the Applicant working 8 with the community to come up with some -- to review 9 10 whether options are available to provide additional 11 parking. 12 But, again, since there really is option for them providing parking onsite, short of 13 14 demolishing an existing building, which I think would 15 diminish the fabric of the community since it has been around so long, we would like to see the building put 16 17 to a use that would benefit the community in the long 18 run and try to deal with these other problems over 19 time, potential problems over time. 20 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. 21 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I have just one 22 When you visited the area, did you notice followup. 23 a parking problem? 24 MR. JACKSON: No. Of course, we went in

We noted that, particularly on

broad daylight.

1 Fendall Street, there were no cars parked there at 2 all. We can also respond to 3 MS. GIORDANO: 4 that. will ask the Applicant to give their 5 impressions of the parking situation. We would certainly be willing to work with DDOT on exploring 6 7 angled parking, but I just note that we would still need the parking variance because the parking would 8 not be on our lot if the angled parking were to be 9 10 something that could be worked out. 11 MR. KEARLEY: I just want to respond to 12 the parking situation. I believe that Fendall you can 13 park on both sides of the street since it is wide. 14 if you went to an angled parking, you're eliminating 15 -- you wouldn't actually get more parking because the spaces, it adds up to the same amount of spaces if you 16 17 calculate both sides of the street as opposed to one 18 side of the street that's angled. I think it's going 19 to be a wash when you get it figured out. 20 it. could be designated for So 21 particular building, but you're still taking up a 22 space for a space. You're not gaining any additional 23 spaces. 24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Interesting. 25 MS. SCHRODER: Hi. Both Steve and myself,

and we stated this at the ANC meeting, we absolutely would love to work with the community. At that time we also understood the fact that we still have to go after the variance because it's public space. However, we would love to work with the community in any way to figure out how to get extra spaces.

I live in the City. I live in walking distance to a Metro. I understand every single issue the City faces every day with parking. I think it's part of the love-and-hate relationship you have of living in a city.

That said, there is substantial Metro access, not just the buses but also the train station and the proposal which ARCH has supported since day one of the light rail that may or may not end up happening in Anacostia, which would also be within walking distance that would go actually over the bridge eventually, which would cause the time spent traveling to greatly decrease. Again, I know that's still in development with WMATA and the Department of Transportation. But that also potentially would be there by the time this building was ready.

One other point I would like to just -- I know Steve will answer this better because he's in development right now with condos in another part of

the City.

The 80 percent of AMI, that will probably, more than probably be working class because of what the rate nationally is of income at that level.

We also would love to work with DHCD to know that that is going to be there. We are fully supportive of all the main street Anacostia programs that are going on now in order to have a more viable community living there, to have a more viable community serving there.

We, both Steve and myself, would love to put people in these units that would be working-class citizens and will work with Bob Muldering's group to do so.

Also, the suggestion of 15 units or less would be improbable for us to continue development because of the cost of development. There's no grants anymore for this type of development. So it is all loans. Even the grants that are given by DHCD are actually just low-cost loans. The building would not get developed at the cost of development at this rate without its being 29 units. Steve, if necessary, can speak to that.

MR. SHAFF: Yes, a lot of the questions the community has are very valid. Part of that

problem is because we haven't really sat down and met with them to explain everything. That's primarily because we are still gathering our information. There's still issues with the City that we're working out. At the appropriate time we wanted to really sit down, because half the questions that are raised here are very legitimate and can be discussed.

Again, our approach, and our record stands for this, shows that we come into a neighborhood because we want to work with the neighborhood. We can't be an island in a neighborhood. So a lot of these issues will more than adequately be addressed.

feasibility, In terms of the the affordability, and how you monitor that, the purchasers have to be within a certain income limit, which for our area is lower than national standards. They have to be first-time homeowners in D.C. have a very limited market here.

To confirm that, when we find an appropriate buyer, the City's requesting or insisting, as part of this process, that the buyer goes through their program to verify that they are, indeed, within this. So there's no way, unless we're extremely unscrupulous, that a buyer who's really hiding their BMW sets of cars up in Columbia Heights is going to be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

able to move in here. So there's some control there from the City.

In terms of the number of units, again, the configuration we have, and the size of the units we have, is actually a little bit bigger than the standard market right now. If we went down to anything less than this, the project would not be feasible. This would remain empty for quite some time. We're working within the economic reality. So those are the issues there.

The parking issues, obviously, we are concerned about that. It is something legitimate. Any solutions that can be done to address that, obviously, we want to look at. That's why we came up with the shuttle, because, as was pointed out, folks may not be inclined to take the bus, but they can go downstairs to the lobby. There's going to be a shuttle there several times in the morning, several times in the afternoon. So we feel that that will be both convenient and also financially sound for the purchasers of these units, who, obviously, are not going to be very affluent.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, any other rebuttal?

MS. GIORDANO: Did you want to share any

1 observations about the parking demand on the street or 2 congestion? SHAFF: like 3 MR. Ι going to the 4 neighborhoods all hours of the night, every day of the 5 week. It doesn't matter. I like to really get a sense for it, not while it's sunny and shiny and 6 7 people are walking around during the day, but all the time, including the time that church is in session up 8 9 the street. I had just learned, literally, this past 10 11 winter that there was -- frankly, I thought there was 12 a no parking sign on Fendall because I never ever saw 13 cars parking there. Again, I thought it was because 14 maybe I'm here during the day; people are working and 15 they take their cars. But I've gone through there late evenings, weekends, and that part of Fendall is 16 rarely used. There's not too many other cars there. 17 18 Now, of course, I don't live there, but I think there 19 is adequate room there. 20 As the project gets developed and there's other ways we can add to parking, obviously, we will 21 22 look at that. There's no question about that. 23 But there will be an impact. We're not 24 denying that. 25 Anything else? CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS:

1 MS. GIORDANO: I think that concludes our 2 rebuttal, closing, et cetera. 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Actually, Ms. Hudson 4 has some cross questions for you all. 5 Ms. Hudson, you're going to limit your cross questions, of course, to that testimony that you 6 7 just heard, which got you so excited. So I'm very 8 interested to hear all these questions. It's more of a statement. 9 MS. HUDSON: 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Try to pose it in a 11 question. That way, I won't have to cut you off. 12 MS. HUDSON: When you said you come by the 13 neighborhood all hours of the day, what hours are you 14 actually coming through? Because we actually have 15 data that portion shows that а good of the constituents in that particular community come home 16 17 after 9:00 because most of them are shift workers and 18 they all take their cars. A good portion, 60 percent 19 of them take their cars to work with them. 20 There is no parking on Fendall Street 21 during the day because it's zoned parking during the 22 Therefore, they cannot park up there during it. 23 There is no parking in that area. GRIFFIS: 24 CHAIRPERSON There's two 25 questions in that. First of all, do you ever get to

1	the site
2	MS. HUDSON: That's why there's no parking
3	during the day.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: after nine
5	o'clock? The second is, are you aware that there are
6	parking restrictions during the day on Fendall?
7	MR. SHAFF: Yes. As I said, I come
8	through at all hours because, again, if I'm thinking
9	in terms of a buyer living there, which is how I
10	approach this
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, but that's
12	understood. You go through all hours of the day.
13	MR. SHAFF: Absolutely.
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Are you aware of the
15	parking restrictions on Fendall?
16	MR. SHAFF: Well, like I said, on Fendall
17	itself, I wasn't aware of that I know there's some
18	restrictions, but I wasn't sure what all they have to
19	do with.
20	MS. HUDSON: There are parking
21	restrictions on Fendall.
22	MR. SHAFF: Okay.
23	MS. HUDSON: There's also street-cleaning
24	restrictions on Fendall three days a week, and also on
25	Fendall I would like to address the Board. Before

you vote on this matter, we would ask for maybe a postponement until they address the community and also until DDOT comes forward to the community maybe doing a traffic survey and maybe actually addressing the situation on angled parking. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Interesting. Okay. Any other questions for these witnesses? MS. HUDSON: Do you intend on coming to our June meeting? We were informed that you wanted to come to our April and May meeting and you did not show up at our April meeting. That's where we voted. didn't do a presentation at our May meeting. are requesting that you maybe come to our June meeting maybe and do something in front of the community. MR. SHAFF: I would be more than happy, and we are going to start working with the community so we can sit down to talk more in detail about what we have planned. Again, we're very involved in the communities we work with. If you would like to get information from the community members that we work with now, we certainly would be able to get you information. MS. HUDSON: Cool. MR. SHAFF: Yes. MS. HUDSON: Thanks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	MS. SCHRODER: And I just want to state
2	for the record that we did do a presentation in March
3	to the ANC, but Steve was not available. He had folks
4	from Community Vision there, his folks that are
5	working on this.
6	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Has the project
7	changed since March?
8	MS. SCHRODER: It has not.
9	MS. HUDSON: Yes, actually, it has. The
10	scope, you went from six one-bedrooms to eight one-
11	bedrooms and then from 21 two-bedrooms to 19 two-
12	bedrooms. So the scope of the project has changed
13	since you approached the ANC.
14	MR. KEARLEY: The number of units is the
15	same. We took two efficiencies and made them one-
16	bedrooms. So that was the six to the eight. So I
17	think the number of units is the same. It's just a
18	slightly different mix of units. So I think, in all
19	intents and purposes, it's the same project.
20	MS. HUDSON: And also the square footage
21	of the units changed as well, and our scope means the
22	largest two-bedroom would be 902 square feet, and in
23	your testimony today you said it was like 800 and
24	something.
25	MR. KEARLEY: All I said was that the

1 average was 800, approximately 800 square feet, but 2 there are units that are larger than that. 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure. Do you see 4 that impact changing the parking? 5 MS. HUDSON: I think that as far as the unit number, it probably won't impact the parking as 6 7 far as number of spaces as the variance, but I think it will probably have a change in density as far as 8 the number of people in the building itself. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I see. 11 MS. HUDSON: And that's one of the 12 concerns that the community has, is the density of the number of people in that building. 13 The parking variance is just one situation right now. To put that 14 15 many units and that many people in that one area, that's an issue of itself. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure, sure. Okay. Anything else? 18 19 MR. GROSS: Mr. Chairman, I just want to 20 make the observation that, and this doesn't create any 21 parking spaces, but when you're dealing with historic 22 districts and a high percentage of the buildings were 23 built before there was parking requirements or very 24 many automobiles, whether that's the Capitol Hill 25 Historic District, Georgetown, or other places that

1	are not designated historic yet, such as Adams Morgan,
2	you have a parking deficit and it's tough for people.
3	They just have to adjust.
4	As Mr. Shaff said, I am sure he will try
5	to find any parking exclusions he can, but if you're
6	in a historic district, you have a lot of historic
7	character and environmental quality, but one of the
8	tradeoffs is parking is often difficult.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Not just in historic
10	districts.
11	(Laughter.)
12	Okay, Ms. Hudson, thank you very much. We
13	appreciate it.
14	MS. HUDSON: Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: We will take it
16	under advisement as we wind this up.
17	Let's go to closings.
18	MS. GIORDANO: I would just like the
19	Applicant to address the issue of postponement and how
20	that might affect project schedule. I'm sure there
21	would be
22	MS. SCHRODER: Just one note in regards to
23	that: Working with some of the zoning and getting
24	permits, and as Ms. Hudson stated earlier, she left
25	several messages with DDOT, to no avail I would
I	·

1 hope that we would not get postponed because I do feel 2 that it would be difficult to get audience with DDOT 3 on this subject to be taken seriously at first. 4 Although we would continue to work with 5 the community on it, I just would not want to postpone and have to go into another waiting period because 6 7 every minute -- we have been working very hard on this project for over a year in configuring, went through 8 9 the environmental, the engineering. To postpone it 10 would cost us a lot of time and effort, and every 11 penny matters on this. 12 DHCD met with us. MR. SHAFF: They are concerned about how long it is taking us to get this 13 14 going. So they're pretty anxious to get this thing 15 moving. It will create additional cost to the 16 17 project. Again, we're trying to fit an affordable 18 housing project in here. It's going to have an 19 impact. 20 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okav. 21 MS. GIORDANO: In closing, I think that we 22

have met the variance relief standard. basically dealing with an apartment building that has a credit. We're asking for a variance with regard to not a large number of parking spaces.

23

24

The Applicant is going to continue to work with the community. They have committed to coming to the June meeting in the ANC. We would hope that the BZA would go ahead and decide this case at their next monthly meeting, if appropriate, and allow Applicant to continue to work with the community, as well as DDOT, on additional parking solutions that would not obviate the need for a variance. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. I think that is an excellent point that you bring up. No matter what, DDOT might look at it, but even before we get to that, we have the Office of Planning's report that says that DDOT did not express any concern. So it is not as if they are searching for alternatives. I think the impact has been well said and the testimony is persuasive that there's going to be impact once you start re-animating this building. That, obviously, is now before us to see whether it meets the threshold test of the variance. Is there anything else then? (No response.) Very well. I do appreciate that. Board members, I'll open it up to you for a brief discussion on whether we proceed today or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	whether additional information is required for the
2	record to be full and we set this for decision.
3	Comments?
4	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I guess I'm
5	prepared to go forward today. I think we have DDOT's
6	response in the record. We have Office of Planning's
7	comments.
8	I mean I think if the reason to wait would
9	be to get more information on the parking, I think we
10	have enough.
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Others? Mr. Mann?
12	MEMBER MANN: I agree that I don't think
13	there's any other information that we need to wait on.
14	Given all the information that's been entered into the
15	record and the testimony we heard today, I would be
16	able to move forward to make a decision.
17	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well. Any
18	opposition to move forward today?
19	MR. PARSONS: No, I approve of it.
20	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, let's move
21	ahead then.
22	I think I'll open it up for those to speak
23	first or if there is a motion.
24	(No response.)
25	Okay, I think I'll try to move this on a

little bit. I think it's appropriate to take our deliberation up, as this is a bench deliberation, to speak to it under a motion. I would move approval of Application of 17463 of the ARCH Training Center. That is for the variance of the off-street parking requirements under 2101.1. That is to allow the conversion of the vacant community residence facility which was originally built as an apartment or multifamily structure back into an apartment house, as the zoning regulations stated, but would be a condominium project of 29 units at the premises of 2025 Fendall Street, Southeast.

I would ask for a second.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Second.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I would make note in the motion, also, on this as we go into deliberations, the Office of Planning I thought made an intriguing argument. I don't think the Board has been definitive whether actually Section 353 would requirement to meet that special exception, but as we are in a variance, I thought it was fulfilling in the variance test, terms of iust the special exception, elements and those οf the special exception.

I think Mr. Jackson was absolutely correct

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in saying that it was informative to have the agencies respond to this. It also stepped us into a little bit of what the overall aesthetic exterior landscaping might be, and certainly the elements of what should be of concern to the community.

But that's a bit of a digression. The uniqueness I think is unequivocal in this in that the building was built prior to 1958. The regulations state within the requirements of variance the premise of uniqueness. One of that is if it was in existence and became not conforming to the elements when they had the adoption of the 1958 rezoning regulations. Certainly this meets this requirement.

Not only that is, how does it actually become non-conforming? That's the aspect that it wasn't built with parking. It is essentially land-locked, although it is on a corner property.

We have seen persuasive testimony that if you drove in anywhere, if you could, you couldn't park anywhere. The adjacent lot, of course, is not in discussion because it isn't under the site control. Doing the other aspects of it -- well, that establishes the uniqueness.

The practical difficulty right now of that, of course, is if you can't put it on the site,

what do you do? I suppose we could have done away with differing floors of the residential building and driven right in it, kind of parked within the building. I think we have seen in a lot of cases like that that it is not viable.

Certainly that didn't come up in this one, but I think we can assume that it isn't in terms of acquiring the adjacent sites or even the adjacent property that separates it from Lot 71.

Certainly a practical difficulty is it is also part of the Homestead Program, I understood testimony to state, and therefore, would have to go to homeownership.

But if we dispense with that, even just theoretically, that doesn't relieve this of some relief from the regulations that would then be establishing an accessory parking lot attendant to the primary use on the apartment. That all being said, it is fairly persuasive, the practical difficulty in providing the parking requirements.

I don't think we were definitive, either, in what the total relief was required, the number. However, I don't think that that's definitive for our deliberation on this because we are looking for a total relief, as none will be provided.

1 Whether it would impair the intent and 2 integrity of the zone plan, I certainly do not see in 3 the R-5-A as an existing structure. 4 The public good, this is what rises to, I 5 think, very interesting discussions, and that is, how do we re-animate this building with perhaps other 6 7 construction that's happened around the area, in anticipation of maybe further or future development in 8 9 the area, not overburdening? 10 I think one way to look at it is actually 11 the balancing of goods, let's say. We've talked 12 about, and all the testimony I think was fairly 13 supportive of, re-animating this building, but not re-14 animated to the detriment of the rest and the 15 How do you strike surrounding community. that 16 balance? I'm not sure that there's anything that 17 would rise to putting use back into this that wouldn't 18 19 have a parking requirement. I think it probably 20 better serves the public that this is used rather than 21 not used. 22

The van is an interesting idea and an interesting program to be put forth. It's the first I have seen of anything on this level of numbers and density that this is being proposed.

23

24

I applaud the effort and, hopefully, the implementation of it. However, it's not something that I find is a requirement for the persuasiveness and success of the variances.

I actually question more, and I hesitate in bringing this up because I don't want to not be supportive of the van, but I question its longevity in terms of program and in terms of management, and also in terms of the affordability. If one is putting together affordable units in a condo regime, you're also going to have your fees that are attendant to it and the responsibility of upkeep of the building. I wonder how long or whether one would want to burden all those new homeowners with the maintenance or use of this. But, thank goodness, I'm not being hired to manage it because it gives me a bit of a headache to think of it. However, in principle, it seems to be an excellent idea to afford that.

Hopefully, there will be further discussions as this goes forward with the other agencies in terms of maybe changing that restrictive parking on Fendall Street and also maybe changing how the parking actually takes place in the public realm that might make it more advantageous for the areas around.

That's my summation for the motion. I'll open it up to others.

MEMBER ETHERLY: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I think you were right on target with your summation, so I will not be repetitive, but I will speak just in some detail to the issue of the ANC's report and also the testimony on the part of the residents who came before us and gave of their time.

Although I appreciated the testimony, I agree with the direction in which my colleague's deliberation is heading as it relates to, in all honesty, not necessarily being as convinced about the traffic piece. I think you said it, Mr. Chair, in terms of highlighting that there's probably, as we look at bringing this building back into productive use, there's probably very little that we could do that will not have some type of traffic impact.

I would hazard to guess that, in the absence of the building being used in a productive way, we have perhaps gotten comfortable with the fact that you haven't had to deal with the traffic component there. But I definitely appreciate the testimony that was brought forward by the residents here.

I am swayed by the Department of

Transportation's lack of any objection regarding traffic concerns and also the proximity of the building to adjacent Metro bus routes, which I think will help to eliminate or mitigate, if you will, some of the traffic, potential traffic impacts.

That is definitely not to say that there will not be something that you will feel, but I think, as the Chairman indicated here, there is an issue of balance that needs to be struck in terms of how you bring this building back into productive use and balance that with the challenges or the issues that residents might feel as a result of the building coming back online, if you will.

But I did not necessarily find it very compelling that the traffic impact was going to be such that you're going to be looking at utter gridlock in the community. But I want to be very clear that that is not to suggest that you are not going to see a difference, because you will have additional bodies coming back into the community.

But I think the focus of the zoning inquiry is to balance that inquiry and make certain that, as the Chair alluded to, especially within the context of the variance test, as you look at the intent of the zoning regulations and the overall

1 public good here, I think this outcome is, indeed, the appropriate one and supported by the argument that has 2 3 been put forward. 4 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank 6 you. 7 Ms. Miller? 8 ARBITRATOR ROSS: I just want to add to 9 all that you all have said. I mean, in general, 10 there's the premise that most existing neighborhoods 11 would be concerned about any development bringing in 12 more parking. But the history of this building goes way 13 14 back. I want to note that before the CBRF, in 1977 15 there was a rooming and boarding house there for 130 The neighbors who have been there don't 16 17 remember that having a big negative parking impact. Of course, times have changed, or whatever, though I 18 19 think that mass transit has gotten better also. Then we were faced with asking that the 20 21 number of units be reduced perhaps, but, on the other 22 hand, we heard that if that was reduced, then the 23 project would become infeasible. The number that was 24 being proposed was really kind of based on

impression, I think, that that would correlate with a

1 decrease in the parking problem, which was somewhat 2 vaque. 3 Also, there was nothing really to hang our 4 hat on with respect to, well, are these residents 5 really going to bring a lot of cars? I mean with the price of gas, or whatever, and you have a van and you 6 7 have Metro, it really isn't clear how great that would 8 be; plus, especially DDOT not seeing a problem. 9 And then there's also, when you were 10 considering the public good, the fact that 11 building is being brought back to life and it's being 12 devoted to affordable housing which is in the public 13 good. 14 So, for all those reasons, even though I 15 think the parking was something we were really considering, when you weigh it all together, the 16 17 public good is really in favor of the project going 18 forward. 19 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Others? 20 MR. PARSONS: Mr. Chairman, I wanted to 21 make a run at you with this shuttle bus. 22 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. 23 I certainly think it is MR. PARSONS: 24 worth a trial period. I wondered if you would be 25 willing to consider a requirement that this

1 undertaken for a two-year period. In other words, it 2 is either going to catch on or not, and show our 3 support for that. 4 It seems to me there are two sides to a 5 traffic story. That is what we have heard here today -- or parking requirements. I think it is worth our 6 7 support of the concept of a van or shuttle bus, 8 whatever the term we want to use. Van implies a 9 smaller vehicle of some maybe 15 passengers at 10 But the commitment seemed to be for a maximum. 11 vehicle of about \$35,000 to \$40,000, as I remember it. 12 But I ask for your consideration of that as a condition for two years. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. 15 no difficulty with that as it is proffered by the Applicant and developer. Then, obviously, after two 16 17 years the association would decide if it is productive to have, and they're paying for it wouldn't be 18 19 restrictive if they could continue it on. 20 To that, then, when would the two-year 21 period start? 22 MR. PARSONS: It would start with the sale of the last unit. 23 24 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Interesting. Okay. 25 Comments?

1 MR. PARSONS: I sense underwhelming 2 enthusiasm. 3 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I think it's a 4 good idea. It's just a different type of condition 5 than we've done. So that's all. I'm just pausing to think about that, a two-year condition. 6 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I don't think it's 7 any difficulty. I mean I think it is well said the 8 9 way he's -- I understand your concern. It's like a 10 time condition that will disappear, but I think 11 exactly what Mr. Parsons is getting to is appropriate 12 for a condition of the variance because it is making 13 sure that it is set up and then is given But it isn't the basis on 14 opportunity to succeed. 15 which the variance would have been or is granted, but it's one of those offsetting opportunities. 16 17 Mr. Mann, comments? MEMBER MANN: Well, I am also in favor of 18 19 service. I wouldn't be opposed to a 2.0 condition. 21 But I would also throw out that perhaps, 22 just something for some consideration is, I think we 23 heard testimony that the Applicant also has developed properties in the vicinity, I think. 24 If that's

correct, if my recollection is correct, perhaps they

1 may also be able to coordinate the same sort of 2 service, perhaps even with the same van, with some of the other properties that they have developed in the 3 4 area. 5 I throw that out for our consideration, if we do condition this, that we wouldn't restrict it to 6 7 perhaps this one property, but that it might be available on a broader basis, if that makes any sense. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. In fact, if 10 it catches on, DDOT might do a shuttle through the 11 neighborhood, right? 12 Okay, I think it's well said. I think. Mr. Parsons, I have no difficulty in taking that on as 13 14 a condition of two years commencing with the sale of 15 last unit and as outlined in the proposed the provision of van document that was submitted. 16 17 Anything else then? 18 (No response.) 19 Concerns? Ouestions? Anything else on 20 all of the other aspects of the variance we discussed? 21 Any elements at all? 22 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I think the 23 concept of the van is a good one as well, and I'm 24 certainly willing to go along with it. I'm not sure 25 how we're going to -- we can work it out how we're

1	going to put it in as a condition?
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay, anything else?
5	(No response.)
6	Very well. We do have a motion before us.
7	It has been seconded and conditioned.
8	I would ask for all those in favor to
9	signify by saying aye.
10	(Chorus of ayes.)
11	And opposed?
12	(No response.)
13	Abstaining?
14	(No response.)
15	Very well, Ms. Bailey, if you wouldn't
16	mind reporting the vote?
17	MS. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, the vote is
18	recorded as five, zero, zero to approve the
19	application. Mr. Griffis made the motion; Mrs. Miller
20	seconded. Mr. Mann, Mr. Etherly, and Mr. Parsons
21	agreed. And it's with a condition as stipulated by
22	the Board.
23	It's approved with a condition?
24	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes, exactly, with
25	one condition.

1 Excellent. Thank you very much, Ms. 2 Bailey. I do appreciate it. 3 Thank you all, Board members. Thank you 4 everyone who was here and participated in this case, 5 and the Applicant; we appreciate it. Good luck and we'll look to see great successes on this. 6 7 With that, we're going to take five minutes to let this group get out and then we're going 8 9 to set up right away and call the next case of the 10 afternoon. 11 (Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off 12 the record at 4:00 p.m. and went back on the record at 13 4:15 p.m.) CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well, let's 14 15 resume. Ms. Bailey, if you wouldn't mind? 16 MS. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, the last case 17 18 today is an appeal and the number is 17465. It's the 19 appeal of the Advisory Neighborhood Commission 3D, pursuant to 11 DCMR 3100 and 3101, from the decision 20 21 of the Administrative Law Judge to dismiss a DCRA/BLRA 22 Notice of Violation for the construction of 23 unpermitted horse stable. 24 Appellant alleges that the ALJ, 25 Administrative Law Judge, erred on October 20th, 2005,

1	by overturning a DCRA/BLRA Notice of Violation issued
2	to Dorchester Associates. Appellant contends that the
3	construction of the stable violates Sections 204 and
4	208 of the zoning regulations. The property is
5	located in the CB/UT/R-1-A District at premises 2762
6	Chain Bridge Road, Northwest, Square 1425, Lot 822.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Why
8	don't we introduce for the record who we have?
9	MR. KIERNAN: Good afternoon. Paul
10	Kiernan of Holland & Knight, on behalf of the
11	Intervener Dorchester Associates. With me at the
12	table is Morton Bender, the principal of the owner.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
14	you.
15	MS. GATES: Alma Gates, Chair of ANC-3D.
16	With me is Judith Lanius of the Chain Bridge Road
17	University Terrace Preservation Committee.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
19	you both very much.
20	First of all, I think we need to address
21	we have a motion to dismiss this appeal. Both of you
22	had filed written statements of the motion and then
23	the response to the motion. They're both very clear
24	and full.
25	However, I am going to provide no more

1 than five minutes for each of you to brief it for the 2 Board. You obviously don't need to; we're well up-to-3 speed on it. Then I would like to take up the 4 discussions. There are, essentially, if I can frame it, and hopefully I'll do it correctly, but there are 6 7 three issues that are brought out in the Motion to One is the jurisdiction. 8 Appeal. The second is timeliness, and whether, the third, the ANC has the 9 ability to bring the appeal. 10 11 I'll turn to you, Ms. Gates, if you want 12 to -- well, I'm sorry, we'll start with the motion first and see if I did it justice in terms of my 13 14 summation. 15 MR. KIERNAN: I think you got two and a half right, but close enough. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Indeed. 18 This is here, as you know, MR. KIERNAN: 19 on an appeal from the decision of the Administrative 20 Law Judge. There's no pending permit, application, or 21 other proceeding before the Board other than the 22 appeal itself, which I think is significant. 23 As you know from the record, on the date that the Notice of Violation was to be heard the 24 25 District did not produce any evidence, did not produce their witness on this. In response to our Motion to Dismiss, the Hearing Examiner dismissed the Notice of Violation, finding that the District had not sustained its burden of preponderance of evidence.

At the hearing there were no witnesses taken. There was no evidence received. So there is, in fact, no record of the hearing that is in before you.

On the timeliness issue, which is probably the easiest to deal with, under D.C. Code Title 2, Section 1802.04(A), and as also reflected in the order from the Hearing Examiner himself, an aggrieved party has 15 days to file an appeal. The order in this case was signed October 20th. It was mailed October 25th of 2005. The appeal in this case was not filed until December 5, long after the expiration of any appeal period.

I could not find any authority for the proposition that this Board can adjust or ignore the statutory deadlines for filing an appeal, even if these Appellants were proper Appellants, which brings us to point No. 2, which is that the ANC and the Chain Bridge University Terrace Group are not proper Appellants. They were not parties in the proceeding below. They were not Interveners or didn't

participate at all as parties on the violation notice.

The fact that they are unhappy with the decision of a

Hearing Examiner does not make them aggrieved parties

for purposes of filing an appeal.

I would note the District of Columbia, which did have its case dismissed below, isn't even here and hasn't, apparently, consented to being dragged back into appeal. It seems to me that if the District of Columbia has its Notice of Violation dismissed and it doesn't want to pursue it any further, that we can't have ANCs or neighbors or people unhappy with it deciding they want to make the appeal and make the District come back in and deal with a case that the District apparently no longer wants to deal with.

Finally, on the merits, again, under D.C. Code, Title 2, 1803.03, the scope of review of this Board on this appeal is not a hearing de novo on the merits of whether it's a stable or a shed or a leanto, or whatever. The statute is very clear that this Board makes its determination on the basis of the record established before the Administrative Law Judge or the Attorney Examiner and shall set aside any order that is without observance of procedures or that is unsupported by a preponderance of evidence in the

1 record, and thereby can affirm, reverse, or modify the 2 order. 3 Here there was no record below because 4 there was no hearing below. There's no allegation in 5 the appeal papers that the Administrative Law Judge was incorrect in dismissing it, in light of the case 6 7 management order which required the District to be 8 there, in light of the fact that the case had been 9 continued several times, and it is not in this forum 10 that a new record can be created on the underlying 11 merits by statute. 12 So, for all those reasons, we ask that the appeal be dismissed. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. The 15-15 day timeline, timeliness, would go to a de novo hearing, to the record below, to the ALJ's decision, 16 is that correct? 17 18 I'm sorry? MR. KIERNAN: 19 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: The 15-day 20 timeliness would go to the hearing, the ALJ's hearing? 21 It would be to the appeal of that ruling, which would 22 be the record below, or if there was a de novo 23 hearing, it would be on that substance, correct? 24 MR. KIERNAN: Well, Title 2, Section 25 1802.04 says that the order of the Administrative Law

Judge or Attorney Examiner shall become final 15
calendar days after service of the order upon the
respondent
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right, so
MR. KIERNAN: unless somebody files an
appeal.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. So the
direct question, though, just for clarification, is
that 15-day clock is if what is under appeal is the
decision of the ALJ?
MR. KIERNAN: Right.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
MR. KIERNAN: Yes, which is what the
appeal has been styled in this case.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes. Okay.
Questions?
VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. With
respect to the argument that the ANC didn't have
standing to appeal this order in any event, I think
you stated that DCRA has standing? Is that correct?
MR. KIERNAN: I think they would.
VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And would that
be the Zoning Administrator or who would that be?
MR. KIERNAN: I guess well, I don't
know. That's a good question. I'm not sure. I'm not

1	sure what is the answer.
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, that's all
3	for now.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Does this structure
5	still exist?
6	MR. KIERNAN: It's still there, yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: You made a comment
8	that I hadn't seen in writing yet the District
9	isn't here, which is actually kind of striking that
10	you take that as a notion that they no longer want to,
11	I think you said, deal with this.
12	MR. KIERNAN: Well, I'm drawing from the
13	fact that the District did not file a timely appeal,
14	and they would be the parties who would have the right
15	to appeal.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right.
17	MR. KIERNAN: But they have decided not to
18	appeal this matter.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right.
20	MR. KIERNAN: Whether the underlying issue
21	is dealt with in other places is an issue for another
22	day.
23	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes?
24	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I would note
25	that they're not here today, either.

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Pardon me?
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: They're not here
3	today, either.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: That's what we're
5	talking about.
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, I thought
7	you were talking about the fact they didn't appeal
8	the
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: No, that was clear
10	on the writing of the submission, but that they're not
11	represented here I'm not sure what it's not
12	good, but I'm not sure what to make of it.
13	Okay, Ms. Gates?
14	MS. GATES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15	The ANC believed when Ms. Lanius came
16	forward about the illegal stable and its illegal
17	construction that it did have the right to file an
18	appeal. Anyone can file an appeal in the District of
19	Columbia.
20	The fact that the ALJ dismissed this
21	leaves everyone with their hands tied because it was
22	both the Chain Bridge Road Committee and the ANC who
23	pushed this violation forward. It was Mr. Kiernan who
24	sort of sidetracked it over to the Office of
25	Administrative Hearings. It really should not have

1 gone there. It should have come to you. I think 2 there's evidence in our submission that would indicate that. 3 4 I wonder if DCRA isn't here today because 5 they do support the ANC and the Committee. There was a preponderance, I believe, of 6 7 evidence in our initial filing that would indicate this is an illegal structure. It needed a special 8 9 exception to be built on the property. A permit was 10 never issued for its construction. 11 Notices were issued to Mr. Bender on 12 November 18th, December 20th, and February 20th. 13 Instead of going through the proper channels, he 14 sidestepped it, as I said before, and went to the 15 Office of Administrative Hearings. This case has never been heard. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. Okay, anything else? 18 19 MS. GATES: One other thing notification and timeliness; I think I need to address 20 21 that. Unlike the Historic Preservation Review 22 Board, the Zoning Commission, the National Capital 23 24 Planning Commission, or the BZA, the Office 25 Administrative Hearings does not notify ANCs

1	hearings or opinions which may be of interest to their
2	districts. So it wasn't until I went down to the
3	Office to obtain a copy of the final order that I was
4	aware there was a 15-day limit, where it clearly
5	states in Title 11 that we do have 60 days, and we
6	certainly met that. So I think there's some
7	contradiction here in whose timeliness because it
8	refers the issue to you.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right, under the
10	civil infractions.
11	Go ahead.
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: The sixty days,
13	could you just refresh my memory of your starting date
14	of the 60 days? You were within the 60 days of some
15	date. What's your starting date? It's not October
16	20th because you
17	MS. GATES: Even if we went to October
18	20th, we filed on December 5th. So we met that
19	deadline. That would have put us within 60 days.
20	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I see. When did
21	you find out about it, though? Do we have a date?
22	MS. GATES: We were informed on October
23	the 5th at our ANC meeting.
24	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: October the 5th?
25	MS. GATES: No.

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No?
2	MS. GATES: No, it was dismissed on the
3	29th. So then you came to the October meeting. You
4	had been to the hearing.
5	I am not the person Mr. Kiernan saw at the
6	meeting.
7	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, that's
8	okay because you're saying, in any event, you're
9	within the six months (sic).
10	MS. LANIUS: Sixty days.
11	MS. GATES: Sixty days.
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: What is the
13	error the 60-day rule talks about anyone being able
14	to anyone in the public, regardless of whether you
15	are a party to that appeal, being allowed to bring an
16	appeal concerning an error that was made by the Zoning
17	Administrator or by some other authority with respect
18	to the carrying out or the administration or the
19	enforcement of a zoning regulation. So I'm wondering,
20	what is the error that you are alleging?
21	MS. GATES: The first error would be the
22	fact that this even went to the Office of
23	Administrative Hearings. The second would be that no
24	hearing took place. So the violation is just now
25	dropped, I believe.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. I think that's some clarity. \\$

You made a statement that said everyone or anyone is able to file an appeal in the District of Columbia, and I think Ms. Miller was picking that up. I don't contest that at all.

MS. GATES: Under Section 3112.2.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure. But even outside of that, I think that the issue perhaps, and Ms. Miller has stepped right into it, is making sure that anyone that does bring an appeal brings it on the right issue and to the right body. I don't think that we have definitely dispensed with our decision on timeliness, but I think it would be correct to say that there may be some discrepancy between the 60 days and the 15 days on the Zoning Act and the Civic Infractions Act, and whether you've met the 15 days or not, I will hypothetically say we're not dealing with that immediately and move on to the next, to Ms. Miller's question, which goes directly to, what is the element under appeal for us?

Because we would not have any zoning or any jurisdiction to remedy or to hear an appeal on whether the administrative hearings took place, or if we were to pick that up, finding it timely to hear the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 appeal on the ALJ's dismissal of that, for lack of 2 presentation of a case or a witness. Again, I am not sure where we would find our substance or jurisdiction 3 4 in a zoning issue. Lastly, I want to find a remedy to this because it's the strangest thing that I have come 6 7 It seems in reading all of the file here that the government, DCRA, and the ANC were all moving in 8 the same direction, and yet official action didn't 9 10 take place. 11 I just don't know what we can do as a 12 Board at this time with what is presented to us. 13 So, succinctly put, I would have to ask you again what Ms. Miller has just asked: What is the 14 15 element under appeal? What is the error? Let me read something that 16 MS. GATES: 17 Toye Bello wrote to us. 18 "You will recall that in response -- this 19 was written on the 11th of April, 2005. 20 recall that in response to a Notice of Violation and 21 Notice to Abate issued by the Zoning Division, a 22 building permit application was eventually filed by 23 That application was issued " -- and I the owner. 24 think when we edited this, something happened, but it

says, "That application was issued a referral to the

1 Board of Zoning Adjustment for a special exception, as required by the zoning regulations." 2 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I know exactly of 4 what you speak, and I think the Board's familiar. So 5 Mr. Bello said, yes, they put in a permit, tried to remedy the situation. We referred him to the Board. 6 7 We've got a letter sitting here. We've tried to service several times. Where's the error? 8 Bello error in his actions? 9 10 MS. GATES: No, I don't think he did. 11 think up to this point he did what he could. 12 three violation notices were issued. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes, right. 13 14 MS. GATES: So you're asking me who erred. 15 Obviously, I believe Mr. Bender erred by not doing filing for a special exception hearing, and if he were 16 17 granted, that he file for a building permit. This 18 whole thing is totally backwards. realize we're both in a difficult 19 20 position. However, I think we could set the record 21 straight today by saying, "Okay, this is the Board 22 saying, okay, we're going to hear this special 23 exception request," and that's what we're asking you to do. 24 25 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I'd be happy to do

1	that, but we don't have a special exception before us.
2	MS. GATES: I'm sorry?
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I'd be happy to, but
4	we don't have a special exception before us.
5	MS. GATES: Why don't you?
6	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Because you brought
7	an appeal.
8	MS. GATES: Well, that is what I was
9	advised to do.
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: No, I understand
11	that. I understand that.
12	MS. GATES: I would be happy to go file a
13	special appeal, if that's what you're telling me to
14	do.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: No, no, no. No, it
16	would be the property owner's responsibility to bring
17	a special exception.
18	MS. GATES: Okay, thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
20	MR. PARSONS: But it seems to me, if you
21	were to take an action, it would be against the
22	property owner directly, rather than trying to find
23	some sort of remedy here in the D.C. Government, but
24	I'm not a lawyer, so I just stepped over the edge and
25	I can see our attorney at the other end saying,

1 "That's not your place, Parsons. Don't start advising 2 somebody how to deal with this matter." 3 (Laughter.) But please interrupt. I'll stop. 4 5 MS. GATES: Well, I really did think, Mr. Parsons -- thank you for saying that -- that by filing 6 7 this we were taking action. 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. As you well 9 know in other appeals, in other elements that you've been involved in, we are the appeal body for official 10 11 actions, the Mayor's action as it pertains to zoning 12 and his designate. So the Zoning Administrator or anyone else, for that matter, that steps into the 13 14 realm of zoning. 15 have difficulty in this What is we 16 reinventing ourselves and going at this enforcement. Really all of this is tying into the end 17 result of some enforcement. 18 19 If we kept stepping -- if there was a record that was before us somehow that we could even 20 21 grab onto --22 I think part of the problem MS. GATES: 23 for all of us is the fact that shortly after April, or 24 sometime in there, Mr. Bello left as the Zoning 25 Administrator, and nobody there picked this

1 However, I believe the community and the ANC felt it was moving forward until it was dismissed. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. 4 MS. GATES: Had he been there to sort of 5 pick it up, I think things would be different. 6 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. It may well 7 be. I'm not sure that I disagree with you there. 8 Be that as it may -- well, I suppose, as 9 Mr. Parsons says, our attorney is in the room and I need to be careful, but it is a frustrating position 10 11 to be put in when we see so many frivolous things that 12 happen across the City, and then we see elements that seem to be going down the correct path, or at least 13 the corrective path, that things don't come to 14 15 fruition, and we expend an awfully lot of energy from 16 every side, from the property owners to the community, 17 to ours, in setting these things up and looking at it. I don't know what to do with this. 18 Ι 19 don't see how, one, the time limit threshold is met 20 I don't see how the jurisdictional element is 21 met, and I'm not persuaded that I even need to address 22 whether the ANC has standing to bring the appeal, but 23 on two other elements it seems to fail. 24 I'm, frankly, more vested and spent more 25 time trying to figure out where or what we would

1	actually be looking at, if we were to continue with
2	this, and I still can't find it. So, as you say,
3	well, we could just take this up and just kind of run
4	with it as we will and try to find some substance or
5	some relief, and, frankly, it would be a waste of your
6	time and ours, as far as I've seen.
7	MS. GATES: Perhaps it would be best for
8	us to return to the new Zoning Administrator and have
9	another Notice of Violation issued.
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes, maybe so.
11	I'll let you address any of these issues,
12	if you wish.
13	MR. KIERNAN: I disagree with getting a
14	new Notice of Violation, but other than that
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Indeed.
16	Other comments from the Board? Does
17	anyone else want to yes, Ms. Miller.
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I have a lot of
19	comments. I don't know whether we want to just do it
20	now or for a motion.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I think under a
22	motion is probably the best.
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Then,
24	unless any other Board members want to ask any other
25	questions before we okay, then I guess I would

1 reluctantly move to grant the Motion to Dismiss the appeal on grounds of jurisdiction. 2 MR. PARSONS: 3 Second. 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you. 5 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I think that it appears there's some wrongdoing perhaps out here, but 6 7 that this isn't before us jurisdictionally. The 8 reason I think so is I looked at it in two ways. 9 is an appeal of the decision, which I think does fail 10 for two reasons. One is timeliness. It's 15 days 11 within mailing of that order. And, two, the ANC wasn't a party to that case. So I don't believe that 12 13 the ANC does have standing to appeal. 14 MS. GATES: Ms. Miller, can I just tell 15 you that the ANC would never have standing at the Office of Administrative Hearings? 16 17 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, but I don't think the ANC has -- that we have jurisdiction 18 19 for that reason. 20 Then with respect to -- we do 21 jurisdiction within 60 days of the ANC knowing about 22 I have been kind of searching for like, some error. 23 what is the error? Because there are things that 24 don't look so right here. But don't have 25 jurisdiction over errors. We only have any

jurisdiction over the enforcement, the carrying out, the administration of the zoning regulations.

When I look at this, when I'm looking for an error, I say, okay, well, gee, how come DCRA didn't appeal that decision? I think that's an error. But that's not an error involving the carrying out of zoning regulations.

So I think that is the problem here, and we can't force anybody to bring a special exception. If they put up something in violation of our zoning regulations, then that is up to DCRA to bring enforcement action, as they did. It looks like they dropped the ball on that.

Certainly, Mr. Bender could come forward at any time and bring himself into compliance and file an application for a special exception, but that's not what is before us. What's before us is an appeal.

There's been some allusion, and I don't see any problem with my referencing a statute that does give a neighboring property owner the right to bring their own private action against a property owner if they feel that they have violated some zoning regulations, and that's 6-641.09. I'm not advising you to do that. I'm just saying that relief may be by another course of action, and this Board just doesn't

1	have jurisdiction to grant relief always when it would
2	like to.
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
4	you very much, Ms. Miller.
5	Others? Any other comments?
6	(No response.)
7	Very well. We do have a motion before us
8	and it has been seconded. Let me ask for all those in
9	favor of the motion to signify by saying aye.
10	(Chorus of ayes.)
11	Opposed?
12	(No response.)
13	Abstaining?
14	(No response.)
15	Very well, Ms. Bailey?
16	MS. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, the vote is
17	five, zero, zero. The Board has voted to dismiss the
18	appeal. The Board has indicated that it does not have
19	jurisdiction to hear this case.
20	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Thank
21	you very much, Ms. Bailey. I appreciate it.
22	Again, thank you all. We appreciate this,
23	and sorry it took us until 4:00 to get to it, but
24	there it is. We certainly hope that there's, oh,
25	well, good things always to happen. Enjoy.

1	Is there any other business for the Board
2	this afternoon?
3	MS. BAILEY: No, Mr. Chairman.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Then if there's no
5	further business for the Board, let's adjourn.
6	(Whereupon, at 4:43 p.m., the proceedings
7	in the above-entitled matter were adjourned.)